

**WTA Tour
Statistical Abstract
2000**

Robert B. Waltz

©2000 by Robert B. Waltz

Reproduction and/or distribution for profit prohibited

Contents

2000 In Review: Top Players 5

- The Final Top Twenty-Five 5
- The Beginning Top Twenty-Five 6
- Summary of Changes 2000 6
- All the Players in the Top Ten in 2000 7
- The Complete Top Ten Based on WTA (Best 18) Statistics 7
- The Complete Top Ten under the 1996 Ranking System 7
- Ranking Fluctuation 8
- Top Players Sorted by Median Ranking 9

Tournament Results 10

- Tournaments Played/Summary of Results for Top Players 10
- The Rankings (Top 192 — As of November 20, 2000. Ranking, Name, Points) 27
- Tournament Winners by Date (High-Tier Events) 28
- Tournament Winners by Tournament Type (High-Tier Events) 29
- Winners at Smaller Tournaments (Tier III, IVA, IVB) 30
- Number of Tournament Wins for Top 25 Players 31
- Alphabetical List of All Tournament Winners, with Number of Titles 32
- Fraction of Tournaments Won 32
- Summary: Tiers of Tournaments Played and Average Tier 33
- Points earned week-by-week 34
- Tournament Results (Points Earned), Sorted from Most to Least 35

Alternate Rankings 36

- Total Points Ranking (1997 Ranking System) 36
- Points Per Tournament, Minimum 14 (1996 Ranking System: “The Divisor”) 37
- Best 14 38
- Best 18 with Slotted Point Awards (ATP Year 2000 Award) 39
- Total Wins 40
- Winning Percentage 41
- Consistency-Rewarded Rankings 42
- Divisor Rankings, No Slam Bonus 43
- Other Alternate Rankings 44
- Total Round Points 44
- Total Quality Points 45
- Round Points Per Tournament 46
- Quality Points Per Tournament 47
- Quality/Round Points Equalized: 2Q+R Per Tournament 48
- The Ideal System (Maybe): Surface-Modified Divisor (Minimum 16) 49
- Winning Percentage Adjusting for Tournament Strength 51
- Wins Per Tournament 53
- Percentage of Possible Points Earned 54

Head to Head — Results against Top Players 56

- The Top 20 Head to Head 56

- Wins Over Top Players 57
- Matches Played/Won against the (Final) Top Twenty 57
- Won/Lost Versus the Top Players (Based on Rankings at the Time of the Match) 58
- Won/Lost Versus the Top Players (Based on Final Rankings) 59
- Statistics/Rankings Based on Head-to-Head Numbers 60
- Total Wins over Top Ten Players 60
- Winning Percentage against Top Ten Players 60

How They Earned Their Points 61

- Fraction of Points Earned in Slams 61
- Quality Versus Round Points 62
- Percentage of Points on Each Surface 63

Consistency 64

- Standard Deviation of Scores by Tournament 64
- Early-Round Losses 65
- Frequency of Early Losses 66
- Worst Losses 67
- Best and Worst “Worst Losses” 70
- Fraction of Points Earned in Biggest Win 71
- Winning and Losing Streaks 72
- Winning and Losing Streaks, Sorted by Player 72
- List of Longest Winning Streaks 74
- Number of Significant Results 75
- Points Per Quarter 76
- First Quarter 76
- Second Quarter 76
- Third Quarter 77
- Fourth Quarter 77
- Most Consistent over Four Quarters 78

Slam Results 79

Surface Rankings 80

- Hardcourts 80
- Summary of Hardcourt Results 80
- Winning Percentage on Hardcourts 82
- Points Per Tournament on Hardcourts 83
- Best and Worst Results on Hardcourts 84
- Clay 85
- Summary of Clay Results 85
- Winning Percentage on Clay 87
- Points Per Tournament on Clay 88
- Best and Worst Results on Clay 89
- Grass 90
- Summary of Grass Results 90
- Adjusted Points Per Tourn.t on Grass 92
- Indoors 93
- Summary of Indoor Results 93
- Winning Percentage Indoors 94
- Points Per Tournament Indoors 95
- Best and Worst Results Indoors 96
- All-Surface Players 97

- Tournament Wins by Surface 98

Assorted Statistics 99

- The Busiest Players on the Tour 99
- Total Matches Played by Top Players 99
- Total Events Played by the Top 150 100
- The Biggest Tournaments 101
- Tournament Strength Based on the Four Top Players Present 102
- The Top Tournaments Based on Top Players Present — Method 1 103
- The Top Tournaments Based on Top Players Present — Method 2 104
- Strongest Tournaments Won 105
- Strongest Tournament Performances 106
- Title Defences 106
- Seeds and their Success Rates 107
- Bagels 110
- The Dominance of the Big Three/Four 113
- The Road to Victory 114
- Games Lost in Path to Title 114
- Quality Points Earned 115
- Career Tournament Winners 116
- “Top Players” 2000 117
- Statistics About the Tour as a Whole 119
- The Year of the Injury 120
- Best How Many? 121
- Doubles 122**
- The Final Top 30 in Doubles 122
- The Initial Top 25 in Doubles 123
- Doubles Ranking Fluctuation 124
- Team Doubles Titles, Most to Least 125
- The Top Twenty-Five Doubles Players/Results 126
- Doubles Tournament Winners by Date (High-Tier Events) 136
- Doubles Winning Percentages for the Top Sixteen 137
- Doubles Winning Percentages for the Top Teams 137
- Head-to-Heads 138
- Team Losses 138
- Alternate Doubles Rankings 140
- Rankings under the 1996 Ranking System (Divisor, Minimum 14) 140
- Combined Singles and Doubles Rankings 141
- WTA Calendar for 2000 • Events and Results 143**
- Who Won What 154**
- Comings and Goings: On and Off the Rankings 155**
- Index 161**

Introduction

When you see the report that so-and-so is the #1 female tennis player, what do you think? What does it mean when a player earns the “#1 ranking?” What *is* a ranking?

There are many answers, ranging from simple to complex. A simple answer is, “A ranking is a way for assessing players’ performances and seeding them in tournaments.” This is the *purpose* of the rankings.

A technical answer, for female tennis players, is, “A number, the sum of the points earned in a player’s best eighteen tournaments, where points are awarded according to a system based on the prize money the tournament offers and the quality of the opposition one faces.” This is the *method* behind the rankings.

But the usual answer is, “It’s a way to determine who is the best player.”

But “best” can mean a lot of things. The player who is best overall may not be the best on clay. Or may have moved to the top based partly on health (ability to play a full schedule). Some players are more consistent, others streaky. The best player may not have the best winning percentage (in 2000, the player with the best winning percentage wound up #3; in 1998 and 1999, she wound up #2), or the most wins, or the most titles. In fact, the #1 ranking guarantees only one thing: That the player has done what it takes to be #1.

Thus the official tennis rankings, while they have great importance to the players (since they determine seeds and tournament admission) are actually just numbers. They do not automatically say who is the best player (whatever “best” means); they simply say who has the highest point total under the WTA rules.

To fully understand a player’s game, we need to know much more than her ranking. We need to know she did on each surface. We need to know she fared against other top players. We need to know how many tournaments she won, and how often she suffered a first round defeat. A complete statistical picture of a player will involve a vast array of statistics, and involve many types of data.

What follows is an attempt to examine some of these subjects, at least for the top players. It is a statistical exercise, based mostly on the results for the WTA Top Twenty-five, designed to provide more perspective than the WTA’s simple point-counting game. It also offers some miscellaneous statistics of interest.

Depending on the statistic, data may be offered based only on the Top Ten, the Top Twenty, or the Top Twenty-Five (usually one of the latter two). It is assumed that the Top 5 in all categories will be on this list, and usually the Top 10.

We should add at least two footnotes: First, this document is based solely on actual Tour events; exhibitions (Fed Cup, Olympics) are not included. This is deliberate and necessary; the WTA should not include these events in their statistics! This is because these events fill their draws by means not based on the WTA rankings. This, in turn, means that they are not valid for statistical comparison.

Second, for reasons of time, calculations in this document are based on the results as of the end of the Tour year (November 20, 2000). Challengers are played after this event — notably Cergy Pontoise, which Magdalena Maleeva played in 1999 and Nathalie Déchy may play in 2000. This will affect the year-end 2000 rankings, but cannot be accounted for here.

The data in this document has been checked several times against multiple sources. But available records (especially for doubles) are often far from complete. No responsibility is assumed by the author or by the web site for any errors contained in this document, or for the nature or meaning of any statistics presented.

The purpose of this document is not to assert opinions. Of course, it is impossible to entirely avoid opinions, since (ahem!) I have some. These opinions perhaps influence which statistics I include. Nonetheless, this abstract exists primarily for the sake of the numbers. If there is commentary, it is intended to explain what the numbers mean or to bring out some especially salient point.

The only subjective matter I will address in this preface is my feelings about the #1 player. Martina Hingis is the WTA #1, but many have argued on behalf of Venus Williams, as the winner of two Slams. (Curiously, no one has argued recently on behalf of Lindsay Davenport, even though it was Davenport, not Williams, who spent most of 2000 nipping at Hingis's heels in the rankings, and was #1 for a few weeks.)

There is no question that Venus Williams was more effective when she played. But that is the key phrase: *When she played*. The WTA expects players to play 18 events a year; hence the Best 18 ranking system. Williams played half that number of events (nine). Part of this was injury, but she could certainly have played the 14 events required by the old divisor rankings had she chosen to do so. She didn't. It is an unanswerable question whether she could have continued to be as effective playing a full schedule. As it is, we note that she won four titles on hardcourts and one on grass — but none on clay and none indoors. Hingis won nine titles, and at least one on every surface. Hingis's winning percentage is almost as high as Venus's, and over a full year. Hingis won titles in all four quarters of the calendar year. Every title Venus earned was earned between July and September. Venus won two Slams, but Hingis won the Chase and the Ericsson. Hingis did better on Venus's surfaces (hardcourts and indoors) than Venus did on Hingis's (clay).

I'd offer an analogy to American presidential politics (yes, one of those). Venus did the equivalent of campaigning only in California, and won big there. Hingis campaigned across the entire country, and won the country as a whole. To say that Williams is #1 based on her schedule is to say that only California counts toward the Presidency. To *win*, you must win the country, not California.

Venus, of course, still has the opportunity to become #1 in 2001. As the statistics in this document will show, it is perfectly reasonable to assume that she could do so if she can play a full schedule with her present effectiveness. But until she manages that feat, I do not see any way in which she can claim to deserve the #1 ranking.

We may summarize the data as follows, showing who is #1 under various measures. (All of this data is found elsewhere in the Abstract, but here we list only the data for Hingis, Davenport, and Williams):

Statistic	#1 is:	#2 is:	#3 is:
WTA "Best 18" Ranking	Hingis (6180)	Davenport (5022)	Williams (3694)
Total titles:	Hingis (9)	Williams (5)	Davenport (4)
Significant (Tier II or higher) titles	Hingis (8)	Williams (5)	Davenport (4)
Slam titles	Williams (2)	Davenport (1)	Pierce 1, Hingis 0
Slam match victories	Hingis (20)	Davenport (19)	Williams (18)
Total points earned (1997 rank)	Hingis (6394)	Davenport (5022)	Williams (3694)
Divisor ranking (1996-style)	Hingis (320)	Davenport (279)	Williams (264)
Slotted Points (ATP system)	Hingis (5858)	Davenport (4700)	Williams (3694)
Total Tour Wins	Hingis (77)	Davenport (56)	Williams (35 = #11)
Winning Percentage	Williams (89.7%)	Hingis (88.5%)	Davenport (82.4%)
Surface-Modified Divisor Ranking	Hingis (377)	Davenport (289)	Williams (247)
% of Possible Points Earned	Williams (80%)	Hingis (68%)	Davenport (58%)
Wins over Top 10 Players	Hingis (15)	Davenport (12)	Williams (10)
Winning % against Top 10	Williams (83%)	Davenport (63%)	Hingis (63%)
% of events with over 100 points	Hingis (95%)	Seles (93%)	Williams (78%)
Hardcourt winning %	Williams (100%)	Hingis (85%)	Davenport (84%)
Clay winning %	Hingis 86% (#2)	Williams 67% (#15)	Davenport 50% (>#20)
Grass winning %	Williams (100%)	Hingis (88%)	Davenport (78%)
Indoor winning %	Hingis 86%	Davenport 85% (#4)	Williams 75% (#6)
Surfaces on which won titles	Hingis (4)	Davenport, Williams (2)	

2000 In Review: The Top Players

The Final Top Twenty-Five

For purposes of reference, here are the Final 2000 Top 25 as determined by the WTA:

Final Rank	Player Name	Best 18 Score	Number of Tournaments	Gap from Preceding	Began Year At
1	Hingis, Martina	6180	20		1
2	Davenport, Lindsay	5022	18	1158	2
3	Williams, Venus	3694	9	1328	3
4	Seles, Monica	3255	15	439	6
5	Martinez, Conchita	2795	20	460	15
6	Williams, Serena	2306	11	489	4
7	Pierce, Mary	2162	13	144	5
8	Kournikova, Anna	2158	26	4	12
9	Sanchez-Vicario, Arantxa	2132	18	26	17
10	Tauziat, Nathalie	1963	26	169	7
11	Coetzer, Amanda	1798	23	165	11
12	Dementieva, Elena	1774	22	24	62
13	Rubin, Chanda	1760	23	14	22
14	Capriati, Jennifer	1664	20	96	23
15	Halard-Decugis, Julie	1436	24	228	9
16	Mauresmo, Amélie	1426	13	10	10
17	Testud, Sandrine	1414	20	12	13
18	Clijsters, Kim	1398	17	16	46
19	Huber, Anke	1370	18	28	16
20	Frazier, Amy	1255	22	115	19
21	Likhovtseva, Elena	1216	27	39	18
22	Maleeva, Magdalena	1108*	26*	108	76
23	Schett, Barbara	1065	23	43	8
24	Van Roost, Dominique	1056	19	9	14
25	Schnyder, Patty	1056	25	0	21

* Includes points from the Cergy Pontoise Challenger played after the Chase Championships in 1999. Maleeva played 25 events in 2000 through the Chase Championships.

The Beginning Top Twenty-Five

Rank	Name	2000 Final Ranking	Net Change
1	Martina Hingis	1	—
2	Lindsay Davenport	2	—
3	Venus Williams	3	—
4	Serena Williams	6	-2
5	Mary Pierce	7	-2
6	Monica Seles	4	+2
7	Nathalie Tauziat	10	-3
8	Barbara Schett	23	-15
9	Julie Halard-Decugis	15	-6
10	Amélie Mauresmo	16	-6
11	Amanda Coetzer	11	—
12	Anna Kournikova	8	+4
13	Sandrine Testud	17	-4
14	Dominique Van Roost	(retired mid-year) 24	-10
15	Conchita Martinez	5	+10
16	Anke Huber	19	-3
17	Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario	9	+8
18	Elena Likhovtseva	21	-3
19	Amy Frazier	20	-1
20	Ruxandra Dragomir	45	-25
21	Patty Schnyder	25	-4
22	Chanda Rubin	13	+9
23	Jennifer Capriati	14	+9
24	Ai Sugiyama	33	-9
25	Nathalie Déchy	27	-2

Summary of Changes, beginning to end of 2000

Ranking Gains:

From outside the Top 20 into the Top 20: Elena Dementieva, Chanda Rubin, Jennifer Capriati, Kim Clijsters

From outside the Top 20 into the Top 10: *none*

From the Top 20 into the Top 10: Conchita Martinez, Anna Kournikova, Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario

Ranking Losses:

Dropping out of the Top 20: Barbara Schett, Dominique Van Roost (retired), Elena Likhovtseva

Dropping out of the Top 10 but remaining in the Top 20: Julie Halard-Decugis, Amélie Mauresmo

Dropping from the Top 10 to below the Top 20: Barbara Schett

Players who were in the Top 10 at beginning and end of the year: 7 — Martina Hingis, Lindsay Davenport, Venus Williams, Serena Williams, Mary Pierce, Monica Seles, Nathalie Tauziat

Players who were in the Top 20 at the beginning and end of the year: 16 — Martina Hingis, Lindsay Davenport, Venus Williams, Serena Williams, Mary Pierce, Monica Seles, Nathalie Tauziat, Julie Halard-Decugis, Amélie Mauresmo, Amanda Coetzer, Anna Kournikova, Sandrine Testud, Conchita Martinez, Anke Huber, Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario, Amy Frazier

All the Players in the Top Ten in 2000

The Complete Top Ten Based on WTA (Best 18) Statistics

The lists below show all players who have ranked in the Top 10 in 2000, with the highest rank achieved (total of 17 players; in 1999, 17 players spent part of the year in the Top Ten).

Coetzer (10)	Martinez (3)	Seles (3)
Davenport (1)	Mauresmo (6)	Tauziat (3)
Halard-Decugis (7)	Pierce (3)	Testud (9)
Hingis (1)	Sanchez-Vicario (10)	S. Williams (4)
Huber (9)	Schett (8)	V. Williams (3)
Kournikova (8)		

The following list shows all the players who have occupied a given position in the Top 10:

1. Davenport, Hingis
2. Davenport, Hingis
3. Martinez, Pierce, Seles, Tauziat, V. Williams
4. Martinez, Pierce, Seles, S. Williams, V. Williams
5. Martinez, Pierce, Seles, Tauziat, S. Williams, V. Williams
6. Martinez, Mauresmo, Pierce, Seles, Tauziat, S. Williams
7. Halard-Decugis, Martinez, Pierce, Seles, Tauziat, S. Williams
8. Halard-Decugis, Kournikova, Martinez, Sanchez-Vicario, Schett, Seles, Tauziat, S. Williams
9. Halard-Decugis, Huber, Kournikova, Mauresmo, Sanchez-Vicario, Schett, Seles, Tauziat, Testud
10. Coetzer, Halard-Decugis, Huber, Kournikova, Mauresmo, Sanchez-Vicario, Schett, Tauziat, Testud

The Complete Top Ten under the 1996 Ranking System

This list shows all players who would have been in the Top 10 under the 1996 ranking system (total points divided by tournaments, minimum fourteen), with the highest ranking achieved. (For the list of the *final* Top 10 under this system, see the section on Alternate Rankings.)

Capriati (7)	Mauresmo (6)	Seles (3)
Davenport (1)	Pierce (5)	Tauziat (7)
Hingis (1)	Sanchez-Vicario (7)	S. Williams (4)
Kournikova (9)	Schett (8)	V. Williams (1)
Martinez (7)		

Ranking Fluctuation

The table below shows how each of the top players ranked in the course of the year. The tennis season is divided into half-month sections, and players' rankings listed for the specified days. This is followed by the mean (average), median, and standard deviation (indicating how much a player's ranking varied in the course of the year. Thus Zvereva, with a standard deviation of 19.9 showed the biggest fluctuation in the course of the year, while Hingis and Davenport, with standard deviations of 0.3, showed the least variation).

	Jan		Feb		Mar		Apr		May		Jun		Jul		Aug		Sep		Oct		Nov			Mean (avg)	Median	Std. Dev.
	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	20			
Appelmans	30	31	29	29	28	27	27	24	25	25	24	25	27	26	22	26	28	30	33	50	44	51	50	30.9	28	8.8
Capriati	23	22	17	16	14	14	14	12	12	13	16	19	16	15	17	16	16	18	18	16	12	13	14	15.8	16	2.9
Clijsters	46	43	32	36	35	33	30	27	29	28	29	30	31	33	34	33	30	29	47	26	31	19	18	31.7	31	6.9
Coetzer	11	10	12	17	16	17	20	20	18	11	10	10	12	12	13	13	12	12	12	12	11	12	11	13.2	12	3.1
Davenport	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.9	2	0.3
Déchy	25	24	26	26	26	23	23	22	20	21	20	22	24	24	21	21	21	21	20	23	26	27	27	23.2	23	2.4
Dementieva	62	63	60	61	62	59	39	34	31	26	26	26	29	29	32	27	25	17	16	19	17	15	12	34.2	29	17.6
Dragomir	20	20	21	22	21	22	22	26	24	32	32	33	26	28	28	30	39	44	41	41	48	44	45	30.8	28	9.3
Farina Elia	26	30	30	31	33	36	36	39	42	45	51	56	56	61	55	54	54	57	59	63	74	69	63	48.7	54	13.9
Frazier	19	19	22	23	19	19	21	17	21	19	23	24	25	22	26	20	19	19	23	20	19	22	20	20.9	20	2.3
Halard-Decu	9	11	8	7	8	10	9	7	9	14	13	17	14	14	14	19	18	20	19	18	18	17	15	13.4	14	4.3
Hénin	69	70	58	53	57	54	64	64	63	79	81	99	90	87	80	72	58	47	48	39	43	49	48	64.0	63	16.2
Hingis	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	1	0.3
Huber	16	17	19	18	17	16	16	16	14	12	12	12	11	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	14	14	19	13.6	14	3.3
Kournikova	12	13	11	9	10	9	10	14	13	15	15	14	19	18	18	14	14	13	13	13	10	10	8	12.8	13	3.0
Likhovtseva	18	18	16	15	18	18	17	21	16	17	19	23	23	25	23	22	24	27	26	29	25	21	21	21.0	21	3.9
Maleeva	76	68	68	62	66	65	61	58	60	62	64	53	63	57	54	53	37	35	38	27	21	20	22	51.7	58	17.0
Martinez	15	12	7	8	7	7	7	8	8	3	5	4	4	5	6	6	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	6.6	6	2.6
Mauresmo	10	9	14	14	15	15	15	15	17	18	14	13	13	13	12	12	13	15	14	15	16	16	16	14.1	14	2.1
Pierce	5	5	5	6	6	6	4	4	4	5	7	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	7	7	4.8	4	1.2
Raymond	28	29	27	27	27	26	28	28	27	27	27	28	21	23	24	25	26	22	21	21	27	31	31	26.1	27	2.9
Rubin	22	21	25	21	23	29	26	25	26	24	25	20	18	17	19	17	17	16	15	14	13	11	13	19.9	20	5.0
Sanchez-Vica	17	15	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	10	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	10.5	9	2.2
Schett	8	8	10	11	13	11	12	13	15	16	17	15	17	19	16	18	20	23	22	25	22	23	23	16.4	16	5.1
Schnyder	21	26	24	25	24	24	25	30	28	30	36	37	35	32	30	31	22	25	25	24	24	25	25	27.3	25	4.5
Seles	6	6	9	13	9	8	8	9	7	7	3	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	6.3	6	2.2
Sidot	34	34	33	32	31	31	31	31	32	31	28	27	28	27	25	24	31	33	32	28	30	34	36	30.6	31	3.0
Sugiyama	24	24	20	20	22	20	19	19	22	20	21	18	20	20	20	23	27	26	30	32	35	33	33	23.8	22	5.3
Talaja	29	23	23	24	25	25	24	23	23	23	18	21	22	21	27	28	23	24	24	22	23	28	30	24.0	23	2.8
Tauziat	7	7	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	9	10	6.8	7	1.4
Testud	13	14	15	10	11	13	13	10	10	9	11	11	10	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	15	18	17	12.0	11	2.3
Van Roost	14	16	18	19	20	21	18	18	19	22	22	16	15	16	15	15	15	14	17	17	20	24	24	18.0	18	3.1
S. Williams	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	6	8	8	8	7	6	6	6.3	6	1.6
V. Williams	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3.3	3	0.6
Zvereva	27	27	28	30	30	30	33	36	33	35	40	34	60	59	57	65	65	72	71	74	78	78	79	49.6	40	19.9

Top Players Sorted by Median Ranking

This table lists players in order of their median ranking — that is, the ranking they spent as much of the year above as below. This indicates their typical standing in the course of the year. *It should be noted that this figure takes 1999 and 2000 results equally into account, since rankings at the beginning of the year were based entirely on 1999 results, while 2000 results were the sole influence by the end of the year.*

Median

Rank	Player
1	Hingis
2	Davenport
3	V. Williams
4	Pierce
6	Martinez
6	S. Williams
6	Seles
7	Tauziat
9	Sanchez-Vicario
11	Testud
12	Coetzer
13	Kournikova
14	Halard-Decugis
14	Huber
14	Mauresmo
16	Capriati
16	Schett
18	Van Roost
20	Frazier
20	Rubin
21	Likhovtseva
22	Sugiyama
23	Déchy
23	Talaja
25	Schnyder
27	Raymond
28	Appelmans
28	Dragomir
29	Dementieva
31	Clijsters
31	Sidot
58	Maleeva

Tournament Results

Tournaments Played/Summary of Results for Top Players

The list below shows all the tournaments the top players played in 1999. For these purposes, any player who spent even one week of 2000 in the Top 25 is included, as are four other players: Farina Elia (#26 at the start of the year), Dokic (#26 at the end), Zvereva (#27 at the start), and Hénin (based on per-tournament results).

To explain the data in the table: The numbers in parentheses list, first, the Tier of the tournament, second, how far the player went, and third, the number of wins achieved. This is followed by a list of top players beaten en route, with the player's rank at the time. For example, the first item in the entry for Martina Hingis reads Sydney (II, SF/Mauresmo [9], 2) — Van Roost (16). This means that Hingis's first tournament was Sydney. The II means that it was a Tier II. SF/Mauresmo means that Hingis reached the semifinal, where she was beaten by Mauresmo, then ranked #9. The 2 indicates that she won two matches prior to that defeat. Players she defeated included Van Roost (then ranked #16). (Note: only wins over Top 35 players are listed.)

Name & Rank	Events Played
50/ Appelmans	Gold Coast (III, QF/Martinez [15], 2) Sydney (II, 1R/Kournikova [13], 0) Australian Open (Slam, 3R/S. Williams [4], 2) — Rubin (22) Paris (II, 1R/Schnyder, 0) Hannover (II, 1R/S. Williams [4], 1) Indian Wells (I, R16/Seles [8], 2) Ericsson (I, R16/Petrova [75], 2) — Sanchez-Vicario (11) Hamburg (II, 2R/Huber [14], 1) Berlin (I, 2R/Lamade [534], 1) Antwerp (IVA, 2R/Torrens Valero [80], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Hingis [1], 0) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, 1R/Black [49], 0) Wimbledon (Slam, R16/V. Williams [5], 3) — Dragomir (26) Knokke-Heist (IVB, QF/Marrero [63], 0) Canadian Open (I, 2R/Kournikova [14], 1) New Haven (II, 1R/Hénin [66], 0) U. S. Open (Slam, 1R/Vento [103], 0) <i>Olympics (X, R16/Coetzer, 2)</i> Filderstadt (II, 1R/Maleeva [27], 0) Zurich (I, 1R/Davenport [2], 1) — Sugiyama (32) Linz (II, 2R/Rubin [15], 1) Moscow (I, 1R/Sugiyama [36], 0) Leipzig (II, 1R/Majoli [125], 0)

<p>14/ Capriati</p>	<p>Sydney (II, 2R/Kournikova [13], 1) — Testud (14) Australian Open (Slam, SF/Davenport [2], 5) — Van Roost (16), Schnyder (29), Sugiyama (24) Scottsdale (II, 2R/Davenport [2], 1) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Black [51], 0) Ericsson (I, QF/Testud [13], 3) — Rubin (26), S. Williams (6) Rome (I, 1R/Sidot [31], 0) Strasbourg (III, 2R/Kuti Kis [72], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Zuluaga [34], 0) Birmingham (III, QF/Raymond [28], 2) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, SF/Hingis [1], 3) — Schnyder (34) Wimbledon (Slam, R16/Davenport [2], 3) — Van Roost (15) San Diego (II, 1R/Likhovtseva [23], 0) Canadian Open (I, R16/Sanchez-Vicario [9], 2) U. S. Open (Slam, R16/Seles [5], 3) Luxembourg (III, Win, 5) — Sidot (32) Filderstadt (II, 1R/Rubin [16], 0) Zurich (I, SF/Hingis [1], 3) — Sidot (28), Kournikova (13) Quebec City (III, F/Rubin [13], 3) — Frazier (19) Philadelphia (II, 2R/Halard-Decugis [18], 1) Chase (Champ, 1R/Kournikova [10], 0)</p>
<p>18/ Clijsters</p>	<p>Hobart (IVB, Win, 5) — Dragomir (20), Rubin (21) Australian Open (Slam, 1R/Van Roost [16], 0) Hannover (II, 2R/Sidot [32], 1) — Huber (18) Indian Wells (I, R16/S. Williams [4], 2) — Mauresmo (15) Ericsson (I, R16/Hingis [1], 2) Antwerp (IVA, 2R/Bacheva [84], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Sugiyama [21], 0) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, 2R/Schnyder [34], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Myskina [43], 1) — Tauziat (7) Stanford (II, 1R/Shaghnessy [54], 0) San Diego (II, 1R/Martinez [6], 0) New Haven (II, QF/Tauziat [8], 2) — Schett (20) U. S. Open (Slam, 1R/Davenport [2], 1) Luxembourg (III, QF/Kournikova [13], 2) Filderstadt (II, F/Hingis [1], 4) — Kournikova (12), Martinez (6), Tauziat (7) Leipzig (II, Win, 5) — Sugiyama (35), Sanchez-Vicario (9), Dokic (28), Kournikova (10), Likhovtseva (25) Chase (Champ, QF/Dementieva [15], 1) — Sanchez-Vicario (8)</p>

<p>11/ Coetzer</p>	<p>Sydney (II, 2R/Van Roost [16], 1) — Raymond (29) Australian Open (Slam, 2R/Brandi [54], 1) Pan Pacific (I, QF/Rubin [25], 1) — Zvereva (28) Oklahoma City (III, SF/Seles [14], 3) — Raymond (29) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Van Roost [21], 0) Ericsson (I, QF/Hingis [1], 2+1 walkover) — Martinez (7) Amelia Island (II, R16/Sanchez-Vicario [11], 2) Hilton Head (I, QF/Sanchez-Vicario [10], 2) — Dementieva (33) Hamburg (II, SF/Sanchez-Vicario [11], 3) — Dragomir (24), Sidot (32), V. Williams (3) Berlin (I, F/Martinez [8], 5) — Kremer (34), Halard-Decugis (10), Huber (12) Antwerp (IVA, Win, 5) Roland Garros (Slam, 3R/De Los Rios [151], 2) — Leon Garcia (31) Eastbourne (II, QF/Kremer [41], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Osterloh [77], 1) Stanford (II, 2R/Black [44], 1) San Diego (II, 2R/V. Williams [3], 1) — Raymond (24) Canadian Open (I, 2R/Maleeva [53], 1) New Haven (II, SF/V. Williams [3], 3) — Huber (10) U. S. Open (Slam, 3R/Serna [33], 2) <i>Olympics (X, Dokic, 3)</i> Filderstadt (II, QF/Sanchez-Vicario [9], 2) — Talaja (24), Schnyder (25) Zurich (I, 2R/Schett [25], 1) — Serna (33) Philadelphia (II, QF/Davenport [2], 2) Chase (Champ, QF/Seles [4], 1) — Rubin (11)</p>
<p>2/ Davenport</p>	<p>Sydney (II, F/Mauresmo [9], 3) — Likhovtseva (18), Sanchez-Vicario (15), Kournikova (13) Australian Open (Slam, Win, 7) — Kournikova (12), Halard-Decugis (11), Capriati (21), Hingis (1) Scottsdale (II, F [no winner/final rained out], 3) — Capriati (14), Seles (9), Kournikova (10) Indian Wells (I, Win, 6) — Zvereva (30), Halard-Decugis (10), Martinez (7), Hingis (1) Ericsson (I, F/Hingis [1], 5) — Kremer (35), Talaja (24), Likhovtseva (17), Testud (13) Rome (I, R16 (withdrew), 1) — Dementieva (26) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Van Roost [22], 0) Eastbourne (II, QF/Van Roost [16], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, F/V. Williams [5], 6) — Morariu (32), Likhovtseva (23), Capriati (16), Seles (6), Dokic (30) Stanford (II, F/V. Williams [3], 3) — Rubin (18), Seles (5) San Diego (II, 2R/Kournikova [18], 0) Los Angeles (II, F/S. Williams [7], 3) — Sidot (25), Testud (11), Dementieva (32) Canadian Open (I, R16/Maleeva [53], 1) U. S. Open (Slam, F/V. Williams [3], 6) — Leon Garcia (29), Clijsters (30), S. Williams (6), Dementieva (25) <i>Olympics (X, withdrew in 2R, 1)</i> Zurich (I, F/Hingis [1], 3) — Rubin (14), Schett (25) Linz (II, Win, 4) — Schett (21), V. Williams (3) Philadelphia (II, Win, 4) — Raymond (28), Coetzer (12), Martinez (5), Hingis (1) Chase (Champ, 1R/Dementieva [15], 0)</p>

<p>27/ Déchy</p>	<p>Gold Coast (III, SF/Martinez [15], 3) Hobart (IVB, 1R/Cristea [126], 0) Australian Open (Slam, 1R/Zuluaga [51], 0) Paris (II, QF/Halard-Decugis [8], 2) — Farina (29) Oklahoma City (III, F/Seles [14], 4) — Frazier (22) Scottsdale (II, QF/Pierce [6], 2) — Martinez (7) Indian Wells (I, R16/Dementieva [59], 2) — Kournikova (9) Ericsson (I, R16/Frazier [21], 2) — Tauziat (5) Estoril (IVA, F/Huber [16], 4) Rome (I, 1R/Dokic [37], 0) Strasbourg (III, SF/Talaja [22], 2+1 walkover) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Dementieva [26], 0) Birmingham (III, 2R/Krasnoroutskaya [110], 0) Eastbourne (II, 2R/Halard-Decugis [14], 1) — Morariu (31) Wimbledon (Slam, 3R/V. Williams [5], 2) — Rubin (18) San Diego (II, 2R/Frazier [26], 1) — Sidot (25) Los Angeles (II, 1R/Dementieva [32], 0) Canadian Open (I, 2R/Talaja [28], 1) U. S. Open (Slam, 2R/Gersi [67], 1) <i>Olympics (X, R16/Seles, 2)</i></p>
<p>12/ Dementieva</p>	<p>Sydney (II, lost in 1R of qualifying/Petrova [93], 0) Australian Open (Slam, 3R/Halard-Decugis [11], 2) Paris (II, lost in 3R of qualifying/Andretto [201], 2 in qualifying) Hannover (II, lost in 1R of qualifying/Oremans [89], 0) Indian Wells (I, SF/Davenport [2], 5) — Huber (16), Déchy (23), Rubin (29) Ericsson (I, R16/Testud [13], 3) — Pierce (4), Pitkowski (34) Amelia Island (II, 2R/Martinez [8], 1) Hilton Head (I, R16/Coetzer [19], 2) Berlin (I, QF/Kruger [90], 3) — Sanchez-Vicario (9) Rome (I, 2R/Davenport [1], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, 2R (withdrew), 1) — Déchy (20) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Petrova [57], 0) San Diego (II, 1R/Tu [107], 0) Los Angeles (II, SF/Davenport [2], 3) — Déchy (22), Brandi (34), Raymond (24) Canadian Open (I, 1R/Frazier [20], 0) New Haven (II, 2R/Schnyder [28], 1) U. S. Open (Slam, SF/Davenport [2], 5) — Martinez (7), Huber (10) <i>Olympics (X, F/V. Williams, 5)</i> Zurich (I, 2R/Myskina [55], 1) Linz (II, 1R/Black [40], 0) Moscow (I, QF/Hingis [1], 2) Leipzig (II, QF/Likhovtseva [25], 1) Chase (Champ, SF/Seles [4], 2) — Davenport (2), Clijsters (19)</p>

<p>26/ Dokic</p>	<p>Sydney (II, 2R/Sanchez-Vicario [15], 1) Australian Open (Slam, 1R/Kuti Kis [86], 0) Indian Wells (I, 3R/Martinez [7], 1) — Talaja (25) Ericsson (I, 2R/Martinez [7], 1) Amelia Island (II, R16/Martinez [8], 2) Hilton Head (I, QF/Pierce [4], 3) — Frazier (22) Rome (I, QF/Seles [7], 3) — Déchy (21), V. Williams (4) Roland Garros (Slam, 2R/Hrdlickova [43], 1) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, 2R/Brandi [48], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, SF/Davenport [2], 5) Canadian Open (I, 1R/Appelmans [26], 0) New Haven (II, 1R/Dementieva [25], 0) U. S. Open (Slam, R16/S. Williams [6], 3) <i>Olympics (X, SF/Dementieva — lost Bronze to Seles, 4)</i> Princess Cup (II, QF/S. Williams [8], 2) Zurich (I, 2R/Hingis [1], 1) Shanghai (IVA, QF/Tulyaganova [112], 2) Moscow (I, 1R/Mauresmo [16], 0) Leipzig (II, QF/Clijsters [31], 2) Kuala Lumpur (III, 2R/Majoli [109], 0)</p>
<p>45/ Dragomir</p>	<p>Hobart (IVB, 1R/Clijsters [43], 0) Australian Open (Slam, 3R/Brandi [54], 2) Paris (II, 1R/Mauresmo [14], 0) Hannover (II, 2R/Smashnova [47], 1) Indian Wells (I, 3R/Halard-Decugis [9], 1) Ericsson (I, 3R/Likhovtseva [17], 1) Amelia Island (II, 1R/Carlsson [53], 0) Hilton Head (I, QF/Seles [7], 3) — Kournikova (14) Hamburg (II, 1R/Coetzer [18], 0) Berlin (I, 2R/Chladkova [41], 1) Rome (I, 2R/Halard-Decugis [14], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, R16/Hingis [1], 3) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, F/Hingis [1], 4) — Testud (10) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Appelmans [27], 0) Palermo (IVA, 2R/Pizzichini [147], 1) Los Angeles (II, 1R/S. Williams [7], 0) Canadian Open (I, 2R/Testud [11], 1) New Haven (II, 1R/Shaghnessy [51], 0) U. S. Open (Slam, 2R/Raymond [26], 1) <i>Olympics (X, 1R/Pratt, 0)</i> Filderstadt (II, 1R/Schnyder [25], 0) Zurich (I, 1R/Dementieva [19], 0) Linz (II, 1R/Nagyova [71], 0) Moscow (I, 1R/Dementieva [18], 0) Leipzig (II, 1R/Panova [42], 0)</p>

<p>63/ Farina Elia</p>	<p>Paris (II, 2R/Déchy [26], 1) — Likhovtseva (15) Hannover (II, 1R/Pisnik [87], 0) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Seles [8], 0) Ericsson (I, 2R/Appelmans [27], 1) Estoril (IVA, SF/Déchy [22], 3) Bol (III, 1R/Sanchez Lorenzo [76], 0) Rome (I, 1R/Raymond [27], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 3R/Martinez [5], 2) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Osterloh [77], 0) Palermo (IVA, SF/Nagyova [76], 3) Sopot (III, 2R/Schnyder [29], 1) Liege (\$50K, SF/Rittner [122], 3) U. S. Open (Slam, 1R/Schett [20], 0)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Olympics (X, R16/Van Roost, 2)</i></p> <p>Filderstadt Qualifying (II, lost in 3R of qualifying/Carlsson [51], 0+2 in qualifying) Zurich Qualifying (I, lost in 3R of qualifying/Panova [43], 0+2 in qualifying) Linz Qualifying (II, lost in 3R of qualifying/Chladkova [53]; went into main draw as a Lucky Loser and lost to Hrdlickova [36], 0+2 in qualifying) Moscow (I, 2R/Dementieva [18], 1) — Talaja (21) Leipzig (II, 2R/Kournikova [10], 1) Kuala Lumpur (III, 1R/Majoli [109], 0) Pattaya City (IVA, QF/Nagyova [45], 2) — Talaja (28)</p>
<p>20/ Frazier</p>	<p>Hobart (IVB, SF/Rubin [21], 3) Australian Open (Slam, 1R/Callens [89], 0) Oklahoma City (III, QF/Déchy [26], 2) Scottsdale (II, 2R/Testud [11], 1) — Huber (17) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Hingis [1], 0) Ericsson (I, QF/Seles [8], 3) — Huber (16), Déchy (23) Amelia Island (II, 1R/Grande [70], 0) Hilton Head (I, R16/Dokic [40], 1) Madrid (III, 2R/Ruano Pascual [123], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Carlsson [53], 0) Eastbourne (II, 1R/Myskina [50], 0) Wimbledon (Slam, 3R/Barabanschikova [92], 2) Stanford (II, QF/V. Williams [3], 2) — Brandi (35) San Diego (II, SF/V. Williams [3], 3) — Huber (9), Déchy (21), Hingis (1) Los Angeles (II, QF/Hingis [1], 2) — Sanchez-Vicario (10) Canadian Open (I, QF/S. Williams [7], 3) — Dementieva (27), Leon Garcia (32), Huber (10) U. S. Open (Slam, 1R/Martinez [7], 0) Princess Cup (II, QF/Bedanova [87], 2) Japan Open (III, F/Halard-Decugis [18], 3) Quebec City (III, SF/Capriati [12], 3) Philadelphia (II, 1R/Rubin [11], 0) Chase (Champ, 1R/Tauziat [9], 0)</p>

<p>15/ Halard-Decugis</p>	<p>Gold Coast (III, 2R/Maleeva [76], 0) Sydney (II, 1R/Sanchez-Vicario [15], 0) Australian Open (Slam, QF/Davenport [2], 4) Paris (II, SF/S. Williams [4], 2) — Déchy (26) Scottsdale (II, 2R/Sugiyama [22], 0) Indian Wells (I, R16/Davenport [2], 2) — Dragomir (22) Ericsson (I, 2R/Petrova [75], 0) Bol (III, 2R/Leon Garcia [57], 0) Berlin (I, R16/Coetzer [16], 1) Rome (I, R16/Zuluaga [69], 1) — Dragomir (32) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Shahghnessy [65], 0) Birmingham (III, QF/Tanasugarn [59], 2) Eastbourne (II, Win, 5) — Déchy (25), Tauziat (7), Rubin (20), Van Roost (16) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Boogert [85], 0) Los Angeles (II, 1R/Rubin [19], 0) Canadian Open (I, R16 (withdrew), 2) — Sidot (24) New Haven (II, 1R/Schnyder [28], 0) U. S. Open (Slam, 1R/Oremans [93], 0) <i>Olympics (X, R16/Schett, 2)</i> Princess Cup (II, F/S. Williams [8], 3) — Brandi (30), Seles (5) Japan Open (III, Win, 4) — Frazier (20) Moscow (I, 1R/Panova [50], 0) Quebec City (III, 2R/Tu [82], 1) Philadelphia (II, QF/Tauziat [9], 2) — Capriati (13) Chase (Champ, 1R/Hingis [1], 0)</p>
<p>48/ Hénin</p>	<p>Hobart (IVB, QF/Rubin [21], 2+3 in qualifying) — Plischke (33) Australian Open (Slam, 2R/Hingis [1], 1) Paris (II, 2R/Tauziat [6], 1) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, 2R/Testud [10], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Sanchez-Vicario [9], 0) Palermo (IVA, QF/Nagyova [76], 2) Knokke-Heist (IVB, 2R/Serna [30], 1) Liege (\$50K, Win, 5) Canadian Open (I,2R/Davenport [2], 1+2 in qualifying) New Haven (II, 2R/Coetzer [14], 1+3 in qualifying) — Appelmans (22) U. S. Open (Slam, R16/Davenport [2], 3) — Kournikova (14) Luxembourg (III, 2R/Rittner [130], 1) Filderstadt (II,2R/Hingis [1], 1+3 in qualifying) — Leon Garcia (28) Bratislava (IVB, QF/Bedanova, 2)</p>

1/ Hingis	<p>Sydney (II, SF/Mauresmo [9], 2) — Van Roost (16) Australian Open (Slam, F/Davenport [2], 6) — Testud (14), Sanchez-Vicario (15), Martinez (13) Pan Pacific (I, Win, 4) — Raymond (27), Kournikova (11), Rubin (25), Testud (15) <i>Scottsdale (II, F [no winner/final rained out], 3) — Rubin (21), Testud (11), Pierce (6)</i> Indian Wells (I, F/Davenport [2], 5) — Frazier (19), Sugiyama (20), Schett (11), Seles (8), Pierce (6) Ericsson (I, Win, 6) — Sidot (29), Clijsters (28), Coetzer (20), Seles (8), Davenport (2) Hamburg (II, Win, 4) — Plischke (30), Kournikova (13), Huber (14), Sanchez-Vicario (11) Berlin (I, SF/Martinez [8], 3) — Talaja (23), Testud (11) Roland Garros (Slam, SF/Pierce [7], 5) — Appelmans (24), Dragomir (32), Rubin (25) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, Win, 3+1 walkover) — Capriati (18), Dragomir (33) Wimbledon (Slam, QF/V. Williams [5], 4) — Talaja (22), Huber (11) San Diego (II, QF/Frazier [26], 1) — Van Roost (15) Los Angeles (II, SF/S. Williams [7], 2) — Rubin (19), Frazier (20) Canadian Open (I, Win, 5) — Testud (11), Martinez (6), S. Williams (7) U. S. Open (Slam, SF/V. Williams [3], 5) — Brandi (32), Testud (11), Seles (5) Filderstadt (II, Win, 4) — Van Roost (18), Sanchez-Vicario (9) Zurich (I, Win, 4) — Dokic (31), Capriati (16), Davenport (2) Moscow (I, Win, 4) — Hrdlickova (34), Dementieva (18), Mauresmo (16), Kournikova (11) Philadelphia (II, F/Davenport [2], 3) — Kournikova (10), Tauziat (9) Chase (Champ, Win, 4) — Halard-Decugis (17), Tauziat (9), Kournikova (10), Seles (4)</p>
19/ Huber	<p>Sydney (II, 1R/Barabanschikova [89], 0) Australian Open (Slam, 1R/Boogert [100], 0) Paris (II, 2R/Sidot [35], 1) — Testud (9) Hannover (II, 1R/Clijsters [36], 0) Scottsdale (II, 1R/Frazier [19], 0) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Dementieva [59], 0) Ericsson (I, 3R/Frazier [21], 1) Estoril (IVA, Win, 5) — Déchy (22) Hamburg (II, SF/Hingis [1], 3) — Appelmans (25), Martinez (8) Berlin (I, QF/Coetzer [16], 2) Rome (I, 2R/Grzybowska [143], 1) — Zvereva (35) Roland Garros (Slam, R16/V. Williams [4], 3) — Morariu (33) Wimbledon (Slam, R16/Hingis [1], 3) Sopot (III, Win, 4) San Diego (II, 1R/Frazier [26], 0) Canadian Open (I, R16/Frazier [20], 1) New Haven (II, QF/Coetzer [14], 1) — Sugiyama (27) U. S. Open (Slam, QF/Dementieva [25], 4) — Likhovtseva (24), Pierce (4)</p>

<p>8/ Kournikova</p>	<p>Gold Coast (III, QF/Talaja [29], 1) Sydney (II, SF/Davenport [2], 3) — Appelmans (31), Capriati (22) Australian Open (Slam, R16/Davenport [2], 3) — Zvereva (26) Pan Pacific (I, QF/Hingis [1], 2) — Sidot (33) Paris (II, SF/Tauziat [6], 2) — Kremer (30) Scottsdale (II, SF/Davenport [2], 3) — Plischke (32), Sugiyama (22) Indian Wells (I, 3R/Déchy [23], 1) Ericsson (I, R16/Seles [8], 2) — Zvereva (33) Amelia Island (II, QF/Suarez [62], 2) — Kremer (35) Hilton Head (I, R16/Dragomir [26], 1) Hamburg (II, QF/Hingis [2], 2) Berlin (I, 2R/Leon Garcia [48], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 2R/Plischke [35], 1) Eastbourne (II, QF/Rubin [20], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Sidot [28], 1) — Testud (10) Stanford (II, SF/V. Williams [3], 3) — Testud (11) San Diego (II, SF/Seles [5], 3) — Davenport (2), Tauziat (8) Canadian Open (I, R16/S. Williams [7], 2) — Appelmans (26) U. S. Open (Slam, 3R/Hénin [58], 2) Luxembourg (III, SF/Maleeva [38], 2) Filderstadt (II, 1R/Clijsters [45], 0) Zurich (I, QF/Capriati [16], 1) Moscow (I, F/Hingis [1], 3) — Tauziat (8) Leipzig (II, SF/Clijsters [31], 2) — Maleeva (21) Philadelphia (II, QF/Hingis [1], 2) Chase (Champ, SF/Hingis [1], 2) — Capriati (13), Martinez (5)</p>
<p>21/ Likhovtseva</p>	<p>Auckland (IVB, QF/Black [50], 2) Sydney (II, 2R/Davenport [2], 1) Australian Open (Slam, QF/Martinez [13], 4) — S. Williams (4) Paris (II, 1R/Farina [29], 0) Hannover (II, 1R/Chladkova [56], 0) Scottsdale (II, 1R/Plischke [32], 0) Indian Wells (I, R16/Pierce [6], 2) — Plischke (28) Ericsson (I, R16/Davenport [2], 2) — Dragomir (22) Amelia Island (II, SF/Martinez [8], 4) — Schnyder (30), Pierce (4) Hilton Head (I, R16/Seles [7], 2) Warsaw (IVB, 1R/Torrens Valero [88], 0) Rome (I, 1R/Brandi [39], 0) Strasbourg (III, 1R/Osterloh [94], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Grzybowska [118], 0) Eastbourne (II, 2R/Van Roost [16], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Davenport [2], 1) San Diego (II, 2R/Tauziat [8], 1) — Capriati (17) Los Angeles (II, 1R/Testud [11], 0) Canadian Open (I, 1R/Schnyder [31], 0) New Haven (II, 2R/V. Williams [3], 1) U. S. Open (Slam, 3R/Huber [10], 2) <i>Olympics (X, 1R/Kandarr, 0)</i> Filderstadt (II, 1R/Rittner [104], 0) Zurich (I, 1R/Schett [25], 0) Linz (II, QF/V. Williams [3], 2) Moscow (I, 1R/Schett [24], 0) Leipzig (II, F/Clijsters [31], 4) — Raymond (27), Dementieva (17), Tauziat (8) Chase (Champ, 1R/Martinez [5], 0)</p>

<p>22/ Maleeva</p>	<p>Gold Coast (III, QF/Déchy [25], 2) — Halard-Decugis (9) Hobart (IVB, 1R/Drake [59], 0) Australian Open (Slam, 1R/Sugiyama [24], 0) Pan Pacific (I, 1R/Black [40], 0+3 in qualifying) Paris (II, 1R/Hénin [59], 0+3 in qualifying) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Martinez [7], 1) Ericsson (I, 2R/S. Williams [6], 1) Amelia Island (II, 1R/Jeyaseelan [96], 0) Hilton Head (I, 1R/Labat [87], 0) Bol (III, 1R/Kostanic [98], 0) Berlin (I, 1R/Hrdlickova [50], 0) Rome (I, 2R/Seles [7], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, 3R/Dragomir [32], 2) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, 1R/Capriati [18], 0) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Oremans [111], 1) Klagenfurt (III, QF/Montolio [50], 2) Sopot (III, 2R/Martinez [6], 1) Canadian Open (I,QF/Sanchez-Vicario [9], 3+2 in qualifying) — Coetzer (13), Davenport (2) New Haven (II, 1R/Van Roost [15], 0) U. S. Open (Slam, 2R/Pierce [4], 1) Luxembourg (III, F/Capriati [18], 4) — Schnyder (25), Kournikova (13) Filderstadt (II, 2R/Martinez [6], 1) — Appelmans (34) Zurich (I, 2R/Tauziat [7], 1) — Van Roost (17) Moscow (I, QF/Mauresmo [16], 2) — Sanchez-Vicario (9) Leipzig (II, QF/Kournikova [10], 2) — Hrdlickova (33)</p>
<p>5/ Martinez</p>	<p>Gold Coast (III, F/Talaja [29], 4) — Plischke (35), Appelmans (30), Déchy (25) Sydney (II, 2R/Pierce [5], 1) — Kremer (28) Australian Open (Slam, SF/Hingis [1], 5) — Likhovtseva (18) Scottsdale (II, 1R/Déchy 26], 0) Indian Wells (I, QF/Davenport [2], 3) — Van Roost (21) Ericsson (I, R16/Coetzer [20], 2) — Schnyder (25) Amelia Island (II, F/Seles [9], 4) — Dementieva (34), Schett (13), Likhovtseva (21) Hilton Head (I, SF/Sanchez-Vicario [10], 3) Hamburg (II, QF/Huber [14], 1) Berlin (I, Win, 5) — Plischke (32), Suarez (35), Hingis (1), Coetzer (16) Roland Garros (Slam, F/Pierce [7], 6) — Sugiyama (21), Sanchez-Vicario (9) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Jeyaseelan [79], 1) — Kremer (34) Sopot (III, QF/Leon Garcia [36], 1) San Diego (II, QF/V. Williams [3], 2) — Clijsters (34) Los Angeles (II, QF/S. Williams [7], 1) Canadian Open (I, SF/Hingis [1], 2+1 walkover) U. S. Open (Slam, 3R/Dementieva [25], 2) — Frazier (19) <i>Olympics (X, 2R/Habsudova, 1)</i> Filderstadt (II, QF/Clijsters [45], 1) — Maleeva (27) Philadelphia (II, SF/Davenport [2], 2) Chase (Champ, QF/Kournikova [9], 1) — Likhovtseva (21)</p>

<p>16/ Mauresmo</p>	<p>Sydney (II, Win, 4+1 walkover) — Sugiyama (25), Pierce (5), Hingis (1), Davenport (2) Australian Open (Slam, 2R/Schnyder [29], 1) Paris (II, 2R/Pitkowski [39], 1) — Dragomir (21) Hannover (II, SF/S. Williams [4], 1+1 walkover) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Clijsters [33], 0) Bol (III, F/Pisnik [81], 4) Berlin (I, 2R/Suarez [35], 1) Rome (I, F/Seles [7], 5) — Pierce (5), Sanchez-Vicario (10) Roland Garros (Slam, R16/Seles [3], 3) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Leon Garcia [47], 0) <i>Olympics (X, 1R/Zuluaga, 0)</i> Princess Cup (II, 2R/Bedanova [87], 0) Linz (II, 2R/Hrdlickova [36], 1) Moscow (I, SF/Hingis [1], 3) — Dokic (27), Raymond (29), Maleeva (22)</p>
<p>7/ Pierce</p>	<p>Sydney (II, QF/Mauresmo [9], 1) — Martinez (12) Australian Open (Slam, R16/Sugiyama [24], 3) Pan Pacific (I, 2R/Osterloh [87], 0) Scottsdale (II, SF/Hingis [1], 2) — Déchy (26) Indian Wells (I, SF/Hingis [1], 4) — Morariu (35), Likhovtseva (18), S. Williams (4) Ericsson (I, 2R/Dementieva [39], 0) Amelia Island (II, QF/Likhovtseva [21], 2) — Talaja (23) Hilton Head (I, Win, 5) — Seles (7), Sanchez-Vicario (10) Rome (I, R16/Mauresmo [18], 1) Madrid (III, 2R/Di Natale [258], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, Win, 7) — Seles (3), Hingis (1), Martinez (5) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Serna [49], 1) U. S. Open (Slam, R16/Huber [10], 3) — Raymond (26)</p>
<p>31/ Raymond</p>	<p>Sydney (II, 1R/Coetzer [10], 0) Australian Open (Slam, 2R/Sanchez-Vicario [15], 1) Pan Pacific (I, 2R/Hingis [1], 1) Oklahoma City (III, QF/Coetzer [16], 2) Scottsdale (II, 1R/Sugiyama [22], 0) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Zvereva [30], 0) Ericsson (I, 3R/Seles [8], 1) Amelia Island (II, 2R/Jeyaseelan [96], 1) Hilton Head (I, R16/Nejedly [85], 2) Rome (I, 2R/Sidot [31], 1) Madrid (III, 1R/Montolio [51], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 2R/Garbin [50], 1) Birmingham (III, Win, 5) — Capriati (19), Tauziat (7) Eastbourne (II, 1R/Zvereva [65], 0) Wimbledon (Slam, QF/S. Williams [8], 4) San Diego (II, 1R/Coetzer [13], 0) Los Angeles (II, QF/Dementieva [32], 2) U. S. Open (Slam, 3R/Pierce [4], 2) Moscow (I, 2R/Mauresmo [16], 1) Leipzig (II, 2R/Likhovtseva [25], 1) — Leon Garcia (34) Philadelphia (II, 2R/Davenport [2], 1)</p>

<p>13/ Rubin</p>	<p>Hobart (IVB, F/Clijsters [63], 4) — Frazier (19) Australian Open (Slam, 2R/Appelmans [31], 1) Pan Pacific (I, SF/Hingis [1], 3) — Stevenson (35), Coetzer (12) Scottsdale (II, 2R/Hingis [1], 1) Indian Wells (I, QF/Dementieva [59], 3) — Testud (13) Ericsson (I, 3R/Capriati [14], 1) Amelia Island (II, R16/Schett [13], 2) Berlin (I, R16/Leon Garcia [48], 2) Rome (I, 2R/V. Williams [4], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, QF/Hingis [1], 4) — Tauziat (6) Eastbourne (II, SF/Halard-Decugis [14], 3) — Kournikova (17) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Déchy [24], 0) Stanford (II, QF/Davenport [2], 2) — Zuluaga (33) San Diego (II, 1R/Testud [11], 0) Los Angeles (II, 1R/Hingis [1], 1) — Halard-Decugis (14) Canadian Open (I, R16/Testud [11], 2) U. S. Open (Slam, 3R/Seles [5], 2) — Schett (20) Filderstadt (II, 2R/Sidot [31], 1) — Capriati (14) Zurich (I, QF/Davenport [2], 2) — Talaja (22) Linz (II, SF/V. Williams [3], 2) Quebec City (III, Win, 4) — Capriati (12) Philadelphia (II, 2R/withdrew, 1) — Frazier (19) Chase (Champ, 1R/Coetzer [12], 0)</p>
<p>9/ Sanchez- Vicario</p>	<p>Gold Coast (III, SF/Talaja [29], 3) — Schnyder (21) Sydney (II, QF/Davenport [2], 2) — Halard-Decugis (11) Australian Open (Slam, QF/Hingis [1], 4) — Raymond (28), Schett (9) Ericsson (I, 3R/Appelmans [27], 1) Amelia Island (II, QF/Seles [9], 2) — Coetzer (20) Hilton Head (I, F/Pierce [4], 4) — Schnyder (27), Coetzer (19), Martinez (8) Hamburg (II, F/Hingis [2], 3) — Coetzer (18) Berlin (I, 2R/Dementieva [31], 0) Rome (I, QF/Mauresmo [18], 2) Roland Garros (Slam, SF/Martinez [5], 5) — Schett (17), V. Williams (4) Wimbledon (Slam, R16/Seles [6], 3) Los Angeles (II, 2R/Frazier [20], 1) — Schett (18) Canadian Open (I, SF/S. Williams [7], 3) — Capriati (16) U. S. Open (Slam, R16/Tauziat [8], 3) <i>Olympics (X, QF/V. Williams, 3)</i> Filderstadt (II, SF/Hingis [1], 2) — Coetzer (13) Moscow (I, 2R/Maleeva [22], 0) Leipzig (II, 2R/Clijsters [31], 0) Chase (Champ, 1R/Clijsters [19], 0)</p>

<p>23/ Schett</p>	<p>Sydney (II, 2R/Stevenson [44], 0) Australian Open (Slam, R16/Sanchez-Vicario [15], 3) Scottsdale (II, 2R/Seles [9], 1) Indian Wells (I, R16/Hingis [1], 2) Ericsson (I, 2R/Gersi [73], 0) Amelia Island (II, QF/Martinez [8], 2) — Rubin (25) Hilton Head (I, 2R/Nejedly [85], 0) Hamburg (II, 1R/Panova [44], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, R16/Sanchez-Vicario [9], 3) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, 1R/Pratt [56], 0) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Barabanschikova [92], 0) Klagenfurt (III, Win, 4) — Schnyder (32) Sopot (III, QF/Myskina [54], 2) San Diego (II, 1R/Panova [49], 0) Los Angeles (II, 1R/Sanchez-Vicario [10], 0) Canadian Open (I, 1R/Talaja [28], 0) New Haven (II, 1R/Clijsters [33], 0) U. S. Open (Slam, 2R/Rubin [17], 1) <i>Olympics (X, QF/Dementieva, 3)</i> Filderstadt (II, 1R/Black [37], 0) Zurich (I, SF/Davenport [2], 3) — Likhovtseva (29), Coetzer (12), Tauziat (7) Linz (II, QF/Davenport [2], 2) Moscow (I, QF/Tauziat [8], 2) — Likhovtseva (25), Schnyder (23) Leipzig (II, QF/Tauziat [8], 2)</p>
<p>25/ Schnyder</p>	<p>Gold Coast (III, QF/Sanchez-Vicario [17], 2) Hobart (IVB, 2R/Vento [113], 1) Australian Open (Slam, R16/Capriati [21], 3) — Mauresmo (6) Paris (II, 2R/S. Williams [4], 1) — Appelmans (28) Hannover (II, QF/S. Williams [4], 2) Indian Wells (I, 3R/Seles [8], 1) Ericsson (I, 3R/Martinez [7], 1) Amelia Island (II, R16/Likhovtseva [21], 2) — Testud (10) Hilton Head (I, R16/Sanchez-Vicario [10], 2) Hamburg (II, 1R/Serna [48], 0) Berlin (I, 1R/Kuti Kis [74], 0) Rome (I, 1R/Chladkova [36], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Gagliardi [72], 0) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, QF/Capriati [18], 2) — Clijsters (30) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Suarez [52], 1) Klagenfurt (III, F/Schett [19], 3) Sopot (III, QF/Suarez [43], 2) Canadian Open (I, 2R/S. Williams [7], 1) — Likhovtseva (22) New Haven (II, QF/V. Williams [3], 2) — Halard-Decugis (17), Dementieva (25) U. S. Open (Slam, 2R/Asagoe [94], 1) Luxembourg (III, QF/Maleeva [38], 2) Filderstadt (II, 2R/Coetzer [13], 1) Zurich (I, 1R/Myskina [55], 0) Linz (II, 1R/Suarez [43], 0) Moscow (I, 2R/Schett [24], 1)</p>

<p>4/ Seles</p>	<p>Oklahoma City (III, Win, 4) — Pitkowski (35), Coetzer (16), Déchy (26) Scottsdale (II, QF/Davenport [1], 2) — Talaja (25), Schett (13) Indian Wells (I, QF/Hingis [1], 3) — Schnyder (24), Appelmans (27) Ericsson (I, SF/Hingis [1], 4) — Raymond (28), Kournikova (10), Frazier (19) Amelia Island (II, Win, 5) — Morariu (33), Sanchez-Vicario (11), Martinez (8) Hilton Head (I, SF/Pierce [4], 3) — Likhovtseva (17), Dragomir (26) Rome (I, Win, 5) — Sidot (31), Mauresmo (18) Roland Garros (Slam, QF/Pierce [7], 4) — Talaja (18), Mauresmo (14) Wimbledon (Slam, QF/Davenport [2], 4) — Sanchez-Vicario (9) Stanford (II, SF/Davenport [2], 2) San Diego (II, F/V. Williams [3], 3) — Testud (11), Kournikova (18) New Haven (II, F/V. Williams [3], 3) — Brandi (34), Van Roost (15), Tauziat (8) U. S. Open (Slam, QF/Hingis [1], 4) — Kremer (35), Rubin (17), Capriati (16) <i>Olympics (X, SF/V. Williams — won bronze over Dokic, 4+1 for bronze)</i> Princess Cup (II, SF/Halard-Decugis [19], 2) Chase (Champ, F/Hingis [1], 3) — Testud (18), Coetzer (12), Dementieva (15)</p>
<p>36/ Sidot</p>	<p>Sydney (II, 1R/Stevenson [44], 0) Australian Open (Slam, 1R/Petrova [85], 0) Pan Pacific (I, 1R/Kournikova [11], 0) Paris (II, QF/Tauziat [6], 2) — Huber (19) Hannover (II, SF/Chladkova [56], 3) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Tauziat [5], 0) Ericsson (I, 3R/Hingis [1], 1) Estoril (IVA, 2R/Garbin [77], 1) Hamburg (II, 2R/Coetzer [18], 1) Berlin (I, 1R/Talaja [23], 0) Rome (I, R16/Seles [7], 2) — Capriati (13), Raymond (27) Strasbourg (III, 2R/Morariu [34], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, 3R/Marrero [108], 2) Birmingham (III, QF/Tauziat [7], 2) Eastbourne (II, 1R/Kandarr [112], 0) Wimbledon (Slam, 3R/Tanasugarn [40], 2) — Kournikova (19) San Diego (II, 1R/Déchy [21], 0) Los Angeles (II, 2R/Davenport [2], 1) — Sugiyama (21) Canadian Open (I, 1R/Halard-Decugis [19], 0) New Haven (II, 1R/Talaja [26], 0) U. S. Open (Slam, 1R/V. Williams [3], 0) Luxembourg (III, QF/Capriati [18], 2) Filderstadt (II, QF/Tauziat [7], 2) — Rubin (16) Zurich (I, 2R/Capriati [16], 1) Linz (II, 1R/Habsudova [108], 0) Bratislava (IVB, QF/Oremans [73], 2) Leipzig (II, 1R/Hrdlickova [34], 0)</p>

<p>33/ Sugiyama</p>	<p>Sydney (II, 1R/Mauresmo [9], 0) Australian Open (Slam, QF/Capriati [21], 4) — Pierce (5) Pan Pacific (I, 1R/Srebotnik [65], 0) Scottsdale (II, QF/Kournikova [10], 2) — Raymond (27), Halard-Decugis (8) Indian Wells (I, 3R/Hingis [1], 1) Ericsson (I, 3R/S. Williams [6], 1) Amelia Island (II, 2R/Pratt [51], 1) Berlin (I, 1R/Cristea [121], 0) Rome (I, 2R/Chladkova [36], 1) Madrid (III, 2R/Zuluaga [41], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, R16/Martinez [5], 3) — Clijsters (29), Plischke (35) Eastbourne (II, 1R/Talaja [22], 0) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/V. Williams [5], 1) San Diego (II, 1R/Van Roost [15], 0) Los Angeles (II, 1R/Sidot [25], 0) Canadian Open (I, 1R/Leon Garcia [32], 0) New Haven (II, 2R/Huber [10], 1) U. S. Open (Slam, 2R/Boogert [79], 1) <i>Olympics (X,1R/Dokic, 0)</i> Princess Cup (II, 2R/Asagoe [85], 1) Zurich (I, 1R/Appelmans [50], 0) Linz (II, 2R/V. Williams [3], 1) Moscow (I, 2R/Tauziat [8], 1) Leipzig (II, 1R/Clijsters [31], 0) Philadelphia II, 1R/Pratt [56], 0)</p>
<p>30/ Talaja</p>	<p>Gold Coast (III, Win, 5) — Kournikova (12), Sanchez-Vicario (12), Martinez (15) Sydney (II, 2R (withdrew), 1) Australian Open (Slam, 1R/Molik [116], 0) Paris (II, 1R/Shashnova [52], 0) Hannover (II, 1R/Kremer [28], 0) Scottsdale (II, 1R/Seles [9], 0) Indian Wells (I, 2R/Dokic [45], 0) Ericsson (I, 3R/Davenport [2], 1) Amelia Island (II, R16/Pierce [4], 2) Hilton Head (I, 1R/Brandi [45], 0) Bol (III, 2R/Pisnik [81], 1) Berlin (I, R16/Hingis [1], 2) — Sidot (30) Rome (I, 1R/Zuluaga [69], 0) Strasbourg (III, Win, 5) — Tauziat (7), Déchy (21) Roland Garros (Slam, 1R/Seles [3], 0) Birmingham (III, R16/Black [61], 1) Eastbourne (II, 2R/Kremer [41], 1) — Sugiyama (19) Wimbledon (Slam, 3R/Hingis [1], 2) Palermo (IVA, 1R/Diaz-Oliva [164], 0) Los Angeles (II, Stevenson [87], 0) Canadian Open (I, Kremer [50], 2) — Schett (18), Déchy (21) New Haven (II, 2R/Van Roost [15], 1) — Sidot (32) U. S. Open (Slam, 1R/Schiavone [108], 0) <i>Olympics (X,2R/Farina Elia, 1)</i> Filderstadt (II, 1R/Coetzer [13], 0) Zurich (I, 1R/Rubin [14], 0) Linz (II, 1R/Chladkova [53], 0) Moscow (I, 1R/Farina Elia [86], 0) Kuala Lumpur (III, 2R/Morariu [58], 0) Pattaya City (IVB, 1R/Farina Elia [69], 0)</p>

<p>10/ Tauziat</p>	<p>Australian Open (Slam, 2R/Jeyaseelan [145], 1) — Nagyova (32) Pan Pacific (I, QF/Testud [15], 1) Paris (II, Win, 4) — Sidot (35), Kournikova (11), S. Williams (4) Hannover (II, 2R/Boogert [88], 0) Indian Wells (I, 3R/Habsudova [67], 1) — Sidot (31) Ericsson (I, 3R/Déchy [23], 1) Berlin (I, 2R/Kruger [90], 0) Rome (I, QF/Zuluaga [69], 2) Strasbourg (III, QF/Talaja [22], 1) Roland Garros (Slam, 3R/Rubin [25], 2) Birmingham (III, SF/Raymond [28], 3) — Sidot (27) Eastbourne (II, QF/Halard-Decugis [14], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Clijsters [31], 0) San Diego (II, QF/Kournikova [18], 2) — Likhovtseva (23) Los Angeles (II, 1R/Bedanova [113], 0) Canadian Open (I, 2R/Kremer [50], 0) New Haven (II, SF/Seles [6], 2) — Clijsters (33) U. S. Open (Slam, QF/V. Williams [3], 4) — Sanchez-Vicario (9) Luxembourg (III, 2R/Hantuchova [135], 0) Filderstadt (II, SF/Clijsters [45], 2) — Sidot (31) Zurich (I, QF/Schett [25], 1) — Maleeva (27) Linz (II, QF/Hrdlickova [36], 1) Moscow (I, SF/Kournikova [11], 2) — Schett (24) Leipzig (II, SF/Likhovtseva [25], 2) — Schett (22) Philadelphia (II, SF/Hingis [1], 2) — Halard-Decugis (18) Chase (Champ, QF/Hingis [1], 1) — Frazier (22)</p>
<p>17/ Testud</p>	<p>Sydney (II, 1R/Capriati [22], 0) Australian Open (Slam, R16/Hingis [1], 3) Pan Pacific (I, F/Hingis [1], 4) — Tauziat (6) Paris (II, 1R/Huber [19], 0) Scottsdale (II, QF/Hingis [1], 2) — Van Roost (20), Frazier (19) Indian Wells (I, 3R/Rubin [29], 1) — Pitkowski (34) Ericsson (I, SF/Davenport [2], 4) — Plischke (29), Capriati (14) Amelia Island (II, 2R/Schnyder [30], 0) Bol (III, QF/Sanchez-Lorenzo [76], 1) Berlin (I, QF/Hingis [1], 2) Rome (I, 2R/Morariu [43], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 3R/Carlsson [53], 2) 's-Hertogenbosch (III, QF/Dragomir [33], 1) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Kournikova [19], 0) Stanford (II, QF/Kournikova [19], 1) San Diego (II, QF/Seles [5], 2) — Rubin (19) Los Angeles (II, QF/Davenport [2], 2) — Likhovtseva (23), Van Roost (16) Canadian Open (I, QF/Hingis [1], 2) — Dragomir (30), Rubin (17) U. S. Open (Slam, R16/Hingis [1], 3) Chase (Champ, 1R/Seles [4], 0)</p>

<p>24/ Van Roost</p>	<p>Sydney (II, QF/Hingis [1], 2) — Coetzer (10) Australian Open (Slam, 2R/Capriati [21], 1) — Clijsters (34) Paris (II, 1R/Spirlea [40], 0) Scottsdale (II, 1R/Testud [11], 0) Indian Wells (I, R16/Martinez [7], 2) — Coetzer (17) Ericsson (I, 3R (withdrew), 1) Rome (I, 2R/Casoni [128], 1) Strasbourg (III, 1R/Smashnova [56], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, 2R/Marerro [108], 1) — Davenport (2) Eastbourne (II, F/Halard-Decugis [14], 4) — Likhovtseva (23), Davenport (2) Wimbledon (Slam, 1R/Capriati [16], 0) Knokke-Heist (IVB, F/Smashnova [49], 4) — Serna (30) San Diego (II, 2R/Hingis [1], 1) — Sugiyama (20) Los Angeles (II, 2R/Testud [11], 1) Canadian Open (I, 1R/Hrdlickova [41], 0) New Haven (II, QF/Seles [6], 2) — Talaja (26) U. S. Open (Slam, 2R/Osterloh [57], 1) <i>Olympics (X, QF/Seles, 3)</i> Filderstadt (II, QF/Hingis [1], 2) — Kremer (35) Zurich (I, 1R/Maleeva [27], 0) Retired</p>
<p>6/ Williams, Serena</p>	<p>Australian Open (Slam, R16/Likhovtseva [18], 3) — Appelmans (31) Paris (II, F/Tauziat [6], 3) — Schnyder (25), Halard-Decugis (8) Hannover (II, Win, 4) — Schnyder (25), Mauresmo (14) Indian Wells (I, QF/Pierce [6], 3) — Clijsters (33) Ericsson (I, R16/Capriati [14], 2) — Sugiyama (19) Amelia Island (II, 2R/Suarez [62], 0) Wimbledon (Slam, SF/V. Williams [5], 5) — Raymond (21) Los Angeles (II, Win, 5) — Dragomir (28), Martinez (6), Hingis (1), Davenport (2) Canadian Open (I, F/Hingis [1], 4) — Schnyder (30), Kournikova (14), Frazier (20), Sanchez-Vicario (9) U. S. Open (Slam, QF/Davenport [2], 4) Princess Cup (II, Win, 4) — Dokic (33), Halard-Decugis (19)</p>
<p>3/ Williams, Venus</p>	<p>Hamburg (II, QF/Coetzer [18], 1) Rome (I, R16/Dokic [37], 1) — Rubin (24) Roland Garros (Slam, QF/Sanchez-Vicario [9], 4) — Huber (12) Wimbledon (Slam, Win, 7) — Déchy (24), Appelmans (27), Hingis (1), S. Williams (8), Davenport (2) Stanford (II, Win, 4) — Frazier (21), Kournikova (19), Davenport (2) San Diego (II, Win, 4) — Coetzer (12), Martinez (6), Frazier (26), Seles (5) New Haven (II, Win, 4) — Likhovtseva (23), Schnyder (28), Coetzer (14), Seles (6) U. S. Open (Slam, Win, 7) — Sidot (31), Serna (33), Tauziat (8), Hingis (1), Davenport (2) <i>Olympics (X, Win, 6)</i> Linz (II, F/Davenport [2], 3) — Sugiyama (32), Likhovtseva (30), Rubin (15)</p>
<p>79/ Zvereva</p>	<p>Australian Open (Slam, 2R/Kournikova [12], 1) Pan Pacific (I, 2R/Coetzer, 1) Hannover (II, 1R/Boogert [88], 0) Indian Wells (I, 3R/Davenport [2], 1) — Raymond (26) Ericsson (I, 3R/Kournikova [10], 1) Hamburg (II, 1R/Spirlea [53], 0) Berlin (I, 1R/Kruger [90], 0) Rome (I, 1R/Huber [12], 0) Roland Garros (Slam, R16/Rubin [25], 3) — Zuluaga (34) Eastbourne (II, 2R/Kournikova [17], 1) — Raymond (21) Wimbledon (Slam, 2R/Tanasugarn [40], 1) Klagenfurt (III, QF/Gersi [75], 2) <i>Olympics (X, 1R/Salerni, 0)</i></p>

The Rankings (Top 192 — As of November 20, 2000. Ranking, Name, Points)

1	Hingis, Martina	6180	65	Pitkowski, Sarah	495	129	Snyder, Tara	241
2	Davenport, Lindsay	5022	66	Boogert, Kristie	483	130	Courtois, Laurence	239
3	Williams, Venus	3694	67	Tu, Meilen	478	131	Glass, Andrea	238.5
4	Seles, Monica	3255	68	Gersi, Adriana	476	132	Llagostera, Nuria	237.5
5	Martinez, Conchita	2795	69	Nejedly, Jana	474	133	Krasnoroutskaya, Lina	234.5
6	Williams, Serena	2306	70	Marrero, Marta	451	134	Li, Na	234.5
7	Pierce, Mary	2162	71	Rippner, Brie	445.5	135	Bovina, Elena	233.5
8	Kournikova, Anna	2158	72	Asagoe, Shinobu	444	136	Pizzichini, Gloria	232.5
9	Sanchez-Vicario, Arantxa	2132	73	Majoli, Iva	441.5	137	Bradshaw, Allison	226.25
10	Tauziat, Nathalie	1963	74	Barabanschikova, Olga	436	138	Pullin, Julie	224.5
11	Coetzer, Amanda	1798	75	Tulyaganova, Iroda	434	139	Sequera, Milagros	223.5
12	Dementieva, Elena	1774	76	Pisnik, Tina	433	140	Cacic, Sandra	220
13	Rubin, Chanda	1760	77	de los Rios, Rossana	427	141	Dominguez Lino, Lourdes	217
14	Capriati, Jennifer	1664	78	Grande, Rita	410	142	Washington, Mashona	216
15	Halard-Decugis, Julie	1436	79	Zvereva, Natasha	407	143	Castano, Catalina	216
16	Mauresmo, Amélie	1426	80	Schiavone, Francesca	402	144	Barna, Anca	213.5
17	Testud, Sandrine	1414	81	Hopkins, Jennifer	397	145	Dominikovic, Evie	207.5
18	Clijsters, Kim	1398	82	Nola, Pavlina	392	146	Fusai, Alexandra	206.5
19	Huber, Anke	1370	83	Grzybowska, Magdalena	390	147	Arn, Greta	202
20	Frazier, Amy	1255	84	Jidkova, Alina	383	148	Drake, Maureen	198
21	Likhovtseva, Elena	1216	85	Parkinson, Holly	382.5	149	Bachmann, Angelika	196
22	Maleeva, Magdalena	1108	86	Habsudova, Karina	381	150	Craybas, Jill	193.5
23	Schett, Barbara	1065	87	Poutchek, Tatiana	378	151	Husarova, Janette	188
24	Van Roost, Dominique	1056	88	Vavrinec, Miroslava	374	152	Vaskova, Alena	185
25	Schnyder, Patty	1056	89	Ruano Pascual, Virginia	370	153	Martinez, Maria Jose	184
26	Dokic, Jelena	1054	90	Wartusch, Patricia	364	154	Lubiani, Francesca	179
27	Déchy, Nathalie	1020	91	Casoni, Giulia	363	155	Meshea, Lisa	176
28	Brandi, Kristina	956	92	Irvin, Marissa	359	156	Foretz, Stephanie	170.5
29	Tanasugarn, Tamarine	954	93	Stevenson, Alexandra	353	157	Nemeckova, Lenka	170
30	Talaja, Silvija	935	94	Torrens Valero, Cristina	353	158	Mandula, Petra	170
31	Raymond, Lisa	931	95	Weingartner, Marlene	347	159	Hergold, Tina	169.5
32	Leon Garcia, Gala	879	96	Yi, Jing-Qian	346	160	Nagy, Kyra	169
33	Sugiyama, Ai	876	97	Gagliardi, Emmanuelle	344	161	Schnitzer, Miriam	166
34	Panova, Tatiana	860	98	Bacheva, Lubomira	344	162	Kolbovic, Renata	164
35	Kremer, Anne	854	99	Sanchez Lorenzo, Maria An.	344	163	Randriantefy, Dally	162.5
36	Sidot, Anne-Gaelle	842	100	Nacuk, Sandra	343	164	Bes, Eva	161
37	Suarez, Paola	840	101	Rittner, Barbara	342	165	Dyrberg, Eva	161
38	Serna, Magui	826	102	Loit, Emilie	339.5	166	Noorlander, Seda	160
39	Shaughnessy, Meghann	825	103	Ostrovskaya, Nadejda	337	167	Spirlea, Irina	155
40	Garbin, Tathiana	789	104	Hopmans, Amanda	328	168	Stewart, Bryanne	154
41	Nagyova, Henrieta	756	105	Vento, Maria	318.5	169	Obata, Saori	152.5
42	Zuluaga, Fabiola	755	106	Labat, Florencia	317.5	170	Rampre, Petra	152
43	Black, Cara	748	107	Callens, Els	316	171	Schnell, Melanie	151.5
44	Osterloh, Lilia	710	108	Hantuchova, Daniela	309.5	172	Cho, Yoon Jeong	151.5
45	Dragomir, Ruxandra	706	109	Sucha, Martina	302	173	Reeves, Samantha	151
46	Smashnova, Anna	700	110	Mcquillan, Rachel	299	174	Pastikova, Michaela	149.5
47	Hrdlickova, Kveta	690	111	Latimer, Louise	296	175	Vaidyanathan, Nirupama	143.5
48	Hénin, Justine	661.5	112	Webb, Vanessa	295.5	176	Pandjerova, Antoaneta	142
49	Jeyaseelan, Sonya	649	113	Kostanic, Jelena	286.5	177	Zaric, Dragana	141.5
50	Appelmans, Sabine	649	114	Medina Garrigues, Ana I.	285.25	178	Riera, Gisela	141
51	Chladkova, Denisa	633	115	Molik, Alicia	285	179	Cocheteux, Amelie	138
52	Morariu, Corina	630	116	Lee, Janet	279	180	Ramon, Mariam	137
53	Plischke, Sylvia	612	117	Cristea, Catalina	276.5	181	Andretto, Laurence	136.5
54	Bedanova, Daja	610	118	Diaz-Oliva, Mariana	276	182	Sfar, Selima	134.75
55	Pratt, Nicole	609	119	Srebotnik, Katarina	273.5	183	Koukalova, Klara	134.5
56	Kuti Kis, Rita	596	120	De Lone, Erika	273	184	Serra-Zanetti, Antonella	134.5
57	Carlsson, Asa	573	121	Cervanova, Ludmila	272	185	Ahl, Lucie	134.5
58	Myskina, Anastasia	573	122	Kleinova, Sandra	268	186	Gubacsi, Zsafia	134
59	Kruger, Joannette	570	123	Basting, Yvette	260.5	187	Palaversic, Maja	133.75
60	Montolio, Angeles	561	124	Buth, Dawn	256	188	Perebiynis, Tatiana	132.25
61	Kandarr, Jana	528	125	Prakusya, Wynne	253.5	189	Maruska, Marion	132
62	Petrova, Nadejda	526	126	Yoshida, Yuka	250	190	Fauth, Evelyn	132
63	Farina Elia, Silvia	500	127	Lamade, Bianka	249.5	191	Selyutina, Irina	132
64	Oremans, Miriam	497	128	Marosi-Aracama, Katalin	243.5	192	Prusova, Libuse	131.5

Tournament Winners by Date (High-Tier Events)

The following list shows the winner of all important (Tier II or higher) tournaments, ordered first by date then by type:

Tournament	Tier	Winner
Sydney	II	Mauresmo
Australian Open	Slam	Davenport
Tokyo (Pan Pacific)	I	Hingis
Paris	II	Tauziat
Hannover	II	S. Williams
Scottsdale	II	<i>(No winner — Hingis/Davenport final rained out)</i>
Indian Wells	I	Davenport
Ericsson (Miami)	I	Hingis
Amelia Island	II	Seles
Hilton Head	I	Pierce
Hamburg	II	Hingis
Berlin	I	Martinez
Rome	I	Seles
Roland Garros	Slam	Pierce
Eastbourne	II	Halard-Decugis
Wimbledon	Slam	V. Williams
Stanford	II	V. Williams
San Diego	II	V. Williams
Los Angeles	II	S. Williams
Canadian Open	I	Hingis
New Haven	II	V. Williams
U.S. Open	Slam	V. Williams
Tokyo (Princess Cup)	II	S. Williams
Filderstadt	II	Hingis
Zurich	I	Hingis
Linz	II	Davenport
Moscow	I	Hingis
Leipzig	II	Clijsters
Philadelphia	II	Davenport
Chase Championships	Champ	Hingis

It is perhaps worth noting that, among the Tier I events, Moscow was by far the weakest. (See the section on strength of tournaments.) Among Tier II events, Sydney, San Diego, and Philadelphia were the strongest this year (and have been for several years past); all three featured fields stronger than most of the Tier I events with the exception of the Ericsson.

Tournament Winners by Tournament Type (High-Tier Events)

SLAMS	
<i>Event</i>	<i>Winner</i>
Australian Open	Davenport
Roland Garros	Pierce
Wimbledon	V. Williams
U.S. Open	V. Williams
YEAR-END CHAMPIONSHIP	
<i>Event</i>	<i>Winner</i>
Chase Championships	Hingis
TIER I	
<i>Event</i>	<i>Winner</i>
Pan Pacific (Tokyo)	Hingis
Indian Wells	Davenport
Ericsson (Miami)	Hingis
Hilton Head	Pierce
German Open (Berlin)	Martinez
Italian Open (Rome)	Seles
Canadian Open	Hingis
Zurich	Hingis
Moscow	Hingis
TIER II	
<i>Event</i>	<i>Winner</i>
Sydney	Mauresmo
Paris	Tauziat
Hannover	S. Williams
Scottsdale	(No winner — Hingis/Davenport final rained out)
Amelia Island	Seles
Hamburg	Hingis
Eastbourne	Halard-Decugis
Stanford	V. Williams
San Diego	V. Williams
Los Angeles	S. Williams
New Haven	V. Williams
Princess Cup (Tokyo)	S. Williams
Filderstadt	Hingis
Linz	Davenport
Leipzig	Clijsters
Philadelphia	Davenport

Winners at Smaller Tournaments (Tier III, IVA, IVB)

Tournament	Winner	Tier	Same Week As
Gold Coast	Talaja	III	Auckland (IVB)
Auckland	Kremer	IVB	Gold Coast (III)
Hobart	Clijsters	IVB	Sydney (II)
Bogota	Wartusch	IVA	Paris (II)
São Paulo	Kuti Kis	IVA	Hannover (II)
Oklahoma City	Seles	III	
Estoril	Huber	IVA	Amelia Island (II)
Budapest	Garbin	IVB	Hilton Head (I)
Bol	Pisnik	III	Hamburg (II)
Warsaw	Nagyova	IVB	Berlin (I)
Antwerp	Coetzer	IVA	Rome (I)
Madrid	Leon Garcia	III	Strasbourg (III)
Strasbourg	Talaja	III	Madrid (III)
Birmingham	Raymond	III	Tashkent (IVA)
Tashkent	Tulyaganova	IVA	Birmingham
's-Hertogenbosch	Hingis	III	Eastbourne (II)
Klagenfurt	Schett	III	Palermo (IVA)
Palermo	Nagyova	IVA	Klagenfurt (III)
Sopot	Huber	III	Knokke-Heist (IVB)
Knokke-Heist	Smashnova	IVB	Sopot (III)
Luxembourg	Capriati	III	(Olympics Week 2)
Japan Open	Halard-Decugis	III	Zurich (I)
Shanghai	Shaughnessy	IVA	Linz (II)
Bratislava	Bedanova	IVB	Moscow (I)
Quebec City	Rubin	III	Leipzig (II)
Kuala Lumpur	Nagyova	III	Philadelphia (II)
Pattaya City	Kremer	IVB	Chase Championships

Number of Tournament Wins for Top 25 Players

The following table shows tournament wins by the Top 25. Tournaments are categorized as major (Tier II or higher) or minor (Tier III or lower). The tournaments are listed, with their level, on the next line.

Rank	Name	Major Wins	Minor Wins	Total Wins
14	Capriati Luxembourg (III)		1	1
18	Clijsters Hobart (IVB), Leipzig (II)	1	1	2
11	Coetzer Antwerp (IVA)		1	1
2	Davenport Australian Open (Slam), [also in rained-out final at Scottsdale (II)], Indian Wells (I), Linz (II), Philadelphia (II)	4		4
15	Halard-Decugis Eastbourne (II)	1		1
1	Hingis Pan Pacific (I), [also in rained-out final at Scottsdale (II)], Ericsson (I), Hamburg (II), 's-Hertogenbosch (III), Canadian Open (I), Filderstadt (II), Zurich (I), Moscow (I), Chase (Champ)	8	1	9
19	Huber Estoril (IVA), Sopot (III)		2	2
16	Mauresmo Sydney (II)	1		1
5	Martinez Berlin (I)	1		1
7	Pierce Hilton Head (I), Roland Garros (Slam)	2		2
13	Rubin Quebec City (III)		1	1
23	Schett Klagenfurt (III)		1	1
4	Seles Oklahoma City (III), Amelia Island (II), Rome (I)	2	1	3
10	Tauziat Paris (II)	1		1
6	S. Williams Hannover (II), Los Angeles (II), Princess Cup (II)	3		3
3	V. Williams Wimbledon (Slam), Stanford (II), San Diego (II), New Haven (II), V. Williams (Slam); also Olympics	5		5

The following Top 25 players did not win any tournaments in 2000: Kournikova, Sanchez-Vicario, Dementieva, Testud, Frazier, Likhovtseva, Maleeva, Van Roost, Schnyder

Alphabetical List of All Tournament Winners, with Number of Titles

Players in **bold** have multiple wins:

Bedanova (1), Capriati (1), **Clijsters** (2), Coetzer (1), **Davenport** (4), Garbin, **Halard-Decugis** (2), **Hingis** (9), **Huber** (2), **Kremer** (2), Kuti Kis (1), Leon Garcia (1), Martinez (1), Mauresmo (1), **Nagyova** (3), **Pierce** (2), Pisknik (1), Raymond (1), Rubin (1), Schett (1), **Seles** (3), Shaughnessy (1), Smashnova (1), **Talaja** (2), Tauziat (1), Tulyaganova (1), Wartusch (1), **S. Williams** (3), **V. Williams** (5)

Fraction of Tournaments Won

Sorted in descending order of fraction won. List includes only the WTA Top 31.

WTA Rank	Player	Tournaments Won	Tournaments Played	Percent Won
3	V. Williams	9	5	56%
1	Hingis*	20	9	47%
6	S. Williams	11	3	27%
2	Davenport*	18	4	24%
4	Seles	15	3	20%
7	Pierce	13	2	15%
18	Clijsters	17	2	12%
19	Huber	18	2	11%
16	Mauresmo	13	1	8%
30	Talaja	29	2	7%
5	Martinez	20	1	5%
14	Capriati	20	1	5%
31	Raymond	21	1	5%
10	Tauziat	26	1	4%
11	Coetzer	23	1	4%
13	Rubin	23	1	4%
15	Halard-Decugis	24	1	4%
23	Schett	23	1	4%
8	Kournikova	26	0	0%
9	Sanchez-Vicario	18	0	0%
12	Dementieva	22	0	0%
17	Testud	20	0	0%
20	Frazier	22	0	0%
21	Likhovtseva	27	0	0%
22	Maleeva	26	0	0%
24	Van Roost	19	0	0%
25	Schnyder	25	0	0%
26	Dokic	19	0	0%
27	Déchy	19	0	0%
28	Brandi	29	0	0%
29	Tanasugarn	25	0	0%

* Hingis and Davenport were in the Scottsdale final, which was rained out. This event is ignored in the "Percent Won" category (i.e. Hingis's 47% won represents 9 of 19, not 9 of 20; Davenport's 18% represents 4 of 17, not 4 of 18).

Summary: Tiers of Tournaments Played and Average Tier

(Note: The Slams and the Chase are treated mathematically as “Tier 0.” The *lower* the mean and median strength, the tougher one’s schedule.)

	Slams Played	Chase Played	Tier I Played	Tier II Played	Tier III Played	Tier IV Played	Total Played	Mean Strength	Median Strength
Capriati	4	1	5	5	5		20	1.5	I/II
Clijsters	4	1	2	6	2	2	17	1.6	II
Coetzer	4	1	7	9	1	1	23	1.4	I
Davenport	4	1	5	8			18	1.2	I
Déchy	4		4	5	4	2	19	1.8	II
Dementieva	4	1	8	9			22	1.2	I
Dokic	4		7	5	2	1	19	1.4	I
Frazier	4	1	4	8	4	1	22	1.6	II
Halard-Decugis	4	1	6	8	5		24	1.5	II
Hingis	4	1	7	7	1		20	1.2	I
Huber	4		5	7	1	1	18	1.4	I/II
Kournikova	4	1	8	11	2		26	1.4	I/II
Likhovtseva	4	1	7	12	1	2	27	1.6	II
Maleeva	4		9	5	6	1	25	1.6	I
Martinez	4	1	5	8	2		20	1.4	I/II
Mauresmo	3		4	5	1		13	1.3	I
Pierce	4		5	3	1		13	1.1	I
Rubin	4	1	7	9	1	1	23	1.4	I
Sanchez-Vicario	4	1	6	6	1		18	1.2	I
Schett	4		6	10	3		23	1.5	II
Schnyder	4		8	7	5	1	25	1.6	II
Seles	3	1	4	6	1		15	1.3	I
Tauziat	4	1	8	10	3		26	1.4	I/II
Testud	4	1	6	7	2		20	1.3	I
Van Roost	4		5	8	1	1	19	1.5	I/II
S. Williams	3		3	5			11	1.2	I
V. Williams	3		1	5			9	1.2	I/II

Thus the strongest (highest average tier) schedules on the tour was played by Pierce (who managed to play an amazing number of Slams considering that she was injured for half the year), followed by Davenport, Dementieva (!), Hingis, Sanchez-Vicario, and Serena Williams. Venus Williams played a very strange schedule, as shown by her high mean strength and lower median strength — her results were top-heavy with Slams but bottom-heavy with Tier II events. The weakest schedule was played (for the second year running) by Nathalie Déchy, followed by Kim Clijsters, Amy Frazier, Elena Likhovtseva, Magdalena Maleeva, and Patty Schnyder.

Points earned week-by-week

The following table shows the week-by-week point totals earned by the Top Twenty. *Note: Weeks in which no Tour events were played are not shown, but weeks in which events were played but none of these players was active are shown. Results due to winning events are italicised.*

week of	C A P R I A T	C L I J S T E	C O E T Z E R	D A V E N P O	D E M E N T I	F R A Z I E R	H A L A R D	H I N G I S	H U B E R	K O U R N I K	M A R T I N E	M A R T I N E S M	P I E R C E	R U B I N	S A N C H E Z	S E L E S	T A U Z I A T	T E S T U D	V A N R O O	S W I L L I A	V W I L L I A
1/9							1			37	159				102						
1/16	61	<i>144</i>	41	233	1	50	1	129	1	138	41	<i>448</i>	85	105	95			1	101		
1/30	404	2	46	<i>934</i>	76	2	170	618	2	130	336	42	100	46	256		56	98	56	120	
2/7			80					<i>368</i>					1	177			75	251			
2/14					11		107		69	115		49					<i>313</i>	1	1	216	
2/21		49			1				1			100					1			<i>281</i>	
2/28			94			45										<i>207</i>					
3/5	36			261		49	1	241	1	132	1		109	36		108		96	1		
3/19	1	81	1	<i>479</i>	208	1	67	349	1	32	106	1	214	112		113	37	37	67	98	
4/3	125	52	110	286	108	131	1	<i>435</i>	24	53	69		1	26	30	202	26	185	26	67	
4/17			46		18	1			<i>155</i>	73	223		75	38	77	<i>311</i>		1		1	
4/24			84		41	38				40	135		<i>374</i>		273	163					
5/7			194				1	320	166	70	54	128			175			39			58
5/14			283		128		38	183	85	1	<i>435</i>	26		42	1		1	85			
5/21	1	16	<i>134</i>	51	26		51		37			303	40	32	79	326	75	1	30		59
5/28	1					1							1				45		1		
6/11	2	2	82	2	72	2	2	380	126	34	544	120	986	252	426	278	68	68	176		228
6/18	46							43									90				
6/25	94	22	58	54		1	328	<i>186</i>		58				133			60	39	256		
7/9	158	112	30	642	2	50	2	274	98	112	56	2	46	2	104	260	2	2	2	340	<i>1098</i>
7/16																					
7/23									<i>178</i>		43									75	
7/30		1	28	223		73				133				67		108		60			<i>331</i>
8/6/	1	1	49	1	1	256		85	1	212	75			1		202	83	83	49		<i>343</i>
8/13				213	151	97	1	136			54			61	49		1	108	36	<i>443</i>	
8/20	50		32	44	1	138	63	399	46	61	127			56	168		1	103	1	298	
8/26		83	145		36		1		65							233	113		75		<i>316</i>
9/10	112	42	56	592	446	2	2	462	314	60	98		130	110	106	280	232	104	30	190	<i>1056</i>
10/1	<i>175</i>	43								83							1				
10/8	1	269	96			68	213	286		1	65	1		61	135	98	109		73	252	
10/15	171		51	250	46	124	<i>185</i>	<i>393</i>		75				96			80		1		
10/22				<i>303</i>	1							36		108			54				205
10/29					79		1	368		235		170			1		150				
11/5	137	<i>339</i>			52	75	22			121				<i>193</i>	1		123				
11/12	36		58	<i>400</i>		1	87	228		60	96			49			117				
11/19	54	140	132	54	273	54	54	<i>554</i>		260	120			54	54	366	120	54			
Total	1666	1398	1930	5022	1778	1259	1442	6394	1370	2414	2837	1426	2162	1857	2132	3255	2033	1416	1057	2306	3694
# Trn	20	17	23	18	22	22	24	20	18	26	20	13	13	23	18	15	26	20	19	11	9

Tournament Results (Points Earned), Sorted from Most to Least

The table below sorts the results for the Top Twenty from most points per tournament to least. Thus, the row labelled “1” lists each player’s *best* result, the row “2” lists the next-best, and so on. The eighteenth tournament (the last to count toward the WTA rankings) is highlighted.

T o u r n #	C A P R I A T I	C L I S T E R S	C O J T E R	D A V E N P O R T	D E M E T R E V	F R A Z I E R	H A L A R D E	H I N G I S	H U B E R	K O U R N I K O V	M A R T I N E Z	M A R I E S M O	P I E R C E	R U B I N	S A N C H E Z V	S E L E S	T A U Z I A T	T E S T U D	V A N R O O S T	S W I L L I A M S	V W I L L I A M S
1	404	339	283	934	446	256	328	618	314	260	544	448	986	252	426	366	313	251	256	443	1098
2	175	269	194	642	273	138	213	554	178	235	435	303	374	193	273	326	232	185	176	340	1056
3	171	144	145	592	208	131	185	462	166	212	336	170	214	177	256	311	150	108	101	298	343
4	158	140	134	479	151	124	170	435	155	138	223	128	130	133	175	280	123	104	75	281	331
5	137	112	132	400	128	97	107	399	126	133	159	120	109	112	168	278	120	103	75	252	316
6	125	83	110	303	108	75	87	393	98	132	135	100	100	110	135	260	117	98	73	216	228
7	112	81	96	286	79	73	67	380	85	130	127	49	85	108	106	233	113	96	67	190	205
8	94	52	94	261	76	68	63	368	69	121	120	42	75	105	104	207	109	85	56	120	59
9	61	49	84	250	72	54	54	368	65	115	106	36	46	96	102	202	90	83	49	98	58
10	54	43	82	233	52	50	51	349	46	112	98	26	40	67	95	202	83	68	36	67	
11	50	42	80	223	46	50	43	320	37	88	96	2	1	61	79	163	80	60	30	1	
12	46	22	58	213	41	49	38	286	24	83	75	1	1	61	77	113	75	54	30		
13	36	16	58	54	36	45	22	274	2	75	69	1	1	56	54	108	75	39	26		
14	36	2	56	54	26	38	2	241	1	73	65			54	49	108	68	39	2		
15	2	2	51	51	18	2	2	228	1	70	56			49	30	98	60	37	1		
16	1	1	49	44	11	2	2	186	1	61	54			46	1		56	2	1		
17	1	1	46	2	2	2	1	183	1	60	54			42	1		54	1	1		
18	1		46	1	1	1	1	136	1	60	43			38	1		45	1	1		
19	1		41		1	1	1	129		58	41			36			37	1	1		
20	1		32		1	1	1	85		53	1			32			26	1			
21			30		1	1	1			40				26			2				
22			28		1	1	1			37				2			1				
23			1				1			34				1			1				
24							1			32							1				
25										1							1				
26										1							1				

Alternate Rankings

There are, of course, many ways of reshaping the above ranking data. A typical way would be to use some of the WTA's earlier ranking systems.

Total Points Ranking (1997 Ranking System)

This ranking simply adds up the total points from *all* the tournaments a player played, whether that number of tournaments be 10 (for Graf) or 29 (for Likhovtseva). It is essentially the system used by the WTA in 1997 (except that there were minor differences in the way points were awarded at events)

Total Points Rank	Player	Total	Tournaments	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	6394	20	1
2	Davenport	5022	18	2
3	V. Williams	3694	9	3
4	Seles	3255	15	4
5	Martinez	2837	20	5
6	Kournikova	2414	26	8
7	S. Williams	2306	11	6
8	Pierce	2162	13	7
9	Sanchez-Vicario	2132	18	9
10	Tauziat	2033	26	10
11	Coetzer	1930	23	11
12	Rubin	1857	23	13
13	Dementieva	1778	22	12
14	Capriati	1666	20	14
15	Halard-Decugis	1442	24	15
16	Mauresmo	1426	13	16
17	Testud	1416	20	17
18	Clijsters	1398	17	18
19	Huber	1370	18	19
20	Frazier	1259	22	20
21	Likhovtseva	1225	27	21
22	Schnyder	1081	25	25
23	Schett	1070	23	23
24	Van Roost	1057	19	24
25	Dokic	1055	19	26
26	Maleeva	1036	25	22
27	Déchy	1021	19	27
28	Tanasugarn	964	22	29
29	Brandi	956	28	28
30	Talaja	946	29	30

Best 18 does not differ much from Total Points. (This is because almost no one earns very much in tournaments 19 through whatever.) We observe only two changes in the Top 15 between this list and the Best 18 list: Kournikova would move up to #6 (from #8) and Rubin up to #12 (from #11).

But if Best 18 and Total Score rankings are almost identical, the same is not true when these systems are compared with the WTA's 1996 ranking system, Points per Tournament (minimum 14). Here the rankings are completely different. Scores are rounded to the nearest tenth of a point.

Points Per Tournament, Minimum 14 (1996 Ranking System: “The Divisor”)

1996 Ranking	WTA Rank	Name	Score
1	1	Hingis, Martina	319.7
2	2	Davenport, Lindsay	279.0
3	3	Williams, Venus	263.9
4	4	Seles, Monica	217.0
5	6	Williams, Serena	164.7
6	7	Pierce, Mary	154.4
7	5	Martinez, Conchita	141.9
8	9	Sanchez-Vicario, Arantxa	118.4
9	16	Mauresmo, Amelie	101.9
10	8	Kournikova, Anna	92.8
11	11	Coetzer, Amanda	83.9
12	14	Capriati, Jennifer	83.2
13	18	Clijsters, Kim	82.2
14	12	Dementieva, Elena	80.8
15	13	Rubin, Chanda	80.7
16	10	Tauziat, Nathalie	78.2
17	19	Huber, Anke	76.1
18	17	Testud, Sandrine	70.8
19	15	Halard-Decugis, Julie	60.1
20	20	Frazier, Amy	57.2
21	24	Van Roost, Dominique	55.6
22	26	Dokic, Jelena	55.5
23	27	Déchy, Nathalie	53.7
24	48	Henin, Justine	47.3
25	23	Schett, Barbara	46.5
26	21	Likhovtseva, Elena	45.4
27	31	Raymond, Lisa	44.5
28	29	Tanasugarn, Tamarine	43.8
29	25	Schnyder, Patty	43.2
30	32	Leon Garcia, Gala	42.0
31	22	Maleeva, Magdalena	41.4
32	47	Hrdlickova, Kveta	40.6
33	54	Bedanova, Daja	38.1
34	42	Zuluaga, Fabiola	37.9
35	33	Sugiyama, Ai	36.8

We follow this with the calculations based on the past and present ATP systems

Best 14

The WTA uses the “Best 18” ranking system — totalling the points earned in the eighteen tournaments where one earned the most points. For most of the Nineties, the ATP uses a related ranking system, “Best 14” — the total points earned in one’s best *fourteen* events. If this system were applied to the WTA, the results would be as follows:

Best 14 Rank	WTA Rank	Name	Best 14 Total
1	1	Hingis	5447
2	2	Davenport	4924
3	3	V. Williams	3694
4	4	Seles	3157
5	5	Martinez	2588
6	6	S. Williams	2306
7	7	Pierce	2162
8	9	Sanchez-Vicario	2099
9	8	Kournikova	1907
10	10	Tauziat	1748
11	12	Dementieva	1742
12	14	Capriati	1659
13	11	Coetzer	1606
14	13	Rubin	1585
15	15	Halard-Decugis	1430
16	16	Mauresmo	1426
17	18	Clijsters	1394
18	17	Testud	1373
19	19	Huber	1366
20	20	Frazier	1248
21	21	Likhovtseva	1184
22	23	Schett	1065
23	24	Van Roost	1052
24	26	Dokic	1049
25	27	Déchy	1015
	22	Maleeva	991
	25	Schnyder	934

Best 18 with Slotted Point Awards (ATP Year 2000 Award)

This is the new men's "ranking" system. I put "ranking" in quotes because of several complications — first, the fact that it has two parts, much too easily confused. And second, there is the discontinuity — top players are expected to play Masters Series events, while lower-ranked players need not. There is no provision for injuries. All in all, it's a system in need of work.

The slotted system counts a player's results in Slams, Masters Series (the equivalent of the Tier I tournaments on the WTA tour), and a handful of other events. (Note, this is not *quite* the same as the men's system, because they treat their year-end event differently. But the year-end event must be treated differently, because the qualification systems are not parallel.) In the table below, "Required Points" refers to points earned in the Required Events (Slams, Tier I) "Optional Points" is what the players earned in their best other events.

Slotted Rank	WTA Rank	Player Name	Required Pts	Optional Pts	Total Slotted Pts
1	1	Hingis	4229	1629	5858
2	2	Davenport	3280	1420	4700
3	3	V. Williams	2441	1253	3694
4	4	Seles	1622	1319	2941
5	5	Martinez	1906	673	2579
6	6	S. Williams	1113	1193	2306
7	7	Pierce	1892	270	2162
8	9	Sanchez-Vicario	1444	584	2028
9	8	Kournikova	921	875	1796
10	12	Dementieva	1233	530	1763
11	10	Tauziat	803	786	1589
12	13	Rubin	951	606	1557
13	11	Coetzer	855	701	1556
14	14	Capriati	1024	521	1545
15	16	Mauresmo	664	761	1425
16	19	Huber	733	633	1366
17	17	Testud	934	401	1335
18	15	Halard-Decugis	397	920	1317
19	18	Clijsters	291	975	1266
20	21	Likhovtseva	532	560	1092
21	23	Schett	600	433	1033
22	26	Dokic	807	223	1030
23	22	Maleeva	589	403	992
24	20	Frazier	364	625	989
25	24	Van Roost	389	580	969
26	31	Raymond	505	406	911
27	30	Talaja	256	599	855
	27	Déchy	364	491	855
29	33	Sugiyama	622	230	852
30	25	Schnyder	444	405	849

It will be evident that, in 2000, this system produced results similar to the WTA rankings. This is not always so; in 1999, it caused #3 and #4 to exchange places!

Total Wins

The list below shows how the top 25 fared in terms of wins (I also show losses for balance). The reason this deviates so far from the rankings is that some of these players played large numbers of low-tier (Tier III and Tier IV) tournaments. Since they faced low-level opposition, their wins, quite properly, do not count as much toward the rankings. Others simply were unable to play many tournaments. Though their winning percentage was high (witness Seles and Serena Williams), their total wins were relatively low. Where two players have the same number of wins, I list the player with fewer losses first.

Note: As elsewhere, this list includes only official tour wins; exhibitions (including Grand Slam Cup and Fed Cup) are excluded. Also, walkovers are not calculated as wins or losses.

Rank	Name	Wins	Losses	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	77	10	1
2	Davenport	56	12	2
3	Seles	51	12	4
4	Martinez	47	19	5
	Kournikova	47	26	8
6	Coetzer	43	22	11
7	Rubin	42	21	13
8	Sanchez-Vicario	38	18	9
9	S. Williams	37	8	6
10	Tauziat	36	25	10
11	V. Williams	35	4	3
	Capriati	35	19	14
13	Dementieva	33*	21	12
14	Schnyder	31	25	25
15	Testud	30	20	17
	Frazier	30	22	20
17	Pierce	29	11	7
	Huber	29	16	19
	Halard-Decugis	29	22	15
	Likhovtseva	29	27	21
21	Clijsters	28	15	18
	Dokic	28	19	26
23	Déchy	27	19	27
	Schett	27	22	23
25	Maleeva	25*	25	22
26	Mauresmo	24	12	16
27	Van Roost	23	18	24

*Excludes wins in qualifying: 2 for Dementieva, 8 for Maleeva

Winning Percentage

Based on the data on wins, we find the following order for win percentage (where there is a tie, the player with the higher number of wins is listed first):

Rank	Name	Wins	Losses	Win%	WTA Rank
1	V. Williams	35	4	89.7%	3
2	Hingis	77	10	88.5%	1
3	Davenport	56	12	82.4%	2
4	S. Williams	37	8	82.2%	6
5	Seles	51	12	81.0%	4
6	Pierce	29	11	72.5%	7
7	Martinez	47	19	71.2%	5
8	Sanchez-Vicario	38	18	67.9%	9
9	Rubin	42	21	66.7%	13
9	Mauresmo	24	12	66.7%	16
11	Coetzer	43	22	66.2%	11
12	Clijsters	28	15	65.1%	18
13	Capriati	35	19	64.8%	14
14	Kournikova	47	26	64.4%	8
14	Huber	29	16	64.4%	19
16	Dementieva	33	21	61.1%	12
17	Testud	30	20	60.0%	17
18	Dokic	28	19	59.6%	26
19	Tauziat	36	25	59.0%	10
20	Déchy	27	19	58.7%	27
21	Frazier	30	22	57.7%	20
22	Halard-Decugis	29	22	56.9%	15
23	Van Roost	23	18	56.1%	24
24	Schnyder	31	25	55.4%	25
25	Schett	27	22	55.1%	23
26	Likhovtseva	29	27	51.8%	21
27	Maleeva	25	25	50.0%	22

The numbers for the Top Five all require some comment. Venus Williams tops the list, but on an unbalanced schedule; her wins came mostly in a winning steak entirely on fast surfaces and mostly on American hardcourts (much the favourite surface of the Williams family). Hingis, who is close behind her, does not have the advantage of an unbalanced schedule, but she did play a Tier III.

Turning to the next group — Davenport, Serena Williams, and Seles — we note that Davenport withdrew from several events where she might otherwise have taken a loss. Serena played only one event on clay, historically by far her worst surface. Seles played a balanced schedule, though one which included a Tier III — but she had a much lower rate of wins over top players; her titles were at events where she earned few quality points. On balance, it might be best to say simply that, in terms of winning percentage, there was a Top Two (Venus and Hingis) and a Next Three (Davenport, Serena, and Seles), and not try to classify beyond that.

Consistency-Rewarded Rankings

The WTA's Best 18 ranking cares nothing for consistency — your best results count, and nothing else. The old WTA divisor ranking took consistency more into account — but big results (e.g. from Slams) still biased the result. The Consistency-Rewarded Rankings give the greatest reward to consistent players. If good results help, bad results *hurt*. The method is as follows: One takes the *natural log* — in mathematical terms, $\ln()$ — of each weekly score, takes the arithmetic mean (i.e. divide by the number of events; as with the divisor, we set a minimum of fourteen), then take the antilog, e^x or $\exp(x)$. Under this system, a player who is absolutely consistent, producing the same score at every event, will get the same score as under the divisor. A less-consistent player will get a lower score — the less consistent, the lower the score.

A consistency-punishing ranking is, of course, also possible — but is functionally equivalent to just ranking players according to their single highest score.

Ranking	Player	Consistency Score	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	286.2	1
2	Seles	199.3	4
3	Davenport	125.0	2
4	Martinez	86.6	5
5	Kournikova	60.3	8
6	Coetzer	60.2	11
7	Rubin	51.6	13
8	Sanchez-Vicario	51.3	9
9	S. Williams	44.0 (82.7 if we divide by 12 rather than 14)	6
10	V. Williams	36.2 (65.9 if we divide by 12 rather than 14)	3
11	Hénin	32.8	48
12	Pierce	32.2	7
13	Tauziat	31.4	10
14	Clijsters	29.4	18
15	Testud	28.0	17
16	Mauresmo	27.4	16
17	Capriati	24.6	14
18	Dementieva	22.9	12
19	Huber	20.8	19
20	Déchy	19.9	27
	Schnyder	19.7	25
	Dokic	19.6	26
	Van Roost	17.8	24
	Frazier	17.4	20
	Raymond	15.8	31
	Maleeva	12.2	22
	Halard-Decugis	11.9	15
	Likhovtseva	10.0	21
	Schett	9.8	23
	Sugiyama	9.1	33
	Sidot	9.1	36
	Talaja	5.7	30

Divisor Rankings, No Slam Bonus

In terms of strength of field, the Slams are no strong than the Ericsson or Indian Wells — or even San Diego. But the Slams award double points — at the Ericsson, you earn 260 points for winning the tournament, and 100 points for beating the #1 player, while at a Slam, it's 520 and 200 points, respectively. The following table calculates divisor rankings if this Slam Bonus (or Slam Bias, as some call it) is eliminated.

Rank	Player	Score	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	276.4	1
2	Davenport	218.7	2
3	Seles	189.7	4
4	V. Williams	178.8*	3
5	S. Williams	141.5†	6
6	Martinez	116.0	5
7	Pierce	109.4§	7
8	Mauresmo	96.0‡	16
9	Sanchez-Vicario	93.7	9
10	Kournikova	86.4	8
11	Coetzer	79.3	11
12	Clijsters	77.6	12
13	Rubin	71.8	13
14	Tauziat	71.3	10
15	Dementieva	67.3	12
16	Capriati	66.4	14
17	Testud	64.0	17
18	Huber	61.1	19
19	Halard-Decugis	56.4	15
20	Frazier	56.0	20
21	Déchy	49.5	27
22	Van Roost	48.7	24
23	Dokic	43.6	26
24	Schett	41.6	23
25	Hénin	40.2	48
	Likhovtseva	38.8	21
	Schnyder	38.2	25
	Maleeva	38.2	22
	Raymond	37.1	31
	Talaja	31.2	30
	Sidot	28.4	36
	Sugiyama	26.7	33

* Rises to 278.1 if no minimum divisor.

† Rises to 180.1 if no minimum divisor

§ Rises to 117.8 if no minimum divisor

‡ Rises to 103.4 if no minimum divisor

Other Alternate Rankings

The next five ranking systems are all based on WTA point awards, although they have never been used for any ranking purpose. They reveal different aspects of player success.

Total Round Points

Consists of the total round points which a player has earned in tournaments in the last year. Note: *All* a player's tournaments are included here, not just their Best 18, although this has only the slightest effect on the rankings (e.g. it causes Martinez and Sanchez-Vicario to change places). In general, a player who does better in this ranking than in the WTA rankings is one who is failing to beat top players, and is attaining ranking by proceeding through easy matches. A player who stands lower in this ranking than the WTA ranking is one who perhaps has bad losses but who also probably has beaten a number of higher-ranked players.

Rank	Name	Total Rnd Pts	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	4001	1
2	Davenport	3011	2
3	Seles	2072	4
4	V. Williams	1996	3
5	Martinez	1949	5
6	Kournikova	1625	8
7	Tauziat	1468	10
8	S. Williams	1460	6
9	Sanchez-Vicario	1370	9
10	Pierce	1296	7
11	Coetzer	1280	11
12	Rubin	1176	13
13	Capriati	1071	14
14	Dementieva	1048	12
15	Halard-Decugis	1015	15
16	Testud	969	17
17	Mauresmo	863	16
18	Huber	842	19
19	Clijsters	790	18
20	Likhovtseva	779	21
21	Frazier	773	20
22	Schett	751	23
23	Dokic	735	26
24	Schnyder	695	25
25	Tanasugarn	672	29
	Déchy	624	27
	Talaja	578	30
	Maleeva	576	22
	Brandi	569	28
	Van Roost	563	24

Total Quality Points

The inverse of the proceeding: Total quality points from all tournaments. It roughly measures the number of high-level opponents one has defeated. In the event of a tie, the higher-ranked player is listed first.

Rank	Name	Total Qual Pts	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	2393	1
2	Davenport	2011	2
3	V. Williams	1698	3
4	Seles	1183	4
5	Martinez	887	5
6	Pierce	866	7
7	S. Williams	846	6
8	Kournikova	789	8
9	Sanchez-Vicario	762	9
10	Dementieva	730	12
11	Rubin	681	13
12	Coetzer	650	11
13	Clijsters	608	18
14	Capriati	595	14
15	Tauziat	565	10
16	Mauresmo	563	16
17	Huber	528	19
18	Van Roost	494	24
19	Frazier	486	20
20	Maleeva	460	22
	Testud	447	17
	Likhovtseva	446	21
	Halard-Decugis	427	15
	Déchy	396	27

Round Points Per Tournament

This ranking measures, in effect, how far a player typically advanced in a tournament, regardless of opposition.

Rank	Name	Rnd Pts per Trn	WTA Rank
1	V. Williams	221.8*	3
2	Hingis	200.1	1
3	Davenport	167.3	2
4	Seles	138.1	4
5	S. Williams†	132.7	6
6	Pierce§	99.7	7
7	Martinez	97.5	5
8	Sanchez-Vicario	76.1	9
9	Mauresmo	66.4‡	16
10	Kournikova	62.5	8
11	Tauziat	56.5	10
12	Coetzer	55.7	11
13	Capriati	53.6	14
14	Rubin	51.1	13
15	Testud	48.5	17
16	Dementieva	47.6	12
17	Huber	46.8	19
18	Clijsters	46.5	18
19	Halard-Decugis	42.3	15
20	Dokic	38.7	26
	Frazier	35.1	20
	Déchy	32.8	27
	Schett	32.7	23
	Raymond	32.1	31
	Van Roost	29.6	24
	Likhovtseva	28.9	21

* Falls to 142.6 and #3 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

† Falls to 104.3 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

§ Falls to 92.6 and #7 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

‡ Falls to 61.6 and #10 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

Quality Points Per Tournament

The reverse of the above, this calculates the difficulty of the opposition a player has overcome. For players outside the Top Six, it is a good measure of how they stack up against other players, and how likely they are to produce upsets. For the Top Six, it is rather less meaningful, because the different levels of quality point awards for the top players (that is, the fact that a win over #1 is worth much more than a win over #4) obscures their actual results. It will be noted that this list contains several players who are far outside the Top Thirty in the WTA lists (Hénin, Bedanova, Jeyaseelan).

Rank	Name	Quality per Trn	WTA Rank
1	V. Williams	188.7*	3
2	Hingis	119.7	1
3	Davenport	111.7	2
4	Seles	78.9	4
5	S. Williams	76.9†	6
6	Pierce	66.6§	7
7	Martinez	44.4	5
8	Mauresmo	43.3‡	16
9	Sanchez-Vicario	42.3	9
10	Clijsters	35.8	18
11	Dementieva	33.2	12
12	Kournikova	30.3	8
13	Capriati	29.8	14
14	Rubin	29.6	13
15	Huber	29.3	19
16	Coetzer	28.3	11
17	Van Roost	26.0	24
18	Testud	22.4	17
19	Frazier	22.1	20
20	Tauziat	21.7	10
21	Déchy	20.8	27
22	Hénin	20.8	48
23	Maleeva	18.4	22
24	Halard-Decugis	17.8	15
25	Dokic	16.8	26
26	Likhovtseva	16.5	21
27	Leon Garcia	16.5	32
28	Jeyaseelan	15.8	49
29	Bedanova	15.8	54
30	Schnyder	15.4	25
36	Schett	13.9	23

* Falls to 121.3 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required

† Falls to 60.4 and #6 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required

§ Falls to 61.9 (but rises to #5 in the rankings) if a minimum divisor of 14 is required

‡ Falls to 40.2 and #9 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

Quality/Round Points Equalized: 2Q+R Per Tournament

Calculated by doubling total quality points, adding round points, and dividing the sum by tournaments. The effect of this is to make, very roughly, half of the typical player's points come from quality and half from round points. This is, in the author's opinion, about the best way to assess players' actual performances based solely on WTA ranking data.

Rank	Name	2Q+R per Trn	WTA Rank
1	V. Williams	599.1*	3
2	Hingis	439.4	1
3	Davenport	390.7	2
4	Seles	295.9	4
5	S. Williams	286.5†	6
6	Pierce	232.9§	7
7	Martinez	186.2	5
8	Sanchez-Vicario	160.8	9
9	Mauresmo	153.0‡	16
10	Kournikova	123.2	8
11	Clijsters	118.0	18
12	Dementieva	114.0	12
13	Capriati	113.1	14
14	Coetzer	112.2	11
15	Rubin	110.3	13
16	Huber	105.4	19
17	Tauziat	99.9	10
18	Testud	93.2	17
19	Van Roost	81.6	24
20	Frazier	79.3	20
21	Halard-Decugis	77.9	15
22	Déchy	74.5	27
23	Dokic	72.3	26
24	Hénin	68.0	24
25	Likhovtseva	61.9	21
26	Schett	60.4	23
27	Maleeva	59.8	22
28	Schnyder	58.7	25
29	Leon Garcia	58.5	29
30	Raymond	56.8	31

* Falls to 385.1 and #3 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

† Falls to 225.1 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

§ Falls to 216.3 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

‡ Falls to 142.2 if a minimum divisor of 14 is required.

The Ideal System (Maybe): Surface-Modified Divisor (Minimum 16)

In examining the various ranking systems used (and not used) by the Tours, one noticed that each has strengths and weaknesses. The current ATP Tour system has the advantage of enforcing surface balance, but it generally ignores smaller tournaments and has no reward for beating top players. The WTA Tour system has the advantage of encouraging players to play regularly (any good result is likely to increase a player's ranking total) but also encourages overplaying, has no surface balance, and renders losses meaningless.

Based on consideration, it seems to me that the following are the key features of an ideal ranking system:

1. Both wins and losses should count.
2. There should be strong rewards for quality; winning a tournament with a weak field should have relatively little value
3. There should be a minimum required number of tournaments, but incentives for playing more than the minimum should be minimized (to prevent injury) without being entirely eliminated
4. Surfaces should be balanced — players should not be allowed to “clean up” by playing more than half their events on a particular surface.
5. The Slam Bias should be reduced relative to the stronger tournaments such as the Ericsson.

My proposal to do this is as follows (and believe me, I had no idea how this would turn out when I started the calculation):

- The system should be point-and-divisor based: You earn a certain number of points, and divide them by a number of tournament. This is probably not the best mathematical model, but it is (relatively) simple.
- The minimum divisor should be 16 (in doubles, perhaps 12). This is larger than the divisor of 14 the WTA used in 1996, but smaller than the Best 18 used from 1998 on.
- The Slam Bonus should be reduced from 2 to 1.5
- Quality points should be multiplied by 1.5 (Note that this, combined with the preceding point, means that quality points at Slams will be multiplied by 2.5.)
- The current WTA Round Point table may be retained
- There should be a minimum number of events on each surface: Six on hardcourts, four indoors, three on clay, one on grass. Additional events may be played on any surface. If, however, you fail to play the minimum on any given surface, your divisor will be adjusted accordingly. Example: A player plays sixteen events, but only two on clay. She was supposed to play three on clay. The difference, one, is added to her divisor; she is treated as if she had played seventeen events. (Note: If a player plays fewer than sixteen events, and also plays fewer than the minimum on one or more surfaces, the divisor should remain at sixteen.)
- If one plays beyond the minimum of sixteen, *one* tournament will be subtracted for each *three* additional tournaments one plays. Thus, if one plays 19 tournaments, one's worst tournament will be subtracted from both total points and divisor. If one plays 22, a second tournament goes off. And so forth, with a third tournament coming off at 25, a fourth at 28, and a fifth at 31.

The following table shows the result of this calculation for the WTA Top 30. The first column, “rnk,” is the player's rank under this system. “Player” is the player involved. “# of Tourn” is the number of events the player actually played this year. “Qual Pts, Round Pts, and Slam Pts” are actual quality points, round points, and points earned in Slams. “Penalty Tourns” is the number of extra tournaments assessed for surface imbalance. “Bonus Tourns” is the tournaments remitted for playing more than the minimum of 16. “Adjusted points” is the calculated points total — equal to round points plus half of quality points minus one fourth of Slam Points. “Adjust. # Tourn” is the adjusted tournaments played — either 16 (if you played only sixteen events) or the number of tournaments *plus* penalty tournaments *minus* bonus tournaments. Score is what you get when you divide Adjusted Points by Adjusted # of Tournaments — the whole point of the exercise (to make this obvious, the numbers are shown in **bold**). WTA Rnk is the player's WTA rank. And so, without further ado,

Surface-Modified Divisor (Minimum 16) Ranking Table

Rnk	Player	# of Tourn	Qual Pts	Round Pts	Slam Pts	Penalty Tourns	Bonus Tourns	Adjusted Points	Adjust. # Tourn	Score	WTA Rnk
1	Hingis	20	2393	4001	1734		1	7157.0	19	376.7	1
2	Davenport	18	2011	3011	2170	1		5485.0	19	288.7	2
3	V. Williams	9	1698	1996	2382	5		3947.5	16	246.7	3
4	Seles	15	1183	2072	818	2		3642.0	16	227.6	4
5	S. Williams	11	846	1460	650	4		2566.5	16	160.4	6
6	Martinez	20	887	1949	1034	1	1	3021.0	20	151.1	5
7	Pierce	13	866	1296	1262	4		2279.5	16	142.5	7
8	Sanchez-Vic	18	762	1370	892			2290.0	18	127.2	9
9	Kournikova	26	789	1625	336		3	2724.5	23	118.5	8
10	Coetzer	23	650	1280	214		2	2201.5	21	104.8	11
11	Mauresmo	13	563	863	164	2		1666.5	16	104.2	16
12	Rubin	23	681	1176	410		2	2095.0	21	99.8	13
13	Dementieva	22	730	1048	596		2	1994.0	20	99.7	12
14	Tauziat	26	565	1468	358		3	2226.0	23	96.8	10
15	Capriati	20	595	1071	676		1	1794.5	19	94.4	14
16	Clijsters	17	608	790	158	1		1662.5	18	92.4	18
17	Testud	20	447	969	272	1	1	1571.5	20	78.6	17
18	Huber	18	528	842	540	2		1499.0	20	75.0	19
19	Frazier	22	486	773	56		2	1488.0	20	74.4	20
20	Halard-Dec	24	427	1015	176		2	1611.5	22	73.3	15
21	Van Roost	19	494	563	264	1	1	1238.0	19	65.2	24
22	Dokic	19	319	735	454		1	1100.0	18	61.1	26
23	Déchy	19	396	624	160	2	1	1178.0	20	58.9	27
24	Likhovtseva	27	446	779	356		3	1359.0	24	56.6	21
25	Schett	23	319	751	228		2	1172.5	21	55.8	23
26	Maleeva	25	460	576	162		3	1225.5	22	55.7	22
27	Schnyder	25	386	695	252		3	1211.0	22	55.0	25
28	Tanasugarn	25	292	672	292		3	1037.0	22	47.1	29
29	Talaja	29	368	578	82		4	1109.5	25	44.4	30
30	Brandi	29	387	569	408		4	1047.5	25	41.9	28

Winning Percentage Adjusting for Tournament Strength

The table below breaks down wins and losses according to the tier of the tournament played. The assumption is that a win at a high-tier event has more significance than a win at a low-tier event, while a loss at a low-tier event is more “shameful” than a loss at a high-tier event.

The final two columns allow us to adjust these numbers. The column labelled “+W” stands for “awards for wins.” This means that players are *rewarded* for wins in major events — Slams, Chase, Tier I, Tier II. The number of wins in these events is multiplied by 1.33 (arbitrary multiplier; the difference in tournament strength between a Tier II and a Tier III is often much greater than this). The next column, “+W-L” stands for “awards for wins, penalties for losses.” Here again, wins at bigger events are multiplied by 1.33 — but losses at lesser events (Tier III and Tier IV) are penalized by being multiplied by 1.5. The result is then roughly normalized (made to approximate actual winning percentages) by multiplying by .8.

Note that the result of these calculations is not a percentage, but is intended to range from 0 to 100. The higher the number, the better the player’s results. Note that, for players who did not play, or did not lose in, a Tier III or below, the figures in the final two columns are identical.

Player Name	WTA Rnk	Slams			Chase			Tier I			Tier II			Tier III			Tier IV			Overall			+ W	+W -L
		W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%		
Capriati	14	11	4	73	0	1	0	8	5	62	3	5	38	13	4	76				35	19	64.8	63	60
Clijsters	18	2	4	33	1	1	50	4	2	67	12	5	71	3	2	60	6	1		28	15	65.1	64	62
Coetzer	11	6	4	60	1	1	50	12	7	63	16	9	64	3	1	75	5	0		43	22	66.2	67	67
Davenport	2	19	3	86	0	1	0	16	3	84	21	5	81							56	12	82.4	88	88
Déchy	27	3	4	43				6	4	60	7	5	58	9	4	69	4	2	67	29	19	60.4	57	54
Dementieva	12	8	3	73	2	1	67	17	8	68	6	9	40							33	21	61.1	65	65
Dokic	26	9	4	69				9	7	56	7	5	58	1	2	33	2	1		28	19	59.6	62	60
Frazier	20	2	4	33	0	1	0	7	4	64	10	8	56	8	4	67	3	1	75	30	22	57.7	56	53
Halard-Decug	15	4	4	50	0	1	0	6	5	55	12	7	63	7	5	58				29	22	56.9	57	54
Hingis	1	20	4	83	4	0	100	31	2	94	19	4	83	3	0	100				77	10	88.5	93	93
Huber	19	10	4	71				5	5	50	5	7	42	4	0	100	5	0		29	16	64.4	63	63
Kournikova	8	7	4	64	2	1	67	12	8	60	23	11	68	3	2	60				47	26	64.4	67	67
Likhovtseva	21	7	4	64	0	1	0	6	7	46	14	12	54	0	1	0	2	2		29	27	51.8	54	53
Maleeva	22	4	4	50				11	9	55	4	5	44	9	6	60	0	1	0	28	25	52.8	52	49
Martinez	5	14	4	78	1	1	50	15	4	79	12	8	60	5	2	71				47	19	71.2	74	73
Mauresmo	16	4	3	57				9	4	69	7	4	64	4	1	80				24	12	66.7	68	67
Pierce	7	14	3	82				10	4	71	5	3	63	0	1	0				29	11	72.5	77	76
Rubin	13	7	4	64	0	1	0	14	7	67	13	8	62	4	0	100	4	1		42	21	66.7	68	67
Sanchez-Vicar	9	15	4	79	0	1	0	10	6	63	10	6	63	3	1	75				38	18	67.9	71	70
Schett	23	7	4	64				7	6	54	7	10	41	6	2	75				27	22	55.1	55	54
Schnyder	25	5	4	56				6	8	43	8	7	53	11	5	69	1	1	50	31	25	55.4	53	51
Seles	4	12	3	80	3	1	75	15	3	83	17	5	77	4	0	100				51	12	81.0	84	84
Tauziat	10	7	4	64	1	1	50	8	8	50	16	9	64	4	3	57				36	25	59.0	61	60
Testud	17	8	4	67	0	1	0	13	6	68	7	7	50	2	2	50				30	20	60.0	63	62
Van Roost	24	3	4	43				4	4	50	12	8	60	0	1	0	4	1		23	18	56.1	57	56
S. Williams	6	12	3	80				9	3	75	16	2	89							37	8	82.2	87	87
V. Williams	3	18	1	95				1	1	50	16	2	89							35	4	89.7	95	95

* Dementieva was actually 6-6 in the main draws of Tier II events, but compiled a record of 2-3 in Tier II qualifying. The best way to calculate this, since she never qualified, seemed to be to count the losses but ignore the wins.

† Maleeva actually compiled 9 Tier I Main Draw wins and 3 Tier II Main Draw wins. But she qualified for two of those Tier I main draws (winning five qualifying matches) and one of the Tier IIs (winning three qualifying matches). The best way to account for this seemed to be to treat *all* matches resulting in qualification as a single win. Your mileage may vary. Mine did above, in the section on total wins.

From this, we can calculate a Top Twenty under each of the three accounting systems:

Rank	Strict Win Percentage		Awards for Wins (+W)		Awards+Penalties (+W-L)	
	Player	Score	Player	Score	Player	Score
1	V. Williams	89.7	V. Williams	95.5	V. Williams	95.5
2	Hingis	88.5	Hingis	93.3	Hingis	93.3
3	Davenport	82.4	Davenport	87.6	Davenport	87.6
4	S. Williams	82.2	S. Williams	87.5	S. Williams	87.5
5	Seles	81.0	Seles	84.5	Seles	84.5
6	Pierce	72.5	Pierce	77.1	Pierce	76.2
7	Martinez	71.2	Martinez	73.8	Martinez	72.7
8	Sanchez-Vicario	67.9	Sanchez-Vicario	70.8	Sanchez-Vicario	70.2
9	Rubin	66.7	Mauresmo	68.0	Mauresmo	67.1
10	Mauresmo	66.7	Rubin	67.6	Rubin	67.0
11	Coetzer	66.2	Kournikova	67.4	Coetzer	66.6
12	Clijsters	65.1	Coetzer	67.1	Kournikova	66.5
13	Capriati	64.8	Dementieva	65.0	Dementieva	65.0
14	Huber	64.4	Clijsters	63.8	Huber	63.3
15	Kournikova	64.4	Huber	63.3	Clijsters	61.6
16	Dementieva	61.1	Testud	62.8	Testud	61.6
17	Déchy	60.4	Capriati	62.6	Capriati	60.4
18	Testud	60.0	Dokic	61.7	Dokic	59.8
19	Dokic	59.6	Tauziat	61.1	Tauziat	59.6
20	Tauziat	59.0	Déchy	57.1	Van Roost	55.8
	Frazier	57.7	Van Roost	57.1	Schett	54.3
	Halard-Decugis	56.9	Halard-Decugis	56.9	Halard-Decugis	54.2
	Van Roost	56.1	Frazier	55.8	Déchy	53.8
	Schnyder	55.4	Schett	55.4	Frazier	53.2
	Schett	55.1	Likhovtseva	54.2	Likhovtseva	52.7
	Maleeva	52.8	Schnyder	53.2	Schnyder	50.5
	Likhovtseva	51.8	Maleeva	51.7	Maleeva	48.5

Wins Per Tournament

Calculates the number of wins each player averaged per tournament. Note: The list includes only the Top players; it is nearly certain that some players outside the Top 25 will have achieved higher numbers than, say, Likhovtseva or Maleeva by playing lower-tier tournaments or a limited schedule (e.g. Hénin had 17 tour wins, plus many qualifying and challenger wins, but played only thirteen events, putting her between Frazier and Schnyder on the list). Wins in qualifying are not shown (affecting the figures for Dementieva and Maleeva), though a loss in qualifying is considered to be a tournament.

Rank	Player	Wins	Tournaments	Wins/Tourn	WTA Rank
1	V. Williams	35	9	3.89	3
2	Hingis	77	20	3.85	1
3	Seles	51	15	3.40	4
4	S. Williams	37	11	3.36	6
5	Davenport	56	18	3.11	2
6	Martinez	47	20	2.35	5
7	Pierce	29	13	2.23	7
8	Sanchez-Vicario	38	18	2.11	9
9	Coetzer	43	23	1.87	11
10	Mauresmo	24	13	1.85	16
11	Rubin	42	23	1.83	13
12	Kournikova	47	26	1.81	8
13	Capriati	35	20	1.75	14
14	Clijsters	28	17	1.65	18
15	Huber	29	18	1.61	19
16	Dementieva	33	22	1.50	12
17	Testud	30	20	1.50	17
18	Dokic	28	19	1.47	26
19	Déchy	27	19	1.42	27
20	Tauziat	36	26	1.38	10
	Frazier	30	22	1.36	20
	Schnyder	31	25	1.24	25
	Halard-Decugis	29	24	1.21	15
	Van Roost	23	19	1.21	24
	Schett	27	23	1.17	23
	Likhovtseva	29	27	1.07	21
	Maleeva	25	25	1.00	22

Percentage of Possible Points Earned

Tournaments differ in their “richness.” A win at a Slam, for instance, is worth twice as much as a win in an equivalent round of a Tier I. A player who plays mostly “rich” tournaments, such as Slams and Tier I events, will therefore earn more points than a player who has the same number of wins in lesser tournaments. We can control for this by comparing a player’s *actual* score with the *expected* results if one wins each level of tournament.

For these purposes, we must define values for each of the various tournament types. For this exercise, I have used the following values:

- **Slam:** 870 (520 round points + 350 quality points = 7 rounds * 25 pts/round * 2 slam bonus)
- **Chase Championship:** 590 (390 round points + 200 qual points = 4 rounds * 50 pts/round)
- **64+ draw [Tier I] — Ericsson, Indian Wells:** 440 (260 round points + 180 qual points = 6 rounds * 30 pts/round)
- **56-Draw Tier I (=Hilton Head, Berlin, Rome, Canadian Open):** 410 (260 round points + 150 qual points = 5 rounds * 30 pts/round)
- **28-Draw Tier I (=Pan Pacific, Zurich, Moscow):** 388 (260 round points + 128 qual points = 4 rounds * 32 pts/round)
- **Tier II:** 320 (200 round points + 120 qual points = 4 rounds * 30 pts/round)
- **Tier III:** 228 (140 round points + 88 qual points = 4 rounds * 22 pts/round)
- **Tier IV:** 174 (110 round points for Tier IVA + 64 qual points = 4 rounds * 16 pts/round)

Note that other point assignments may be used, to favour those who play more higher- or lower-tier tournaments. The above is an approximation, based on the examination of several tournament fields: This is what one could typically expect to earn at such an event. Not all tournament winners would earn this precise amount (Pierce and Venus Williams, for instance, earned much more for their Slam wins, because they were able to beat many top players, while Seles’s three tournament wins earned her less than the above figures because the events were weak. It is, of course, possible to calculate the maximum number of points a player could earn for any given tournament — but this is actually an unfair gauge, because chances are that a particular player will not play all her highest-round opponents. And this is not under the player’s own control.)

Based on these numbers, we can calculate an approximate figure for the number of points a player could have earned based on her schedule. This is the “Possible Points” field. The “Actual Points” is what the player actually earned in these events (note that this does *not* match a player’s WTA ranking total. Hingis, for instance, earned over 200 points in her nineteenth and twentieth tournaments; these count in her total). The column after that, “Percent,” shows the percent of her possible points a player earned. The final column, “average richness,” is simply the possible points divided by the number of tournaments. This shows how strong a player’s schedule is. Venus Williams, for instance, played only ten tournaments — but they included three Slams, which are obviously “rich.” Similarly, Seles and Serena Williams played few, but very high-tier, events. This gave them the opportunity to earn a lot of points in a relatively small number of tournaments.

The key figure, therefore, is “percent” — this is the calculation which shows how well a player lived up to expectations. In this category Venus is the clear leader, with an astonishing 80.0% of possible points earned — though this result is slightly tainted by her limited sample. Could Venus have kept it up for a whole year on a full schedule? We can’t say. Venus is followed by the usual suspects: Hingis (whose better-than-60% figure is impressive itself), Davenport, Seles, Serena Williams, and Pierce, in that order. Note that only Hingis, Davenport, and Venus earned over 50% of their possible points — a much lower figure than last year, when five players (these three plus Serena and Graf) did it. The Big Six plus Martinez are the only players to earn over 25% of their possible points.

Player	Slams	Chase	Tr I 64+	Tr I 56 draw	Tr I 28 draw	Tier II	Tier III	Tier IV	Possible Points	Actual Points	Percent	Avg Richness
Capriati	4	1	2	2	1	5	5		8898	1666	18.7%	445
Clijsters	4	1	2			6	2	2	7674	1398	18.2%	451
Coetzer	4	1	2	3	2	9	1	1	10238	1930	18.9%	445
Davenport	4	1	2	2	1	8			8718	5022	57.6%	484
Déchy	4		2	2		5	4	2	8040	1021	12.7%	423
Dementieva	4	1	2	4	2	9			10246	1778	17.4%	466
Dokic	4		2	3	2	5	2	1	8596	1055	12.3%	452
Frazier	4	1	2	2		8	4	1	9416	1259	13.4%	428
Halard-Decugis	4	1	2	3	1	8	5		10268	1442	14.0%	428
Hingis	4	1	2	2	3	7	1		9402	6394	68.0%	470
Huber	4		2	3		7	1	1	8232	1370	16.6%	457
Kournikova	4	1	2	3	3	11	2		11320	2414	21.3%	435
Likhovtseva	4	1	2	3	2	12	1	2	11372	1255	11.0%	421
Maleeva	4		2	4	3	5	6	1	10306	1036	10.1%	412
Martinez	4	1	2	3		8	2		9196	2837	30.9%	460
Mauresmo	3		1	2	1	5	1		6086	1426	23.4%	468
Pierce	4		2	2	1	3	1		6756	2162	32.0%	520
Rubin	4	1	2	3	2	9	1	1	10238	1857	18.1%	445
Sanchez-Vicario	4	1	1	4	1	6	1		8686	2132	24.5%	483
Schett	4		2	2	2	10	3		9840	1070	10.9%	428
Schnyder	4		2	4	2	7	5	1	10330	1081	10.5%	413
Seles	3	1	2	2		6	1		7048	3255	46.2%	470
Tauziat	4	1	2	3	3	10	3		11228	2033	18.1%	432
Testud	4	1	2	3	1	7	2		9264	1416	15.3%	463
Van Roost	4		2	2	1	8	1	1	8530	1057	12.4%	449
S. Williams	3		2	1		5			5500	2306	41.9%	500
V. Williams	3			1		5			4620	3694	80.0%	513

For additional alternate ranking schemes, see *Statistics/Rankings Based on Head-to-Head Numbers*.

Head to Head — Results against Top Players

The Top 20 Head to Head

The table below shows how the Top 20 (plus Van Roost) fared against each other in 2000. For completeness, the Top 27 are shown on the vertical axis, although only the Top 20 are listed across the top.

Reading the Table: For space reasons, the names of the Top 20 players have been abbreviated in the column headings. Scores are meant to be read across the rows. That is, the first number in the record is that of the person at the beginning of the row, not the top of the column. So, e.g., if you look down the column headed DAVENPO (i.e. Davenport) and the row labelled Hingis, you will see the notation “2-3” This means that Davenport and Hingis played five times (2+3=5), with Hingis winning two and Davenport three.

	C A P R I A T	C L I J S T E R	C O E T Z E R	D A V E N P O	D E C H Y	F R A Z I E R	H A L A R D D E C	H I N G I S	H U B E R	K O U R N I K O V A	M A L E E V A	M A R T I N E Z	P I E R C E	R U B I N	S A N C H E Z V I C	S C H E T T	T A U Z I A T	T E S T U D	V A N R O O S T	S W I L L I A M S	V W I L L I A M S
Capriati		0-0	0-0	0-3	0-0	1-0	0-1	0-2	0-0	1-2	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-2	0-1	0-1	0-0	1-1	2-0	1-0	0-0
Clijsters	0-0		0-0	0-1	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-2	1-0	2-1	1-1	1-0	0-0	1-0	2-0	0-0	2-1	0-0	0-1	0-1	0-0
Coetzer	0-0	0-0		0-1	1-0	0-0	1-0	0-1	2-0	0-0	1-1	0-0	0-0	1-1	0-4	0-2	0-0	0-0	0-2	0-0	1-2
Davenport	3-0	1-0	1-0		4-1	0-0	2-0	3-2	0-0	3-1	2-0	0-1	0-0	2-0	1-0	3-0	0-0	2-0	0-2	1-1	1-3
Déchy	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-3	1-2	0-2	0-0	0-1	1-0	1-1	0-0	0-1	1-1	0-0	0-1	1-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-1
Dementieva	0-0	1-0	0-1	1-4		0-1	0-1	0-1	2-0	0-0	1-1	0-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	0-1	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-0
Dokic	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-1	0-1	1-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-3	0-1	0-1	0-0	0-1	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-2	1-0
Frazier	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-0		0-1	1-2	4-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-2	1-0	0-1	0-1	0-1	0-0	0-1	0-2
Halard-Dec	1-0	0-0	0-1	0-2	1-0	1-0		0-1	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-1	0-1	1-0	1-1	0-0	1-0	0-2	0-0
Hingis	2-0	2-0	1-0	2-3	1-0	2-1	1-0		2-0	5-0	2-1	1-1	2-1	4-0	3-0	4-0	2-0	6-0	3-0	1-1	0-2
Huber	0-0	0-1	0-2	0-0	0-2	0-4	0-0	0-2		0-0	1-0	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	0-1
Kournikova	2-1	1-2	0-0	1-3	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-5	0-0		1-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-2	2-1	2-0	0-0	0-1	0-1
Likhovtseva	1-0	0-1	0-0	0-3	1-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-3	0-0	1-1	0-0	0-0	0-1	1-1	0-1	0-1	1-0	0-2
Maleeva	0-2	0-0	1-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	1-1	0-3	0-1	0-1	0-0	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-0	1-1	0-1	0-0
Martinez	0-0	1-1	1-1	0-2	1-1	1-0	0-0	1-2	0-1	0-1		0-0	0-2	0-0	1-1	0-1	0-0	0-0	1-0	0-1	0-1
Mauresmo	0-0	0-1	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-1	0-0	0-0	0-0		2-0	0-0	1-0	0-2	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-0
Pierce	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0	1-2	0-1	0-0	2-0	0-2		0-0	1-0	2-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-0	0-0
Rubin	2-1	0-1	1-1	0-2	0-1	2-0	1-1	0-4	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	0-0		0-0	0-1	1-0	1-2	0-0	0-0	0-2
Sanchez-Vic	1-0	0-2	4-0	0-1	0-1	0-1	1-0	0-3	0-0	0-0	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-0		0-2	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-1	1-0
Schett	0-0	0-1	1-0	0-2	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0	1-1	0-3	0-1	1-2	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0
Schnyder	0-2	1-0	0-1	0-0	1-0	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	1-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-1	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-3	0-1
Seles	1-0	0-0	2-0	0-3	1-0	1-0	0-1	0-4	0-0	2-0	1-0	2-0	0-2	1-0	2-0		1-0	2-0	1-0	0-0	0-2
Tauziat	0-0	1-2	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-0	1-1	0-2	0-0	1-2	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	1-0	0-1		0-1	0-0	1-0	0-1
Testud	1-1	0-0	0-0	0-2	1-0	1-0	0-0	0-6	0-1	0-2	0-0	0-0	0-0	2-1	0-0	0-2	1-0		2-0	0-0	0-0
Van Roost	0-2	1-0	2-0	2-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-3	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-2		0-0	0-0
S. Williams	0-1	1-0	0-0	1-1	0-0	1-0	2-0	1-1	0-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	0-1	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0		0-1
V. Williams	0-0	0-0	2-1	3-1	0-0	2-0	0-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	2-0	0-1	2-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	1-0	

Wins Over Top Players

Matches Played/Won against the (Final) Top Twenty

Here as elsewhere, the “Top Twenty” also includes Van Roost. Thus a player can have a maximum of 20 Top 20 opponents if she herself is in the Top Twenty, or 21 Top Twenty opponents if she is outside the Top Twenty. (Thus Hingis, despite beating 19 players, did not defeat one top player, Venus Williams.)

The final column, % of wins against Top 20, calculates the fraction of a player’s wins earned against the Top Twenty — a measure of the difficulty one faced to earn those wins.

Player Name	WTA Rank	Top 20 Opponents Played	Top 20 Players Beaten	Top 20 Players Lost To	Total Top 20 Victories	Total Top 20 Losses	Total Wins, all opponents	% of wins against Top 20
Capriati	14	11	6	8	7	13	35	20.0%
Clijsters	18	12	7	8	10	9	28	35.7%
Coetzer	11	11	6	8	7	14	43	16.3%
Davenport	2	16	14	7	29	11	56	51.8%
Déchy	27	11	5	9	5	13	23	21.7%
Dementieva	12	13	7	8	8	11	33*	24.2%
Dokic	26	12	2	10	2	13	28	7.1%
Frazier	20	13	4	10	7	13	30	23.3%
Halard-Decugis	15	12	7	7	7	9	29	24.1%
Hingis	1	20	19	7	46	10	77	59.7%
Huber	19	9	3	6	3	12	29	10.3%
Kournikova	8	11	6	9	9	17	47	19.1%
Likhovtseva	21	13	5	10	5	15	29	17.2%
Maleeva	22	13	6	10	6	13	25*	24.0%
Martinez	5	14	7	12	7	15	47	14.9%
Mauresmo	16	7	4	4	5	5	24	20.8%
Pierce	7	8	5	4	7	6	29	24.1%
Rubin	13	13	7	10	9	16	42	21.4%
Sanchez-Vicario	9	15	5	11	8	15	38	21.1%
Schett	23	9	3	8	3	12	27	11.1%
Schnyder	25	12	5	7	5	10	31	16.1%
Seles	4	17	12	5	17	12	51	33.3%
Tauziat	10	11	6	8	6	11	36	16.7%
Testud	17	11	6	7	8	15	30	26.7%
Van Roost	24	9	3	6	5	10	23	21.7%
S. Williams	6	13	9	6	10	6	37	27.0%
V. Williams	3	12	11	3	18	3	35	51.4%

* Excludes wins in qualifying.

In this measure, Hingis was clearly the top player on the Tour. She managed the astonishing feat of playing *all 20* of the final Top 20 players at least once. What is more, she had at least one win against every one of them except Venus Williams. She also had clearly the highest fraction of her wins against the Top Twenty (meaning that she wasn’t earning points against low-ranked opponents). Her number of wins against Top Twenty players is more than a 50% greater than Davenport’s total, and more than twice anyone else’s.

Won/Lost Versus the Top Players (Based on Rankings at the Time of the Match)

The following table shows each player's won/lost record against the Top 10, against the Second 10 (#11-#20), and against the Top 20 as a whole, *based on the rankings at the time*. (The next previous table gives statistics based on the *final* Top 20.) The player with the best record in each category is shown in **bold**.

WTA Rank	Player Name	Overall W/L		Against Top 10			Against #11-#20			Against Top 20			Non-Top20		
		W	L	W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%
14	Capriati	35	19	1	8	11.1%	5	5	50.0%	6	13	31.6%	29	6	82.9%
18	Clijsters	28	15	5	6	45.5%	4	3	57.1%	9	9	50.0%	19	6	76.0%
11	Coetzer	43	22	3	8	27.3%	2	4	33.3%	5	12	29.4%	38	10	79.2%
2	Davenport	56	12	12	7	63.2%	12	3	80.0%	24	10	70.6%	32	2	94.1%
27	Déchy	23	19	3	3	50.0%	1	4	20.0%	4	7	36.4%	19	12	61.3%
12	Dementieva	33*	21	5	7	41.7%	3	4	42.9%	8	11	42.1%	25	10	71.4%
26	Dokic	28	19	1	9	10.0%	0	2	0%	1	11	8.3%	27	8	77.1%
20	Frazier	30	22	3	8	27.3%	2	4	33.3%	5	12	29.4%	25	10	71.4%
15	Halard-Decugis	29	22	2	6	25.0%	4	3	57.1%	6	9	40.0%	23	13	63.9%
1	Hingis	77	10	15	9	62.5%	28	0	100%	43	9	82.7%	34	1	97.1%
19	Huber	29	16	3	3	50.0%	0	4	0%	3	7	30.0%	26	9	74.3%
8	Kournikova	47	26	5	13	27.8%	2	2	50.0%	7	15	31.8%	40	11	78.4%
21	Likhovtseva	29	27	3	11	21.4%	2	3	40.0%	5	14	26.3%	24	13	64.9%
22	Maleeva	25*	25	3	9	25.0%	2	4	33.3%	5	13	27.8%	20	12	62.5%
5	Martinez	47	19	2	11	15.4%	4	2	66.7%	6	13	31.6%	41	6	87.2%
16	Mauresmo	24	12	5	4	55.6%	0	0	—%	5	4	55.6%	19	8	70.4%
7	Pierce	29	11	6	4	60.0%	2	1	66.7%	8	5	61.5%	21	6	77.8%
13	Rubin	42	21	1	9	10.0%	9	6	60.0%	10	15	40.0%	32	6	84.2%
9	Sanchez-Vicario	38	18	3	10	23.1%	7	3	70.0%	10	13	43.5%	28	5	84.8%
23	Schett	27	22	1	9	10.0%	1	2	33.3%	2	11	15.4%	25	11	69.4%
25	Schnyder	31	25	2	7	22.2%	1	4	20.0%	3	11	21.4%	28	14	66.7%
4	Seles	51	12	4	11	26.7%	16	1	94.1%	20	12	62.5%	31	0	100%
10	Tauziat	36	25	2	4	33.3%	2	4	33.3%	4	8	33.3%	32	17	65.3%
17	Testud	30	20	1	10	9.1%	6	3	66.7%	7	13	35.0%	23	7	76.7%
24	Van Roost	23	18	3	5	37.5%	1	4	20.0%	4	9	30.8%	19	9	67.9%
6	S. Williams	37	8	5	5	50.0%	5	2	71.4%	10	7	58.8%	27	1	96.4%
3	V. Williams	35	4	10	2	83.3%	5	1	83.3%	15	3	83.3%	20	1	95.2%

* Excludes wins in qualifying.

Won/Lost Versus the Top Players (Based on Final Rankings)

The following table shows each player's won/lost record against the Top 10, against the Second 10 (#11-#20), and against the Top 20 as a whole. **Note:** This is *not* the same as the players' wins over Top 10/Top 20 players, given in the previous table. What is shown here is the player's record against the women who *ended* the year in the Top 10/Top 20. At the time of the matches, some of these women will not have been at their final ranks. On the other hand, it could be argued that this is a better measure of success against top players — a player who ends 2000 at #5 (i.e. Martinez) had a better 2000 than a player who began the year at #8 but ended it outside the Top Twenty (Schett), and a win against the player with the higher final rank should therefore mean more.

Special Note: For purposes of this calculation, Van Roost is counted as Top 20 (#18 when retired).

The player with the best record in each category is shown in **bold**.

WTA Rank	Player Name	Overall W/L		Against Top 10			Against #11-#20			Against Top 20			Non-Top20		
		W	L	W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%	W	L	%
14	Capriati	35	19	2	9	18.2%	5	4	55.6%	7	13	35.0%	28	6	82.4%
18	Clijsters	28	15	7	7	50.0%	3	2	60.0%	10	9	52.6%	18	6	75.0%
11	Coetzer	43	22	2	11	15.4%	5	3	62.5%	7	14	33.3%	36	8	81.8%
2	Davenport	56	12	14	7	66.7%	15	4	78.9%	29	11	72.5%	27	1	96.4%
27	Déchy	23	19	3	4	42.9%	2	9	18.2%	5	13	27.8%	18	6	75.0%
12	Dementieva	33*	21	4	7	36.4%	4	4	50.0%	8	11	42.1%	25	10	71.4%
26	Dokic	28	19	1	10	9.1%	1	3	25.0%	2	13	13.3%	26	6	81.3%
20	Frazier	30	22	2	8	20.0%	5	5	50.0%	7	13	35.0%	23	9	71.9%
15	Halard-Decugis	29	22	2	7	22.2%	5	2	71.4%	7	9	43.8%	22	13	62.9%
1	Hingis	77	10	21	8	72.4%	25	2	92.6%	46	10	82.1%	31	0	100%
19	Huber	29	16	2	3	40.0%	1	9	10.0%	3	12	20.0%	26	4	86.7%
8	Kournikova	47	26	4	13	23.5%	5	4	55.6%	9	17	34.6%	38	9	80.9%
21	Likhovtseva	29	27	3	11	21.4%	2	4	33.3%	5	15	25.0%	24	12	66.7%
22	Maleeva	25*	25	3	9	25.0%	3	4	42.9%	6	13	31.6%	19	12	61.3%
5	Martinez	47	19	2	11	15.4%	5	4	55.6%	7	15	31.8%	40	4	90.9%
16	Mauresmo	24	12	5	4	55.6%	0	1	0.0%	5	5	50.0%	19	7	73.1%
7	Pierce	29	11	7	2	77.8%	0	4	0.0%	7	6	53.8%	22	5	81.5%
13	Rubin	42	21	2	9	18.2%	7	7	50.0%	9	16	36.0%	33	5	86.8%
9	Sanchez-Vicario	38	18	2	10	16.7%	6	5	54.5%	8	15	34.8%	30	3	90.9%
23	Schett	27	22	1	10	9.1%	2	2	50.0%	3	12	20.0%	24	10	70.6%
25	Schnyder	31	25	0	7	0.0%	5	3	62.5%	5	10	33.3%	26	15	63.4%
4	Seles	51	12	6	11	35.3%	11	1	91.7%	17	12	58.6%	34	0	100%
10	Tauziat	36	25	3	6	33.3%	3	5	37.5%	6	11	35.3%	30	14	68.2%
17	Testud	30	20	1	12	7.7%	7	3	70.0%	8	15	34.8%	22	5	81.5%
24	Van Roost	23	18	2	5	28.6%	3	5	37.5%	5	10	33.3%	18	8	69.2%
6	S. Williams	37	8	5	5	50.0%	5	1	83.3%	10	6	62.5%	27	2	93.1%
3	V. Williams	35	4	11	2	84.6%	7	1	87.5%	18	3	85.7%	17	1	94.4%

* Excludes wins in qualifying.

Statistics/Rankings Based on Head-to-Head Numbers

Based on these numbers, we can offer a number of statistics/rankings. For instance:

Total Wins over Top Ten Players

Based on the Top Ten at the Time:

1. Hingis (15)
2. Davenport (12)
3. V. Williams (10)
4. Pierce (6)
5. Clijsters (5)
Dementieva (5)
Kournikova (5)
Mauresmo (5)
S. Williams (5)
10. Seles (4)

Based on the Final Top Ten:

1. Hingis (21)
2. Davenport (14)
3. V. Williams (11)
4. Clijsters (7)
Pierce (7)
6. Seles (6)
7. Mauresmo (5)
S. Williams (5)
9. Dementieva (4)
Kournikova (4)

Winning Percentage against Top Ten Players

Based on the Top Ten at the Time:

1. V. Williams (83.3%)
2. Davenport (63.2%)
3. Hingis (62.5%)
4. Pierce (60%)
5. Mauresmo (55.6%)
6. Déchy (50%)
Huber (50%)
S. Williams (50%)
9. Clijsters (45.5%)
10. Dementieva (41.7%)

Based on the Final Top Ten:

1. V. Williams (84.6%)
2. Pierce (77.8%)
3. Hingis (72.4%)
4. Davenport (66.7%)
5. Mauresmo (55.6%)
6. Clijsters (50%)
S. Williams (50%)
8. Déchy (42.9%)
9. Huber (40.0%)
10. Dementieva (36.4%)

The above list does not, of course, include the handful of players (e.g. Di Natale, who beat Mary Pierce at Madrid) who beat a Top Ten player in their only encounter.

For additional information about winning percentages, see *Winning Percentage against Non-Top-20 Players*.

How They Earned Their Points

The following tables evaluate the manner in which players earn points, breaking them up, e.g., by points earned on each surface, points earned from quality versus round points, points earned in Slams....

Fraction of Points Earned in Slams

WTA Rank	Player Name	Total Points	Points Earned in Slams	% of Points Earned in Slams	Points Earned outside Slams	% of Points Not Earned in Slams
1	Hingis	6394	1734	27.1%	4660	72.9%
2	Davenport	5022	2170	43.2%	2852	56.8%
3	V. Williams	3694	2382	64.5%	1312	35.5%
4	Seles	3255	818	25.1%	2437	74.9%
5	Martinez	2837	1034	36.5%	1802	63.5%
6	S. Williams	2306	650	28.2%	1656	71.8%
7	Pierce	2162	1262	58.4%	900	41.6%
8	Kournikova	2414	336	13.9%	2078	86.1%
9	Sanchez-Vic	2132	892	41.8%	1240	58.2%
10	Tauziat	2033	358	17.6%	1675	82.4%
11	Coetzer	1930	214	11.1%	1716	88.9%
12	Dementieva	1778	596	33.5%	1182	66.5%
13	Rubin	1857	410	22.1%	1447	77.9%
14	Capriati	1666	676	40.6%	990	59.4%
15	Halard-Dec	1442	176	12.2%	1266	87.8%
16	Mauresmo	1426	164	11.5%	1262	88.5%
17	Testud	1416	272	19.2%	1144	80.8%
18	Clijsters	1398	158	11.3%	1240	88.7%
19	Huber	1370	540	39.4%	830	60.6%
20	Frazier	1259	56	4.4%	1203	95.6%
21	Likhovtseva	1225	356	29.1%	869	70.9%
22	Maleeva	1036	162	15.6%	874	84.4%
23	Schett	1070	228	21.3%	842	78.7%
24	Van Roost	1057	264	25.0%	793	75.0%
25	Schnyder	1081	252	23.3%	829	76.7%
26	Dokic	1054	454	43.1%	600	56.9%
27	Déchy	1020	160	15.7%	860	84.3%

The Top 25 collectively earned 53259 points in 2000. 16,160 of these, or 30.3%, were earned at Slams.

The mean of the fraction of points earned in the Slams is 27.0% (that is, this is the average of the players' fractions). The median is Van Roost's 25.0%. Thus any player who earned more than about 30% of her points at the Slams was "strong" at the Slams (Venus's 65% is, of course, astonishing and unbalanced); a player who earned less than 20% of her points at Slams had great difficulty at these events.

Quality Versus Round Points

WTA Rank	Player Name	Total Points	Round Points	Quality Points	% of Points from Quality	% of Points from Round Pts
1	Hingis	6394	4001	2393	37.4%	62.6%
2	Davenport	5022	3011	2011	40.0%	60.0%
3	V. Williams	3694	1996	1698	46.0%	54.0%
4	Seles	3255	2072	1183	36.3%	63.7%
5	Martinez	2837	1949	887	31.3%	68.7%
6	S. Williams	2306	1460	846	36.7%	63.3%
7	Pierce	2162	1296	866	40.1%	59.9%
8	Kournikova	2414	1625	789	32.7%	67.3%
9	Sanchez-Vicario	2132	1370	762	35.7%	64.3%
10	Tauziat	2033	1468	565	27.8%	72.2%
11	Coetzer	1930	1280	650	33.7%	66.3%
12	Dementieva	1778	1048	730	41.1%	58.9%
13	Rubin	1857	1176	681	36.7%	63.3%
14	Capriati	1666	1071	595	35.7%	64.3%
15	Halard-Decugis	1442	1015	427	29.6%	70.4%
16	Mauresmo	1426	863	563	39.5%	60.5%
17	Testud	1416	969	447	31.6%	68.4%
18	Clijsters	1398	790	608	43.5%	56.5%
19	Huber	1370	842	528	38.5%	61.5%
20	Frazier	1259	773	486	38.6%	61.4%
21	Likhovtseva	1225	779	446	36.4%	63.6%
22	Maleeva	1036	576	460	44.4%	55.6%
23	Schett	1070	751	319	29.8%	70.2%
24	Van Roost	1057	563	494	46.7%	53.3%
25	Schnyder	1081	695	386	35.7%	64.3%
26	Dokic	1054	735	319	30.3%	69.7%
27	Déchy	1020	624	396	38.8%	61.2%

Generally speaking, the higher the fraction of points one earns from quality, the better one is at pulling off “upsets.” This is especially true of lower-ranked players; a player like Hingis, who was #1 for most of the year, has somewhat fewer quality points available, as she could not defeat a #1 player at any of the slams, could only play #2 in a final, could only play #3 or #4 in a semifinal or final (by which time either player could have lost), etc.

For Comparison: The Top 25 earned an actual total of 56,815 points (the total of their Best 18 scores is slightly lower). 19,820 of these, or 34.9%, came from quality. The median quality percentage for the Top 25 is 36.7% (earned by Rubin); the arithmetic mean (average) is 37.0%. Dominique Van Roost’s 46.7% of points from quality is the clear winner — but nearly 50% of these points come from two wins over Lindsay Davenport. Magdalena Maleeva’s score is also biased by a win over an injured Davenport. The real “big threats” appear to be Venus Williams and the two up-and-comers: Kim Clijsters and Elena Dementieva. Nathalie Tauziat’s very low 27.8% figure also shows her strengths and weaknesses: Although in the Top Ten, she is a threat to top players only on grass and indoors.

Percentage of Points Earned on Each Surface

The first four numbers in this table should be fairly self-explanatory. The last three columns, Std Dev, Max-Min, and RMS, are perhaps less clear. These measures are included as an attempt to assess a player's balance. Std Dev is the standard deviation of the player's four surface percentages. The smaller it is, the less deviation there is from the mean, and presumably the more balanced the player is. Max-Min is the player's highest percentage minus the player's lowest percentage, that is, the gap between the player's least and most important surfaces. RMS, for Root Mean Square, measures the player's distance from the mean. The smaller the RMS value, the more "typical" a player is. **Note:** "Balance" is not the same as consistency. Take Halard-Decugis: She earned 26% of her points on grass, despite playing only three of her 25 tournaments on grass. Thus she was much better on grass than other surfaces. She was not typical, but she was balanced.

For Reference: For the Top 25 as a whole, 45.0% of all points were earned on hardcourts, 21.0% on clay, 9.5% on grass, and 24.4% indoors.

Note: Due to round-off, some percentages may not add up to 100, and MAX-MIN may differ by ± 1 from what appears to be the largest value minus the smallest value.

WTA Rank	Player	% Hard	% Clay	% Grass	% Indr	Std Dev	Max-Min	RMS
1	Hingis	44.6%	13.8%	7.2%	34.4%	0.17	37.4	0.13
2	Davenport	65.0%	1.1%	13.9%	20.1%	0.28	64.0	0.29
3	V. Williams	55.4%	9.3%	29.7%	5.5%	0.23	49.8	0.32
4	Seles	41.3%	33.1%	8.0%	17.6%	0.15	33.3	0.14
5	Martinez	37.6%	50.5%	2.0%	9.9%	0.23	48.6	0.35
6	S. Williams	63.7%	0.0%	14.7%	21.6%	0.27	63.6	0.29
7	Pierce	29.6%	68.3%	2.1%	0.0%	0.32	68.2	0.56
8	Kournikova	40.9%	9.0%	7.0%	43.0%	0.20	36.0	0.23
9	Sanchez-Vicario	37.8%	48.4%	4.9%	9.0%	0.21	43.5	0.33
10	Tauziat	27.0%	9.3%	7.5%	56.2%	0.23	48.7	0.38
11	Coetzer	26.3%	42.6%	4.6%	26.5%	0.16	38.1	0.29
12	Dementieva	57.8%	16.0%	0.1%	26.0%	0.24	57.7	0.17
13	Rubin	33.4%	19.6%	7.3%	39.7%	0.15	32.5	0.19
14	Capriati	47.4%	0.2%	17.9%	34.5%	0.20	47.2	0.25
15	Halard-Decugis	49.0%	6.4%	25.9%	18.8%	0.18	42.6	0.23
16	Mauresmo	34.5%	40.5%	0.1%	24.9%	0.18	40.3	0.24
17	Testud	61.8%	13.7%	2.9%	21.6%	0.26	58.9	0.20
18	Clijsters	29.0%	1.3%	9.6%	60.1%	0.26	58.8	0.44
19	Huber	33.2%	54.5%	7.2%	5.1%	0.23	49.4	0.41
20	Frazier	78.7%	3.3%	4.1%	13.9%	0.36	75.4	0.40
21	Likhovtseva	48.8%	18.0%	5.1%	28.1%	0.18	43.8	0.08
22	Maleeva	35.4%	14.9%	3.4%	46.3%	0.19	43.0	0.25
23	Schett	20.4%	37.3%	0.3%	42.1%	0.19	41.8	0.36
24	Van Roost	41.8%	26.7%	24.4%	7.1%	0.14	34.7	0.24
25	Schnyder	43.1%	26.7%	9.6%	20.5%	0.14	33.5	0.07

It's hard to give an award for "most lopsided" (though Amy Frazier, with 78.8% of points on hardcourts, is a good candidate, as is Mary Pierce with 68.3% on clay. In Pierce's case, though, this is largely due to injuries). The most balanced is probably Van Roost; the most consistent (closest to the norms) is Patty Schnyder, with the usual suspects (Hingis and Seles) second and third.

Consistency

We often speak of a player’s “consistency,” but the term does not really have a clear definition. We can offer some models, however.

Standard Deviation of Scores by Tournament

One measure of a player’s consistency is the standard deviation of a player’s results over the tournaments she plays. The following list expresses a player’s consistency by dividing the standard deviation of her score by the mean score. In mathematical parlance, if the player’s scores are s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n , then the number given here is given by the formula (shown here in two forms):

$$\frac{\text{STDDEV}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)}{\text{MEAN}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)} \qquad \frac{\sigma(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)}{\mu(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)}$$

Thus (for the mathematicians out there), this is not actually the standard deviation; it has been normalized by dividing by the mean. Note: This is not a ranking system; it is a measure of consistency. A player who loses in the second round of every tournament is more consistent (consistently bad) than a player who wins half of her tournaments and loses early in the other half — but the player who wins the tournaments will have a higher ranking. In the list below, the lower the score, the more consistent the player is. I have not “ranked” the players, lest this be confused with a ranking scheme, but they are listed in order from least to most consistent by the “standard deviation” measure.

Seles	0.40	Frazier	1.10
Hingis	0.44	Huber	1.12
S. Williams	0.62	Capriati	1.16
Kournikova	0.71	Clijsters	1.17
Coetzer	0.75	Van Roost	1.19
Rubin	0.77	Mauresmo	1.21
Déchy	0.83	Maleeva	1.26
Davenport	0.91	Schett	1.27
Testud	0.91	Dokic	1.30
Sanchez-Vicario	0.93	Dementieva	1.35
Schnyder	0.93	Halard-Decugis	1.41
Tauziat	0.94	Likhovtseva	1.50
V. Williams	0.96	Pierce	1.60
Martinez	0.99	Talaja	1.88

Early-Round Losses

Another way of measuring consistency is how rarely one suffers early-round losses. The following table shows how many *first-round* (or, correctly, opening-round) losses each of the top players had, followed by *other early-round losses* (defined, arbitrarily, as cases where the player earned 55 or fewer points in the tournament; this is based on the 54 points awarded for a first-round loss in the year-end championships). For my convenience, this list is alphabetical. **Note:** First round losses at the Chase Championships are not included as first-round losses; being worth 54 points (and being suffered at a very high-level event), they have been listed as *early* losses. Players who lost in the first round at the Chase are marked with an asterisk (so you may transfer the results if you like); those who did not play at the Chase are marked “(x)”

Name	WTA Rank	Tournaments	1R Losses	Other Early Losses
Capriati*	14	20	6	5
Clijsters	18	17	4	6
Coetzer	11	23	1	8
Davenport*	2	18	2	4
Déchy	27	19	6	4
Dementieva	12	22	6	7
Dokic	26	19	5	8
Frazier*	20	22	8	6
Halard-Decugis*	15	24	11	5
Hingis	1	20	<i>none</i>	<i>none</i>
Huber	19	18	6	3
Kournikova	8	26	2	5
Likhovtseva*	21	27	12	8
Maleeva	22	25	8	9
Martinez	5	20	1	4
Mauresmo	16	13	3	4
Pierce	7	13	3	2
Rubin*	13	23	2	8
Sanchez-Vicario*	9	18	3	3
Schett	23	23	11	4
Schnyder	25	25	6	13
Seles	4	15	<i>none</i>	<i>none</i>
Tauziat	10	26	6	4
Testud*	17	20	5	4
Van Roost	24	19	6	5
S. Williams	6	11	1	<i>none</i>
V. Williams	3	9	<i>none</i>	<i>none</i>

So we can compile a list based on rates of first-round and early-round losses. Note that a lower number is better in this case:

Frequency of Early Losses

First-Round Loss Rate

Player Name	First Round Loss Rate
Hingis	0%
Seles	0%
V. Williams	0%
Coetzer	4%
Martinez	5%
Kournikova	8%
S. Williams	9%
Rubin	9%
Davenport	11%
Sanchez-Vicario	17%
Pierce	23%
Tauziat	23%
Mauresmo	23%
Clijsters	24%
Schnyder	24%
Testud	25%
Dokic	26%
Dementieva	27%
Capriati	30%
Déchy	32%
Van Roost	32%
Maleeva	32%
Huber	33%
Frazier	36%
Likhovtseva	44%
Halard-Decugis	46%
Schett	48%

Early-Round Loss Rate

Player Name	Early Round Loss Rate
Hingis	0%
Seles	0%
V. Williams	0%
S. Williams	9%
Martinez	25%
Kournikova	27%
Davenport	33%
Sanchez-Vicario	33%
Pierce	38%
Tauziat	38%
Coetzer	39%
Rubin	43%
Testud	45%
Huber	50%
Déchy	53%
Mauresmo	54%
Capriati	55%
Van Roost	58%
Clijsters	59%
Dementieva	59%
Frazier	64%
Schett	65%
Halard-Decugis	67%
Maleeva	68%
Dokic	68%
Likhovtseva	74%
Schnyder	76%

Worst Losses

The tables below list the “worst” losses suffered by a player, based on the player’s rank *at the time of the loss*. Losses are listed in decreasing order of severity.

Player	WTA Rank	Losses to players outside Top 50	Losses to players outside Top 20
Appelmans	50	Lamade (534) — Berlin Majoli (125) — Leipzig Vento (103) — U.S. Open Torrens Valero (80) — Antwerp Petrova (75) — Ericsson Hénin (66) — New Haven Marrero (64) — Knokke-Heist	Black (48) — 's-Hertogenbosch Sugiyama (36) — Moscow Maleeva (27) — Filderstadt Schnyder (25) — Paris
Capriati	14	Kuti Kis (72) — Strasbourg Black (51) — Indian Wells	Zuluaga (34) — Roland Garros Sidot (31) — Rome Raymond (28) — Birmingham Likhovtseva (23) — San Diego
Clijsters	18	Bacheva (84) — Antwerp Shaughnessy (54) — Stanford	Myskina (43) — Wimbledon Schnyder (34) — 's-Hertogenbosch Sidot (32) — Hannover Sugiyama (21) — Roland Garros
Coetzer	11	De Los Rios (151) — Roland Garros Osterloh (77) — Wimbledon Brandi (54) — Australian Open Maleeva (53) — Canadian Open	Black (44) — Stanford Kremer (41) — Eastbourne Serna (33) — U.S. Open Rubin (25) — Pan Pacific Schett (25) — Zurich Van Roost (21) — Indian Wells
Davenport	2	Maleeva (53) — Canadian Open	Van Roost (22) — Roland Garros
Déchy	27	Cristea (126) — Hobart Krasnoroutskaya (110) — Birmingham Gersi (67) — U. S. Open Dementieva (59) — Indian Wells Zuluaga (51) — Australian Open	Dokic (37) — Rome Dementieva (32) — Los Angeles Talaja (28) — Canadian Open Dementieva (26) — Roland Garros Frazier (26) — San Diego Talaja (22) — Strasbourg Frazier (21) — Ericsson
Dementieva	12	Andretto (201) — Paris Qualifying Tu (107) — San Diego Petrova (93) — Sydney Qualifying Kruger (90) — Berlin Oremans (89) — Hannover Qualifying Petrova (57) — Wimbledon Myskina (55) — Zurich	Black (40) — Linz Schnyder (28) — New Haven Likhovtseva (25) — Leipzig
Dragomir	45	Pizzichini (147) — Palermo Nagyova (71) — Linz Brandi (54) — Australian Open Carlsson (53) — Amelia Island Shaughnessy (51) — New Haven	Smashnova (47) — Hannover Panova (42) — Leipzig Chladkova (41) — Berlin Clijsters (43) — Hobart Appelmans (27) — Wimbledon Raymond (26) — U. S. Open Schnyder (25) — Filderstadt
Frazier	20	Ruano Pascual (123) — Madrid Barabanschikova (92) — Wimbledon Callens (89) — Australian Open Bedanova (87) — Princess Cup Grande (70) — Amelia Island Carlsson (53) — Roland Garros	Myskina (50) — Eastbourne Dokic (40) — Hilton Head Rubin (21) — Hobart

Halard-Decugis	15	Oremans (93) — U.S. Open Boogert (85) — Wimbledon Tu (82) — Quebec City Maleeva (76) — Gold Coast Petrova (75) — Ericsson Zuluaga (69) — Rome Shaughnessy (65) — Roland Garros Tanasugarn (59) — Birmingham Leon Garcia (57) — Bol	Panova (50) — Moscow Schnyder (28) — New Haven Sugiyama (22) — Scottsdale
Hénin	48	Rittner (130) — Luxembourg Nagyova (76) — Palermo Bedanova (61) — Bratislava	Serna (30) — Knokke-Heist Rubin (21) — Hobart
Hingis	1		Frazier (26) — San Diego
Huber	19	Grzybowska (143) — Rome Boogert (100) — Australian Open Barabanschikova (89) — Sydney Dementieva (59) — Indian Wells	Clijsters (36) — Hannover Sidot (35) — Paris Frazier (26) — San Diego Dementieva (25) — U. S. Open Frazier (21) — Ericsson
Kournikova	8	Suarez (62) — Amelia Island Hénin (58) — U. S. Open	Leon Garcia (48) — Berlin Clijsters (45) — Filderstadt Maleeva (38) — Luxembourg Plischke (35) — Roland Garros Clijsters (31) — Leipzig Talaja (29) — Gold Coast Sidot (28) — Wimbledon Dragomir (26) — Hilton Head Déchy (23) — Indian Wells
Likhovtseva	21	Grzybowska (118) — Roland Garros Rittner (104) — Filderstadt Osterloh (94) — Strasbourg Torrens Valero (88) — Warsaw Chladkova (56) — Hannover	Black (50) — Auckland Brandi (39) — Rome Plischke (32) — Scottsdale Schnyder (31) — Canadian Open Clijsters (31) — Leipzig Farina (29) — Paris Schett (25) — Zurich Schett (24) — Moscow
Maleeva	22	Oremans (111) — Wimbledon Kostanic (98) — Bol Jeyaseelan (96) — Amelia Island Labat (87) — Hilton Head Drake (59) — Hobart Hénin (59) — Paris	Hrdlickova (50) — Berlin Montolio (50) — Klagenfurt Black (40) — Pan Pacific Dragomir (32) — Roland Garros Déchy (25) — Gold Coast Sugiyama (24) — Australian Open
Martinez	5	Jeyaseelan (79) — Wimbledon	Clijsters (45) — Filderstadt Leon Garcia (36) — Sopot Talaja (29) — Gold Coast Déchy (26) — Scottsdale Dementieva (25) — U. S. Open
Mauresmo	16	Bedanova (87) — Princess Cup Pisnik (81) — Bol	Leon Garcia (47) — Wimbledon Pitkoswki (39) — Paris Hrdlickova (36) — Linz Suarez (35) — Berlin Clijsters (33) — Indian Wells Schnyder (29) — Australian Open

Pierce	7	Di Natale (258) — Madrid Osterloh (87) — Pan Pacific	Serna (49) — Wimbledon Dementieva (39) — Ericsson Sugiyama (24) — Australian Open Likhovtseva (21) — Amelia Island
Raymond	31	Jeyaseelan (96) — Amelia Island J. Nejedly (85) — Hilton Head Zvereva (65) — Eastbourne Montolio (51) — Madrid	Garbin (50) — Roland Garros Dementieva (32) — Los Angeles Sidot (31) — Rome Zvereva (30) — Indian Wells Likhovtseva (25) — Leipzig Sugiyama (22) — Scottsdale
Rubin	13	Dementieva (59) — Indian Wells	Leon Garcia (48) — Berlin Clijsters (43) — Hobart Appelmans (31) — Australian Open Sidot (31) — Filderstadt Déchy (24) — Wimbledon
Sanchez-Vicario	9		Dementieva (31) — Berlin Clijsters (31) — Leipzig Talaja (29) — Gold Coast Appelmans (27) — Ericsson Maleeva (22) — Moscow
Schett	23	Barabanschikova (92) — Wimbledon Nejedly (85) — Hilton Head Gersi (73) — Ericsson Pratt (56) — 's-Hertogenbosch Myskina (54) — Sopot	Panova (49) — San Diego Stevenson (45) — Sydney Panova (44) — Hamburg Black (37) — Filderstadt Clijsters (33) — New Haven Talaja (28) — Canadian Open
Schnyder	25	Vento (113) — Hobart Asagoe (94) — U. S. Open Kuti Kis (74) — Berlin Gagliardi (72) — Roland Garros Myskina (55) — Zurich Suarez (52) — Wimbledon	Serna (48) — Hamburg Suarez (43) — Sopot Suarez (43) — Linz Maleeva (38) — Luxembourg Chladkova (36) — Rome Schett (24) — Moscow Capriati (21) — Australian Open
Seles	4		<i>none</i>
Sidot	36	Habsudova (108) — Linz Kandarr (112) — Eastbourne Marrero (108) — Roland Garros Petrova (85) — Australian Open Garbin (77) — Estoril Oremans (73) — Bratislava Chladkova (56) — Hannover	Stevenson (44) — Sydney Tanasugarn [40] — Wimbledon Morariu (34) — Strasbourg Hrdlickova (33) — Leipzig Talaja (26) — New Haven Talaja (23) — Berlin Déchy (21) — San Diego
Sugiyama	33	Cristea (121) — Berlin Boogert (79) — U. S. Open Srebotnik (65) — Pan Pacific Pratt (56) — Philadelphia Pratt (51) — Amelia Island	Appelmans (50) — Zurich Zuluaga (44) — Madrid Chladkova (36) — Rome Leon Garcia (32) — Canadian Open Clijsters (31) — Leipzig Sidot (25) — Los Angeles Talaja (22) — Eastbourne Capriati (21) — Australian Open

Talaja	30	Diaz-Oliva (164) — Palermo Molik (116) — Australian Open Schiavone (108) — U. S. Open Stevenson (87) — Los Angeles Farina Elia (86) — Moscow Pisnik (81) — Bol Zuluaga (69) — Rome Farina Elia (69) — Pattaya City Black (61) — Birmingham Morariu (58) — Kuala Lumpur Chladkova (53) — Linz Smashnova (52) — Paris	Kremer (50) — Canadian Open Dokic (45) — Indian Wells Brandi (45) — Hilton Head Kremer (41) — Eastbourne Kremer (28) — Hannover
Tauziat	10	Jeyaseelan (145) — Australian Open Hantuchova (135) — Luxembourg Bedanova (113) — Los Angeles Kruger (90) — Berlin Boogert (88) — Hannover Zuluaga (69) — Rome Habsudova (67) — Indian Wells	Kremer (50) — Canadian Open Clijsters (45) — Filderstadt Hrdlickova (36) — Linz Clijsters (31) — Wimbledon Raymond (28) — Birmingham Rubin (25) — Roland Garros Schett (25) — Zurich Likhovtseva (25) — Leipzig Déchy (23) — Ericsson Talaja (22) — Strasbourg
Testud	17	Sanchez Lorenzo (76) — Bol Carlsson (53) — Roland Garros	Dragomir (33) — 's-Hertogenbosch Morariu (43) — Rome Schnyder (30) — Amelia Island Rubin (29) — Indian Wells Capriati (22) — Sydney
Van Roost	24	Casoni (128) — Rome Marerro (108) — Roland Garros Smashnova (56) — Strasbourg Osterloh (57) — U. S. Open	Smashnova (49) — Knokke-Heist Hrdlickova (41) — Canadian Open Spirlea (40) — Paris Maleeva (27) — Zurich Capriati (21) — Australian Open
Williams, S.	6	Suarez (62) — Amelia Island	
Williams, V.	3		Dokic (37) — Rome

Best and Worst “Worst Losses”

The list below shows the ten worst losses for Top 25 players (i.e. the ten players who lost to the players with the very worst rankings), and also the ten with the least severe “worst losses.” This is followed by the name and ranking (both ranking at the time and ranking as of the end of 2000) of the player to whom she lost.

Worst “Worst Loss”	Best “Worst Loss”
1. Pierce (Di Natale, then #258, ended at #197)	1. Seles (Halard-Decugis, then #19, ended #15)
2. Dementieva (Andretto, then #201, ended #181)	2. Hingis (Frazier, then #26, ended #20)
3. Coetzer (De Los Rios, then #151, ended #77)	3. Sanchez-Vicario (Dementieva, then #31, ended #12)
4. Tauziat (Jeyaseelan, then #145, ended #49)	4. Davenport (Maleeva, then #53, ended #22)
5. Huber (Grzybowska, then #143, ended #83)	5. Rubin (Dementieva, then #59, ended #12)
6. Van Roost (Casoni, then #128, ended #91)	6. Kournikova (Suarez, then #62, ended #37)
7. Frazier (Ruano Pascual, then #123, ended #89)	S. Williams (Suarez, then #62, ended #37)
8. Likhovtseva (Grzybowska, then #118, ended #83)	8. Capriati (Kuti Kis, then #72, ended #56)
9. Schnyder (Vento, then #113, ended #105)	9. Testud (Sanchez Lorenzo, then #76, ended #99)
10. Maleeva (Oremans, then #111, ended #64)	10. Martinez (Jeyaseelan, then #79, ended #49)

Fraction of Points Earned in Biggest Win

In general, the lower this number, the more consistent a player has been, as she did not use one freak result to significantly change her result.

The table shows the approximate point value of the player's biggest win, what percentage of her points this represents, what her score would have been without this win, approximately where she would have stood in the rankings without that win, and what the win was. Players who would have retained their rankings even without their biggest wins are marked in *italics*. For simplicity, I have *not* added in a player's nineteenth tournament, if any, after subtracting the "Big Win" (No result would be affected by more than one position by inclusion of the nineteenth tournament points.)

Note: A "big win" does not constitute the result that took a player deepest into a tournament, but the result that was worth the most points. In the column labelled "Big Win," it is assumed that the player won the tournament listed unless this is followed by the round in which the player lost (e.g. "F"=final, "SF"=semifinal, "QF"=Quarterfinal).

WTA Rank	Player Name	Best 18	Big Win Amount	Big Win Percent	Score W/O Big Win	Resulting Ranking	Big Win
1	<i>Hingis</i>	6180	618	10.0%	5562	1	Australian Open F
2	<i>Davenport</i>	5022	934	18.6%	4088	2	Australian Open
3	V. Williams	3694	1098	29.7%	2596	5	Wimbledon
4	<i>Seles</i>	3255	366	11.2%	2889	4	Chase F
5	Martinez	2795	544	19.5%	2251	6	Roland Garros F
6	S. Williams	2306	443	19.2%	1863	10	Los Angeles
7	Pierce	2162	986	45.6%	1176	21	Roland Garros
8	Kournikova	2158	260	12.0%	1898	10	Chase SF
9	Sanchez-Vicario	2132	426	20.0%	1706	13	Roland Garros SF
10	Tauziat	1963	313	15.9%	1650	14	Paris
11	Coetzer	1798	283	15.7%	1515	14	Berlin F
12	Dementieva	1774	446	25.1%	1328	19	U. S. Open SF
13	Rubin	1760	252	14.3%	1508	14	Roland Garros QF
14	Capriati	1664	404	24.3%	1260	19	Australian Open SF
15	Halard-Decugis	1436	328	22.8%	1108	22	Eastbourne
16	Mauresmo	1426	448	31.4%	978	28	Sydney
17	Testud	1414	251	17.8%	1163	21	Pan Pacific F
18	Clijsters	1398	339	24.2%	1059	23	Leipzig
19	Huber	1370	314	22.9%	1056	25	U. S. Open QF
20	Frazier	1255	256	20.4%	999	27	San Diego SF
21	Likhovtseva	1216	264	21.7%	952	29	Australian Open QF
22	Maleeva	1108	197	17.8%	911	31	Canadian Open QF
23	Schett	1065	210	19.7%	855	34	Zurich SF
24	Van Roost	1056	256	24.2%	800	39	Eastbourne F
25	Schnyder	1056	174	16.5%	882	31	Australian Open R16
26	Dokic	1054	302	28.7%	752	42	Wimbledon SF
27	Déchy	1020	141	13.8%	879	31	Oklahoma City F

Winning and Losing Streaks

Winning and Losing Streaks, Sorted by Player

The following table records a player's longest winning and losing streaks, as well as tabulating all winning streaks of ten or more matches and all losing streaks of three or more matches. (Note: the Olympics are not counted in these streaks, but are considered to break a streak unless noted.)

Player Name	Rank	Longest Win Streak	Longest Loss Streak	Streaks of 10+ Wins	Streaks of 3+ Losses	Events in Longest Win Streak (# of wins in parenthesis)	Events in Longest Loss Streak
Capriati	14	5	4		1	Australian Open SF (5) <i>or</i> Luxembourg W (5)	Ericsson QF; Rome 1R; Strasbourg 2R, Roland Garros 1R
Clijsters	18	6	3		1	Leipzig W (5), Chase QF (1)	Wimbledon 2R; Stanford 1R; San Diego 1R
Coetzer	11	7	2			Antwerp W (5); Roland Garros 3R (2)	Oklahoma City SF; Indian Wells 2R
Davenport	2	21	2	2*, †		Australian Open (7); Scottsdale F (3 — No winner, so streak unbroken); Indian Wells (6), Ericsson F (5)	Stanford F; San Diego 2R
Déchy	27	4	3		2	Oklahoma City F (4) <i>or</i> Estoril F (4)	Gold Coast SF, Hobart 1R, Australian Open 1R <i>or</i> Strasbourg SF; Roland Garros 1R; Birmingham 2R
Dementieva	12	5	2§§			U. S. Open SF (5) [also Olympic F (5)]	Paris Qualifying F; Hannover Qualifying 1R <i>or</i> Wimbledon 1R; San Diego 1R§§ <i>or</i> Los Angeles SF; Canadian Open 1R <i>or</i> Zurich 2R; Linz 1R
Frazier	20	3	4		2	Hobart SF (3) <i>or</i> Ericsson QF (3) <i>or</i> San Diego SF (3) <i>or</i> Canadian Open QF (3) <i>or</i> Japan Open F (3) <i>or</i> Quebec City SF (3)	Hilton Head R16; Madrid 2R; Roland Garros 1R; Eastbourne 1R
Halard-Decugis	15	5	4		2*	Eastbourne W	Philadelphia 1999 QF; Chase 1999 1R; Gold Coast 2R; Sydney 1R
Hingis	1	15		4		Filderstadt (4), Zurich (4), Moscow (4), Philadelphia F (3)	
Huber	19	8	4		2*	Estoril Win (5); Hamburg SF (3)	Paris 2R; Hannover 1R; Scottsdale 1R; Indian Wells 2R
Kournikova	8	3	2			Sydney SF (3) <i>or</i> Australian Open R16 (3) <i>or</i> Scottsdale SF (3) <i>or</i> Stanford SF (3) <i>or</i> San Diego SF (3) <i>or</i> Moscow F (3)	Hamburg QF; Berlin 2R <i>or</i> Luxembourg SF; Filderstadt 1R
Likhovtseva	21	4	5		4	Australian Open QF (4) <i>or</i> Leipzig F (4)	Hilton Head R16; Warsaw 1R; Rome 1R; Strasbourg 1R; Roland Garros 1R
Maleeva	22	(15)*	5	1§	2	Pattaya 1999 (5 main draw+ 3 qualifying), Cergy Pontoise Challenger 1999 (5), Gold Coast QF (2)	Ericsson 1R; Amelia Island 1R; Hilton Head 1R; Bol 1R; Berlin 1R
Martinez	5	11	2	1		Berlin (5), Roland Garros F (6)	Australian Open SF; Scottsdale 1R

Mauresmo	16	5	3††		1	Sydney Win (4), Australian Open 2R (1) <i>or</i> Rome F (5)	Roland Garros R16, Wimbledon 1R; [Olympics 1R]; Princess Cup 2R
Pierce	7	8	2			Roland Garros W (7), Wimbledon 2R (1)	Australian Open R16; Pan Pacific 1R <i>or</i> Indian Wells SF; Ericsson 2R <i>or</i> Rome R16; Madrid 1R
Raymond	31	5	3		1	Birmingham W (5)	Oklahoma City QF; Scottsdale 1R; Indian Wells 2R
Rubin	13	5	2			Quebec City W (4), Philadelphia 2R (1)	Eastbourne SF; Wimbledon 1R <i>or</i> Stanford QF; San Diego 1R
Sanchez-Vicario	9	5	4			Roland Garros SF (5)	Filderstadt SF; Moscow 2R; Leipzig 2R; Chase 1R
Schett	23	6	5		3	Klagenfurt W (4), Sopot QF (2)	Sopot QF; San Diego 1R; Los Angeles 1R; Canadian Open 1R; New Haven 1R
Schnyder	25	3	5		2	Australian Open R16 (3) <i>or</i> Klagenfurt F (3)	Hilton Head R16; Hamburg 1R; Berlin 1R; Rome 1R; Roland Garros 1R
Seles	4	9				Rome W (5), Roland Garros QF (4)	
Sidot	36	3	4(*)		2	Hannover SF (3)	Leipzig 1999 QF; Sydney 2000 1R; Australian Open 1R; Pan Pacific 1R <i>or</i> Los Angeles 2R; Canadian Open 1R; New Haven 1R; U.S. Open 1R
Sugiyama	33	4	4		2	Australian Open QF (4)	Wimbledon 2R; San Diego 1R; Los Angeles 1R; Canadian Open 1R
Talaja	30	6	6‡		3	Gold Coast W (5); Sydney 2R (1)	Filderstadt 1R; Zurich 1R; Linz 1R; Moscow 1R; Kuala Lumpur 1R; Pattaya City 1R‡
Tauziat	10	4	3		1	Paris W (4) <i>or</i> U.S. Open QF (4)	San Diego QF; Los Angeles 1R; Canadian Open 2R
Testud	17	4	3*			Pan Pacific F <i>or</i> Ericsson SF	Philadelphia 1999 QF; Chase 1999 1R; Sydney 2000 1R
Van Roost	24	4	3		1	Eastbourne F (4) <i>or</i> Knokke-Heist F (4)	Australian Open 2R; Paris 1R; Scottsdale 1R
Williams, S.	6	9	2			Los Angeles W (5), Canadian Open F (4)	Ericsson R16; Amelia Island 2R
Williams, V.	3	29				Wimbledon (7); Stanford (4); San Diego (4); New Haven (4); U. S. Open (7)**; Linz F (3)	

* Includes wins/losses sustained in 1999.

† Davenport's first streak of 10+ matches occurred primarily in 1999: Philadelphia 1999 W (4), Chase 1999 W (4), Sydney 2000 F (3)

§ Maleeva posted a winning streak of fifteen matches from November 1999 to January 2000. We should note, however, that this included three wins in qualifying, five at a Tier IV, five at a Challenger, and two at a Tier III tournament. In the course of the streak, she faced only two players in the Top Thirty, beating one (Halard-Decugis) and losing to the other (Martinez). She followed this with three straight losses, and then a fourth in her next match in an actual tournament (though she won three qualifying matches first)

‡ Talaja actually accumulated *eight* straight Tour matches which she failed to win, from New Haven to Pattaya City. But after the second of these, she won a match at the Olympics, giving her a string of "only" seven matches, and only six official.

** Also won six exhibition matches at the Olympics

†† Excludes opening-round exhibition loss at the Olympics (between Wimbledon and the Princess Cup)

§§ Dementieva's longest string without a win was actually three matches — withdrew at Roland Garros, followed by losses at Wimbledon and San Diego. But this streak contains only two losses.

List of Longest Winning Streaks

The following list shows all winning streaks of ten or more matches, in descending order, including the tournaments involved and the surfaces on which they were achieved. (Note: This list, unlike the preceding, includes *only* streaks sustained entirely in 2000.)

Number of Wins	Player	Tournaments and Results	Surfaces
29	V. Williams	Wimbledon (7), Stanford (4), San Diego (4), New Haven (4); U. S. Open (7)*, Linz (3)	Grass+Hard+Indoors
21	Davenport	Australian Open W (7), Scottsdale F (3), Indian Wells W (6), Ericsson F (5)	Hardcourt
15	Hingis	Filderstadt W (4), Zurich W (4), Moscow W (4), Philadelphia F (3)	Indoor
13	Hingis	Ericsson W (6), Hamburg W (4), Berlin SF (3)	Hard+Clay
12	Hingis	Pan Pacific W (4), Scottsdale F (3), Indian Wells F (5)	Hard+Indoors
11	Martinez	Berlin W (5), Roland Garros F (6)	Clay
10	Hingis	Canadian Open W (5), U.S. Open SF (5)	Hardcourt

* Total excludes six exhibition victories at the Olympics.

Number of Significant Results

For our purposes, a “significant result” is one which earns a player at least 100 points. The following table shows the number of significant results earned by the Top 25. (The figure in the “100+ Points” column is the number of the player’s tournaments in which she earned 100+ points; similarly in the “200+ Points” column.) The final column shows what percentage of a player’s events earned a significant score (greater than 100 points)

Player Name	WTA Rank	Tournaments Played	Events Earning 100+ Points	Events Earning 200+ Points	Events Earning 400+ Points	% Significant Events
Capriati	14	20	7	1	1	35.0%
Clijsters	18	17	5	2	0	29.4%
Coetzer	11	23	6	1	0	26.1%
Davenport	2	18	12	12	5	66.7%
Déchy	27	19	3	0	0	15.8%
Dementieva	12	22	6	3	1	27.3%
Dokic	26	19	3	1	0	15.8%
Frazier	20	22	4	1	0	18.2%
Halard-Decugis	15	24	5	2	0	20.8%
Hingis	1	20	19	15	4	95.0%
Huber	19	18	5	1	0	27.8%
Kournikova	8	26	10	3	0	38.5%
Likhovtseva	21	27	3	2	0	11.1%
Maleeva	22	25	3	0	0	12.0%
Martinez	5	20	9	4	2	45.0%
Mauresmo	16	13	6	2	1	46.2%
Pierce	7	13	6	3	1	46.2%
Rubin	13	23	8	1	0	34.8%
Sanchez-Vicario	9	18	9	3	1	50.0%
Schett	23	23	3	1	0	13.0%
Schnyder	25	25	2	0	0	8.0%
Seles	4	15	14	10	0	93.3%
Tauziat	10	26	8	2	0	30.8%
Testud	17	20	5	1	0	25.0%
Van Roost	24	19	3	1	0	15.8%
S. Williams	6	11	8	6	1	72.7%
V. Williams	3	9	7	7	2	77.8%

For other measures of consistency, see the sections on *Points Per Quarter* and *All-Surface Players*.

Points Per Quarter

For those who want trends, we can also determine how well players did in each part of the year. In the lists which follow, quarters are reckoned based on when a tournament ends. So, e.g., Wimbledon began in June but ended in July; its points are counted toward the July total. I have listed players in terms of total points earned, but also calculate the points per tournament, and list the note in brackets the top players in these categories. In a few places I have listed players outside the Top 10 for the quarter who had a high per-tournament score. Note that in a handful of instances these lists include players not in the Top 20.

First Quarter

(Constituting the period from the beginning of the year to Indian Wells)

Rank	Player	Points	Tournaments	Per Tournament
1	Davenport	1907	4	476.8
2	Hingis	1705	5	341.0
3	S. Williams	715	4	178.8
4	Sanchez-Vicario	453	3	151.0
5	Seles	428	3	142.7
6	Martinez	643	5	128.6
7	Mauresmo	640	5	128.0
8	Capriati	502	4	125.5
9	Pierce	509	5	101.8
10	Tauziat	482	5	96.4
11	Kournikova	672	7	96.0
12	Rubin	476	5	95.2
13	Sugiyama	410	5	82.0

Second Quarter

(Constituting the period from Miami to Eastbourne)

Rank	Player	Points	Tournaments	Per Tournament
1	Hingis	1504	5	300.8
2	Seles	1280	5	256.0
3	Pierce	1477	6	246.2
4	Martinez	1460	6	243.3
5	Sanchez-Vicario	1061	7	151.6
6	Mauresmo	577	4	144.2
7	Coetzer	991	8	123.9
8	V. Williams	345	5	115.0
9	Huber	593	6	98.8
10	Davenport	393	4	98.2
11	Van Roost	489	5	97.8
12	Rubin	523	6	87.2

Third Quarter

(Constituting the period from Wimbledon to the U. S. Open)

Rank	Player	Points	Tournaments	Per Tournament
1	V. Williams	3144	5	628.8
2	S. Williams	1271	4	317.8
3	Davenport	1715	6	285.8
4	Hingis	1356	5	271.2
5	Seles	1083	5	216.6
6	Huber	702	6	117.0
7	Kournikova	578	5	115.6
8	Sanchez-Vicario	427	4	106.8
9	Dementieva	637	6	106.2
10	Frazier	616	6	102.7
11	Pierce	176	2	88.0
12	Capriati	321	4	80.2

Fourth Quarter

(Constituting the period from Luxembourg to the Chase Championships and Pattaya City.)

Rank	Player	Points	Tournaments	Per Tournament
1	Hingis	1829	5	365.8
2	S. Williams	252	1	252.0
3	Davenport	1007	4	251.8
4	Seles	464	2	232.0
5	V. Williams	205	1	205.0
6	Clijsters	791	4	197.8
7	Kournikova	835	7	119.3
8	Capriati	574	6	95.7
9	Tauziat	754	8	94.2
10	Halard-Decugis	562	6	93.7
10	Martinez	281	3	93.7
12	Rubin	561	6	93.5
13	Dementieva	451	5	90.2
14	Schett	450	5	90.0
15	Maleeva	445	5	89.0

Most Consistent over Four Quarters

This allows us to calculate another consistency ranking, based on who had the best results from quarter to quarter. In the list below, I have added up the player's per-quarter score for each of the four quarters. Lowest is best, i.e. most consistent. Players not in the Top 10 in any given quarter are assigned an arbitrary value of 14. (This means, obviously, that the maximum possible score is 56. Players with scores of 56 have not been listed.)

Rank	Name	WTA Rank	Consistency Score
1	Hingis	1	8
2	Seles	4	16
3	Davenport	2	17
4	S. Williams	6	21
5	V. Williams	3	28
6	Sanchez-Vicario	9	31
7	Martinez	5	34
8	Pierce	7	37
9	Kournikova	8	39
10	Mauresmo	16	41
11	Capriati	14	42
12	Huber	19	43
13	Tauziat	10	47
14	Clijsters	18	48
15	Coetzer	11	49
16	Dementieva	12	50
17	Rubin	13	50
18	Frazier	20	52
19	Halard-Decugis	15	52
20	Van Roost	24	53
21	Sugiyama	33	55

Slam Results

From the standpoint of difficulty, the Slams are overrated. Slam results are worth twice as much as the results of Tier I events, even though Tier I events are played in a shorter time against a tougher field (to win the Italian Open, a player must win five or six matches in no more than seven days, with every opponent probably in the Top Fifty; to win Roland Garros requires seven matches in no less than twelve days, with probably at least two opponents outside the Top Fifty). Still, they are the events people remember, and so deserve some separate consideration. The following summarizes the top players' slam results. The column, "Total Opponent Rank" adds up the rankings of one's opponents. The next column divides this by the number of matches played. The lower this number, the tougher the average opponent was, and thus the greater one's achievement (note: Players ranked outside the Top 100 have been calculated as "100"). It is not properly a scheme for ranking; it simply calculated how tough, overall, the players' draw was.

Player	WTA Rnk	Won-Lost in Slams	Winning Percentage	Pts Earned	Slams	Points/Slam	Versus Top 10	Total Opp. Rnk	Per Opponent
Capriati	14	11-4	73.3%	676	4	169	0-3	642	42.8
Clijsters	18	2-4	33.3%	158	4	39.5	1-1	153	25.5
Coetzer	11	6-4	60%	214	4	53.5	0-0	709	70.9
Davenport	2	19-3	86.4%	2170	4	542.5	3-2	662	30.1
Déchy	27	3-4	42.9%	160	4	40.0	0-1	248	35.4
Dementieva	12	8-3 ¹	72.7%	596	4	149.0	2-1	442	40.2
Dokic	26	9-4	69.2%	454	4	113.5	0-2	781	60.1
Frazier	20	2-4	33.3%	56	4	19.0	0-1	441	73.5
Halard-Decugis	15	4-4	50%	176	4	44.0	0-1	558	69.8
Hingis	1	20-4	83.3%	1734	4	433.5	1-4	838	34.9
Huber	19	10-4	71.4%	540	4	135.0	1-2	763	54.5
Kournikova	8	7-4	63.6%	336	4	84.0	1-1	581	52.8
Likhovtseva	21	7-4	63.6%	356	4	89.0	1-2	676	61.4
Maleeva	22	4-4	50%	162	4	40.5	0-1	401	50.1
Martinez	5	14-4	77.8%	1034	4	258.5	1-2	907	50.4
Mauresmo	16	4-3	57.1%	164	3	54.7	0-1	298	42.6
Pierce	7	14-3	82.4%	1262	4	315.5	3-1	885	52.1
Raymond	31	8-4	66.7%	310	4	77.5	0-2	725	60.4
Rubin	13	7-4	63.6%	410	4	102.5	1-2	408	37.1
Sanchez-Vicario	9	15-4	78.9%	892	4	223.0	2-4	985	51.8
Schett	23	7-4	63.6%	228	4	57.0	0-1	729	66.3
Schnyder	25	5-4	55.6%	252	4	63.0	1-0	560	62.2
Seles	4	12-3	75%	818	3	272.7	1-3	546	36.4
Talaja	30	2-4	33.3%	82	4	20.5	0-2	331	55.2
Tauziat	10	7-4	63.6%	358	4	89.5	1-1	649	59
Testud	17	8-4	66.7%	272	4	68.0	0-2	738	61.5
Van Roost	24	3-4	42.9%	264	4	66.0	1-0	330	47.1
Williams, S.	6	12-3	80%	650	3	216.7	0-2	744	49.6
Williams, V.	3	18-1	94.7%	2382	3	794	6-1	563	29.6

¹ Dementieva withdrew from Roland Garros with an injured rib. This is not formally listed as a loss.

Surface Rankings

Most ratings to this point have been “overall” ratings, regardless of surface. However, players do most definitely have preferred surfaces. We may therefore compute “surface rankings.” The following tables show how the Top 25 did on each surface. Some other players have been added when their results warrant it. Results are listed in order of points per tournament *on each surface*.

It is effectively certain that some players outside the Top 25 have exceeded some of the lower Top 25 players on certain surfaces (especially grass). I have noted these where I have been aware of them, but have not checked this for all players.

Hardcourts

Summary of Hardcourt Results

The following lists the top players, the tournaments they played on hardcourts, the points earned on the surface, their record and winning percentage. The list is in alphabetical order.

Player Name	Won/Lost (Percent)	Vs. Top 10	Tournaments Played	Total Pts/ # of Tourn
Capriati	15-8 (65.2%)	1-4	Sydney (61), Australian Open (404), Scottsdale (36), Indian Wells (1), Ericsson (125), San Diego (1), Canadian Open (50), U. S. Open (112)	790/8
Clijsters	12-7 (63.2%)	0-5	Hobart (144), Australian Open (2), Indian Wells (81), Ericsson (52), Stanford (1), San Diego (1), New Haven (83), U. S. Open (42)	406/8
Coetzer	12-9 (57.1%)	2-3	Sydney (41), Australian Open (46), Indian Wells (1), Ericsson (110), Stanford (28), San Diego (49), Canadian Open (32), New Haven (145), U. S. Open (56)	508/9
Davenport	37-7 (84.1%)	6-5	Sydney (233), Australian Open (934), Scottsdale (261), Indian Wells (479), Ericsson (286), Stanford (223), San Diego (1), Los Angeles (213), Canadian Open (44), U. S. Open (592)	3266/10
Déchy	13-9 (59.1%)	2-1	Gold Coast (77), Hobart (1), Australian Open (2), Scottsdale (97), Indian Wells (83), Ericsson (94), San Diego (49), Los Angeles (1), Canadian Open (26), U. S. Open (46)	476/10
Dementieva	19-9 (67.9%)	3-3	Sydney Qualifying (1), Australian Open (76), Indian Wells (208), Ericsson (108), San Diego (1), Los Angeles (151), Canadian Open (1), New Haven (36), U. S. Open (446)	1028/9
Dokic	8-10 (44.4%)	0-4	Sydney (28), Australian Open (2), Indian Wells (45), Ericsson (15), Canadian Open (1), New Haven (1), U. S. Open (108), Princess Cup (62), Shanghai (39) Kuala Lumpur (1)	302/10
Frazier	22-12 (64.7%)	3-7	Hobart (50), Australian Open (2), Scottsdale (49), Indian Wells (1), Ericsson (131), Stanford (73), San Diego (256), Los Angeles (97), Canadian Open (138), U. S. Open (2), Princess Cup (68), Japan Open (124)	991/12
Halard-Decugis	15-10 (60.0%)	1-3	Gold Coast (1), Sydney (1), Australian Open (170), Scottsdale (1), Indian Wells (67), Ericsson (1), Los Angeles (1), Canadian Open (63), New Haven (1), U. S. Open (2), Princess Cup (213), Japan Open (185)	706/12
Hénin	16-5 (inc. 8 qual. wins)	0-3	Hobart (59.5), Australian Open (30), Canadian Open (50), New Haven (91), U. S. Open (166)	396.5/5
Hingis	35-6 (85.3%)	8-5	Sydney (129), Australian Open (618), Scottsdale (241), Indian Wells (349), Ericsson (435), San Diego (85), Los Angeles (136), Canadian Open (399), U. S. Open (462)	2854/9
Huber	7-9 (43.8%)	1-0	Sydney (1), Australian Open (2), Scottsdale (1), Indian Wells (1), Ericsson (24), San Diego (1), Canadian Open (46), New Haven (65), U. S. Open (314)	455/9

Kournikova	23-10 (69.7%)	2-7	Gold Coast (37), Sydney (138), Australian Open (130), Scottsdale (132), Indian Wells (32), Ericsson (53), Stanford (133), San Diego (212), Canadian Open (61), U. S. Open (60)	988/10
Likhovtseva	15-11 (57.7%)	1-6	Auckland (32), Sydney (30), Australian Open (264), Scottsdale (1), Indian Wells (61), Ericsson (67), San Diego (49), Los Angeles (1), Canadian Open (1), New Haven (36), U. S. Open (56)	598/11
Maleeva	10-8 (inc. 2 qual. wins)	1-4	Gold Coast (82), Hobart (1), Australian Open (2), Indian Wells (21), Ericsson (21), Canadian Open (197), New Haven (1), U. S. Open (42)	367/8
Martinez	22-10 (68.8%)	0-6	Gold Coast (159), Sydney (41), Australian Open (336), Scottsdale (1), Indian Wells (106), Ericsson (69), San Diego (75), Los Angeles (54), Canadian Open (127), U. S. Open (98)	1066/10
Mauresmo	5-3 (62.5%)	3-0	Sydney (448), Australian Open (42), Indian Wells (1), Princess Cup (1)	492/4
Pierce	13-6 (68.4%)	1-4	Sydney (85), Australian Open (100), Scottsdale (109), Indian Wells (214), Ericsson (1), U. S. Open (130)	639/6
Raymond	6-8 (42.9%)	0-3	Sydney (1), Australian Open (30), Scottsdale (1), Indian Wells (1), Ericsson (32), San Diego (1), Los Angeles (56), U. S. Open (80)	202/8
Rubin	17-10 (63.0%)	0-4	Hobart (105), Australian Open (46), Scottsdale (36), Indian Wells (112), Ericsson (26), Stanford (67), San Diego (1), Los Angeles (61), Canadian Open (56), U. S. Open (110)	620/10
Sanchez-Vicario	17-7 (70.8%)	1-4	Gold Coast (102), Sydney (95), Australian Open (256), Ericsson (30), Los Angeles (49), Canadian Open (168), U. S. Open (106)	806/7
Schett	7-10 (41.2%)	0-3	Sydney (1), Australian Open (92), Scottsdale (30), Indian Wells (48), Ericsson (1), San Diego (1), Los Angeles (1), Canadian Open (1), New Haven (1), U. S. Open (42)	218/10
Schnyder	12-8 (60.0%)	1-4	Gold Coast (47), Hobart (18), Australian Open (174), Indian Wells (26), Ericsson (30), Canadian Open (45), New Haven (96), U. S. Open (30)	466/8
Seles	23-8 (74.2%)	1-7	Scottsdale (108), Indian Wells (113), Ericsson (202), Stanford (108), San Diego (202), New Haven (233), U. S. Open (280), Princess Cup (98)	1344/8
Sugiyama	11-11 (50.0%)	2-5	Sydney (1), Australian Open (270), Scottsdale (108), Indian Wells (30), Ericsson (30), San Diego (1), Los Angeles (1), Canadian Open (1), New Haven (30), U. S. Open (34), Princess Cup (30)	536/11
Talaja	10-10 (50.0%)	0-2	Gold Coast (245), Sydney (30), Australian Open (2), Scottsdale (1), Indian Wells (1), Ericsson (26), Los Angeles (1), Canadian Open (82), New Haven (41), U. S. Open (2), Kuala Lumpur (1), Pattaya City (1)	433/12
Tauziat	11-8 (57.9%)	1-2	Australian Open (56), Indian Wells (37), Ericsson (26), San Diego (83), Los Angeles (1), Canadian Open (1), New Haven (113), U. S. Open (232)	549/8
Testud	20-10 (66.7%)	0-7	Sydney (1), Australian Open (98), Scottsdale (96), Indian Wells (37), Ericsson (185), Stanford (60), San Diego (83), Los Angeles (108), Canadian Open (103), U. S. Open (104)	875/10
Van Roost	11-9 (55.0%)	1-4	Sydney (101), Australian Open (56), Scottsdale (1), Indian Wells (67), Ericsson (26), San Diego (49), Los Angeles (36), Canadian Open (1), New Haven (75), U. S. Open (30)	442/10
S. Williams	25-5 (83.3%)	4-3	Australian Open (120), Indian Wells (98), Ericsson (67), Los Angeles (443), Canadian Open (298), U. S. Open (190), Princess Cup (252)	1468/7
V. Williams	19-0 (100%)	7-0	Stanford (331), San Diego (343), New Haven (316), U. S. Open (1056)	2046/4

Winning Percentage on Hardcourts

Where two players have equal winning percentages, the player with the higher number of wins on hardcourts is listed first. Where this fails, the player with the higher WTA rank is listed first

Rank	Player	Won	Lost	Winning%	WTA Rank
1	V. Williams	19	0	100%	3
2	Hingis	35	6	85.4%	1
3	Davenport	37	7	84.1%	2
4	S. Williams	25	5	83.3%	6
5	Hénin	16	5	76.2%	48
6	Seles	23	8	74.2%	4
7	Sanchez-Vicario	17	7	70.8%	9
8	Kournikova	23	10	69.7%	8
9	Martinez	22	10	68.8%	5
10	Pierce	13	6	68.4%	7
11	Dementieva	19	9	67.9%	12
12	Testud	20	10	66.7%	17
13	Capriati	15	8	65.2%	14
14	Frazier	22	12	64.7%	20
15	Clijsters	12	7	63.2%	18
16	Rubin	17	10	63.0%	13
17	Mauresmo	5	3	62.5%	16
18	Halard-Decugis	15	10	60.0%	15
	Schnyder	12	8	60.0%	25
20	Déchy	13	9	59.1%	27
	Tauziat	11	8	57.9%	10
	Likhovtseva	15	11	57.7%	21
	Coetzer	12	9	57.1%	11
	Maleeva	10	8	55.6%	22
	Van Roost	11	9	55.0%	24
	Sugiyama	11	11	50.0%	33
	Talaja	10	10	50.0%	30
	Dokic	8	10	44.4%	26
	Huber	7	9	43.8%	19
	Raymond	6	8	42.9%	31
	Schett	7	10	41.2%	23

Points Per Tournament on Hardcourts

Hard Rank	Player Name	Surface Points	Tourn on Surface	Points/ Tourn	WTA Rank
1	V. Williams	2046	4	511.5	3
2	Davenport	3266	10	326.6	2
3	Hingis	2854	9	317.1	1
4	S. Williams	1468	7	209.7	6
5	Seles	1344	8	168.0	4
6	Mauresmo	492	4	123.0	16
7	Sanchez-Vicario	806	7	115.1	9
8	Dementieva	1028	9	114.2	12
9	Martinez	1066	10	106.6	5
10	Pierce	639	6	106.5	7
11	Kournikova	988	10	98.8	8
12	Capriati	790	8	98.8	14
13	Testud	875	10	87.5	17
14	Frazier	991	12	82.6	20
15	Hénin	396.5	5	79.3	48
16	Tauziat	549	8	68.6	10
17	Rubin	620	10	62.0	13
18	Halard-Decugis	706	12	58.8	15
19	Schnyder	466	8	58.3	25
20	Coetzer	508	9	56.4	11
	Likhovtseva	598	11	54.4	21
	Clijsters	406	8	50.8	18
	Huber	455	9	50.6	19
	Sugiyama	536	11	48.7	33
	Déchy	476	10	47.6	27
	Maleeva	367	8	45.9	22
	Van Roost	442	10	44.2	24
	Talaja	433	12	36.1	30
	Dokic	302	10	30.2	26
	Raymond	202	8	25.3	31
	Schett	218	10	21.8	23

Best and Worst Results on Hardcourts

The following tables list a player's *best* and *worst* results on this surface. Of these, the worst result may be the better measure of ability — a player who avoids bad losses is at least more consistent than one with a mixture of good and bad results.

<i>Best Result</i>			<i>Worst Result</i>		
1	V. Williams	1056	1	V. Williams	316
2	Davenport	934	2	Seles	98
3	Hingis	618	3	Hingis	85
4	Mauresmo	448	4	S. Williams	67
5	Dementieva	446	5	Kournikova	32
6	S. Williams	443	6	Hénin	30
7	Capriati	404		Sanchez-Vicario	30
8	Martinez	336	8	Schnyder	18
9	Huber	314			
10	Seles	280			
11	Likhovtseva	264			
12	Frazier	256			
13	Sanchez-Vicario	256			
14	Talaja	245			
15	Tauziat	232			
16	Pierce	214			
17	Halard-Decugis	213			
18	Kournikova	212			
19	Maleeva	197			
20	Testud	185			
	Schnyder	174			
	Hénin	166			
	Coetzer	145			
	Clijsters	144			
	Rubin	112			
	Van Roost	101			
	Déchy	97			
	Schett	92			

All of the following players had at least one opening-round loss on hardcourts: Capriati, Clijsters, Coetzer, Davenport, Déchy, Dementieva, Frazier, Halard-Decugis, Huber, Likhovtseva, Maleeva, Martinez, Mauresmo, Pierce, Rubin, Schett, Talaja, Tauziat, Testud, Van Roost

Clay

Summary of Clay Results

The following lists the top players, the tournaments they played on clay, the points earned on the surface, their record and winning percentage. The list is in alphabetical order.

Player Name	Won/Lost (Percent)	Vs. Top 10	Tournaments Played	Total Pts/ # of Tour
Capriati	0-3 (0%)	0-0	Rome (1), Strasbourg (1), Roland Garros (2)	4/3
Clijsters	1-2 (33.3%)	0-0	Antwerp (16), Roland Garros (2)	18/2
Coetzer	19-5 (79.2%)	1-2	Amelia Island (46), Hilton Head (84), Hamburg (194), Berlin (283), Antwerp (134), Roland Garros (82)	823/6
Davenport	1-1 (50%) ¹	0-0	Rome (51), Roland Garros (2)	53/2
Déchy	6-4 (60.0%) ²	0-0	Estoril (101), Rome (1), Strasbourg (75), Roland Garros (2)	179/4
Dementieva	8-4 (66.7%) ³	1-2	Amelia Island (18), Hilton Head (41), Berlin (128), Rome (26), Roland Garros (72)	285/5
Dragomir	9-7 (56.3%)	0-2	Amelia Island (1), Hilton Head (116), Hamburg (1), Berlin (32), Rome (30), Roland Garros (120), Palermo (18)	318/7
Frazier	1-4 (20.0%)	0-0	Amelia Island (1), Hilton Head (38), Madrid (1), Roland Garros (2)	42/4
Garbin	19-9 (67.9%)	0-4	Bogota (99), São Paulo (1), Estoril (77), Budapest (119), Warsaw (26), Rome (32), Strasbourg (20), Roland Garros (74), Palermo (1), Sopot (26) (NOTE: List does not include Challenger of 4/10/2000)	475/10
Halard-Dec	2-4 (33.3%)	0-0	Bol (1), Berlin (38), Rome (51), Roland Garros (2)	92/4
Hingis	12-2 (85.7%)	0-2	Hamburg (320), Berlin (183), Roland Garros (380)	883/3
Huber	18-4 (81.8%)	1-2	Estoril (155), Hamburg (166), Berlin (85), Rome (37), Roland Garros (126), Sopot (178)	747/6
Kournikova	6-5 (54.5%)	0-1	Amelia Island (73), Hilton Head (40), Hamburg (70), Berlin (1), Roland Garros (34)	218/5
Kuti Kis	17-8 (68%)	0-1	Bogota (60), São Paulo (134), Estoril (39), Budapest (1), Bol (1), Berlin (37), Strasbourg (145), Roland Garros (56), Knokke-Heist (1)	484/9
Leon Garcia	20-8 (71.4%)	2-3	Amelia Island (18), Hilton Head (24), Bol (118), Berlin (131), Rome (1), Madrid (176), Roland Garros (34), Klagenfurt (41), Sopot (161)	704/9
Likhovtseva	6-6 (50.0%)	0-2	Amelia Island (172), Hilton Head (44), Warsaw (1), Rome (1), Strasbourg (1), Roland Garros (2)	221/6
Maleeva	6-8 (42.9%)	0-2	Amelia Island (1), Hilton Head (1), Bol (1), Berlin (1), Rome (1), Roland Garros (84), Klagenfurt (45), Sopot (20)	154/8
Martinez	20-5 (80.0%)	2-3	Amelia Island (223), Hilton Head (135), Hamburg (54), Berlin (435), Roland Garros (544), Sopot (43)	1434/6
Mauresmo	13-4 (76.5%)	1-2	Bol (128), Berlin (26), Rome (303), Roland Garros (120)	577/4
Nagyova	11-5 (68.8%)	0-0	Amelia Island (18), Hilton Head (1), Warsaw (108), Antwerp (1), Roland Garros (2), Palermo (108), Sopot (1)	239/7
Pierce	15-3 (83.3%)	5-0	Amelia Island (75), Hilton Head (374), Rome (40), Madrid (1), Roland Garros (986)	1476/5
Raymond	5-5 (50%)	0-0	Amelia Island (18), Hilton Head (46), Rome (32), Madrid (1), Roland Garros (30)	127/5
Rubin	9-4 (69.2%)	1-2	Amelia Island (38), Berlin (42), Rome (32), Roland Garros (252)	364/4
Sanchez-V	16-6 (72.7%)	2-4	Amelia Island (77), Hilton Head (273), Hamburg (175), Berlin (1), Rome (79), Roland Garros (426)	1031/6
Schett	11-5 (68.8%)	0-2	Amelia Island (81), Hilton Head (1), Hamburg (1), Roland Garros (92), Klagenfurt (177), Sopot (47)	399/6

Schnyder	9-8 (52.9%)	0-1	Amelia Island (77), Hilton Head (42), Hamburg (1), Berlin (1), Rome (1), Roland Garros (2), Klagenfurt (118), Sopot (47)	289/8
Seles	17-2 (89.5%)	1-2	Amelia Island (311), Hilton Head (163), Rome (326), Roland Garros (278)	1078/4
Sidot	7-6 (53.8%)	0-1	Estoril (16), Hamburg (30), Berlin (1), Rome (86), Strasbourg (26), Roland Garros (68)	227/6
Smashnova	14-7 (66.7%)	0-2	Amelia Island (15), Hilton Head (53), Hamburg (1), Berlin (1), Strasbourg (98), Roland Garros (2), Palermo (42), Knokke-Heist (141)	353/8
Suarez	20-10 (66.7%)	1-3	Bogota (32), São Paulo (100), Amelia Island (174), Hilton Head (30), Bol (41), Berlin (61), Madrid (26), Roland Garros (2), Klagenfurt (22), Sopot (96)	584/10
Sugiyama	6-5 (54.5%)	0-1	Amelia Island (24), Berlin (1), Rome (30), Madrid (26), Roland Garros (148)	229/5
Talaja	10-7 (58.8%)	1-3	Amelia Island (38), Hilton Head (1), Bol (26), Berlin (61), Rome (1), Strasbourg (226), Roland Garros (2), Palermo (1)	356/8
Tauziat	5-4 (55.6%)	0-0	Berlin (1), Rome (75), Strasbourg (45), Roland Garros (68)	189/4
Testud	5-5 (50.0%)	0-1	Amelia Island (1), Bol (39), Berlin (85), Rome (1), Roland Garros (68)	194/5
Van Roost	6-4 (60%)	1-0	Rome (30), Strasbourg (1), Roland Garros (176), Knokke-Heist (75)	282/4
Wartusch	9-9 (50%)	0-0	Bogota (142), São Paulo (39), Estoril (1), Budapest (1), Bol (1), Warsaw (14), Madrid (1), Roland Garros (2), Klagenfurt (1), Knokke-Heist (24) (NOTE: List does not include Challenger of 7/17/2000)	226/10
S. Williams	0-1 (0%)	0-0	Amelia Island (1)	1/1
V. Williams	6-3 (66.7%)	0-1	Hamburg (58), Rome (59), Roland Garros (228)	345/3
Zuluaga	12-8 (60.0%)	1-0	Bogota (18), São Paulo (18), Amelia Island (1), Hilton Head (1), Berlin (1), Rome (229), Madrid (140), Roland Garros (122)	530/8
Zvereva	6-6 (50%)	0-0	Hamburg (1), Berlin (1), Rome (1), Roland Garros (130), Klagenfurt (47)	180/5

- 1 Davenport withdrew from her third round match at Rome. If this is counted as a loss, her record falls to 1-2 and her winning percentage to 33.3%.
- 2 Not including a walkover at Strasbourg
- 3 Dementieva withdrew from her second round match at Roland Garros. If this is counted as a loss, her record falls to 8-5 and her winning percentage to 61.5%

Winning Percentage on Clay

Rank	Player	Winning%	WTA Rank
1	Seles	89.5%	4
2	Hingis	85.7%	1
3	Pierce	83.3%	7
4	Huber ¹	81.8% ¹	19
5	Martinez	80.0%	5
6	Coetzer	79.2%	11
7	Mauresmo	76.5%	16
8	Sanchez-Vicario	72.7%	9
9	Leon Garcia ²	71.4% ²	32
10	Rubin	69.2%	13
11	Schett ³	68.8% ³	23
	Nagyova ²	68.8% ²	41
13	Kuti Kis ²	68.0% ²	56
14	Garbin ²	67.9% ²	40
15	V. Williams	66.7%	3
	Dementieva	66.7%	12
	Suarez ²	66.7% ²	37
	Smashnova ²	66.7% ²	46
19	Van Roost	60.0%	24
	Déchy	60.0%	27
	Zuluaga ²	60.0% ²	42
22	Talaja ⁴	58.8% ⁴	30
23	Dragomir	56.3%	45
24	Tauziat	55.6%	10
25	Kournikova	54.6%	8
	Schnyder	52.9%	25
	Likhovtseva	50%	21
	Testud	50%	17
	Davenport ⁵	50% ⁵	2
	Maleeva	42.9%	22
	Halard-Decugis	33.3%	15
	Clijsters	33.3%	18
	Frazier	20%	20
	S. Williams ⁵	0% ⁵	6
	Capriati ⁵	0% ⁵	14

- 1 Huber played two tournaments of Tier III or lower, and earned half her wins at those two events. Since these events have much weaker fields, this renders her percentage artificially high. Subtracting those two, her percentage is 69.2%
- 2 Non-Top-25 player; played primarily at low-Tier events.
- 3 Like Huber, Schett played two events of Tier III or lower. Schett had more than half her clay wins at these events. Subtracting those gives her a clay percentage of 55.6%
- 4 Talaja played *three* clay events of Tier III or lower. Without these, her clay percentage falls to 44.4%
- 5 Played three or fewer matches on clay.

Points Per Tournament on Clay

Clay Rank	Player Name	WTA Rank	Surface Points	Tourn on Surface	Points/Tourn
1	Pierce	7	1476	5	295.2
2	Hingis	1	883	3	294.3
3	Seles	4	1078	4	269.5
4	Martinez	5	1434	6	239.0
5	Sanchez-Vicario	9	1031	6	171.8
6	Mauresmo	16	577	4	144.3
7	Coetzer	11	823	6	137.2
8	Huber	19	747	6	124.5
9	V. Williams	3	345	3	115.0
10	Rubin	13	364	4	91.0
11	Leon Garcia	32	704	9	78.2
12	Van Roost	24	282	4	70.5
13	Schett	23	399	6	66.5
14	Zuluaga	42	530	8	66.3
15	Suarez	37	584	10	58.4
	Dementieva	12	285	5	57.0
	Garbin	40	475	10	47.5
	Tauziat	10	189	4	47.3
	Sugiyama	33	229	5	45.8
	Dragomir	45	318	7	45.4
	Déchy	27	179	4	44.8
	Talaja	30	356	8	44.5
	Smashnova	46	353	8	44.1
	Kournikova	8	218	5	43.6
	Testud	17	194	5	38.8
	Sidot	36	227	6	37.8
	Likhovtseva	21	221	6	36.8
	Schnyder	25	289	8	36.1
	Davenport	2	53	2	26.5
	Raymond	31	127	5	25.4
	Halard-Decugis	15	92	4	23.0
	Maleeva	22	154	8	19.3
	Frazier	20	42	4	10.5
	Clijsters	18	18	2	9.0
	Capriati	14	4	3	1.3
	S. Williams	6	1	1	1.0

Best and Worst Results on Clay

The following tables list a player's *best* and *worst* results on this surface. Of these, the worst result may be the better measure of ability — a player who avoids bad losses is at least more consistent than one with a mixture of good and bad results.

<i>Best Result</i>			<i>Worst Result</i>		
1	Pierce	986	1	Hingis	183
2	Martinez	544	2	Seles	163
3	Sanchez-Vicario	426	3	V. Williams	58
4	Hingis	380	4	Coetzer	46
5	Seles	326	5	Martinez	43
6	Mauresmo	303	6	Huber	37
7	Coetzer	283	7	Rubin	32
8	Rubin	252	8	Mauresmo	26
9	V. Williams	228	9	Dementieva	18
10	Talaja	226	All other Top 25 players, including Capriati, Davenport, Déchy, Dragomir, Frazier, Halard-Decugis, Kournikova, Likhovtseva, Pierce, Raymond, Sanchez-Vicario, Schett, Schnyder, Sidot, Sugiyama, Talaja, Tauziat, Testud, Van Roost, and S. Williams, had at least one opening-round loss on hardcourts.		
11	Huber	178			
12	Schett	177			
13	Van Roost	176			
14	Likhovtseva	172			
15	Sugiyama	148			
	Zvereva	130			
	Dementieva	128			
	Dragomir	120			
	Schnyder	118			
	Déchy	101			
	Testud	85			
	Tauziat	75			
	Kournikova	73			
	Davenport	51			
	Halard-Decugis	51			
	Raymond	46			
	Frazier	38			
	Clijsters	16			
	Capriati	2			
	S. Williams	1			

Grass

Summary of Grass Results

The following lists the top players, the tournaments they played on grass, the points earned on the surface, their record and winning percentage. The list is in alphabetical order.

Player Name	Won/Lost (Percentage)	Vs. Top 10	Tournaments Played	Total Pts/ # of Tourn
Appelmans	3-2 (60.0%)	0-1	's-Hertogenbosch (1), Wimbledon (126)	127/2
Capriati	8-3 (72.7%)	0-2	Birmingham (46), 's-Hertogenbosch (94), Wimbledon (158)	298/3
Clijsters	2-2 (50.0%)	1-0	's-Hertogenbosch (22), Wimbledon (112)	134/2
Coetzer	2-2 (50.0%)	0-0	Eastbourne (58), Wimbledon (30)	88/2
Davenport	7-2 (77.8%)	1-1	Eastbourne (54), Wimbledon (642)	696/2
Déchy	3-3 (50.0%)	0-1	Birmingham (1), Eastbourne (41), Wimbledon (110)	152/3
Dementieva	0-1 (0%)	0-0	Wimbledon (2)	2/1
Dokic	6-2 (75%)	0-1	's-Hertogenbosch (22), Wimbledon (302)	324/2
Dragomir	4-2 (66.7%)	1-1	's-Hertogenbosch (167), Wimbledon (2)	169/2
Frazier	2-2 (50.0%)	0-0	Eastbourne (1), Wimbledon (50)	51/2
Halard-Decugis	7-2 (77.7%)	1-0	Birmingham (43), Eastbourne (328), Wimbledon (2)	373/3
Hénin	1-2 (33.3%)	0-2	's-Hertogenbosch (26), Wimbledon (2)	28/2
Hingis	7-1 ¹ (87.5%)	0-1	's-Hertogenbosch (186), Wimbledon (274)	460/2
Huber	3-1 (75.0%)	0-1	Wimbledon (98)	98/1
Kournikova	2-2 (50.0%)	1-0	Eastbourne (58), Wimbledon (112)	170/2
Likhovtseva	2-2 (50.0%)	0-1	Eastbourne (28), Wimbledon (34)	62/2
Maleeva	1-2 (33.3%)	0-0	's-Hertogenbosch (1), Wimbledon (34)	35/2
Martinez	1-1 (50.0%)	0-0	Wimbledon (56)	56/1
Mauresmo	0-1 (0%)	0-0	Wimbledon (2)	2/1
Pierce	1-1 (50.0%)	0-1	Wimbledon (46)	46/1
Raymond	9-2 (81.8%)	1-1	Birmingham (226), Eastbourne (1), Wimbledon (170)	397/3
Rubin	3-2 (60.0%)	0-0	Eastbourne (133), Wimbledon (2)	135/2
Sanchez-Vicario	3-1 (75.0%)	0-1	Wimbledon (104)	104/1
Schett	0-2 (0%)	0-0	's-Hertogenbosch (1), Wimbledon (2)	3/2
Schnyder	3-2 (60.0%)	0-0	's-Hertogenbosch (58), Wimbledon (46)	104/2
Seles	4-1 (80.0%)	1-1	Wimbledon (260)	260/1
Sidot	4-3 (57.1%)	0-1	Birmingham (43), Eastbourne (1), Wimbledon (94)	138/3
Sugiyama	1-2 (33.3%)	0-1	Eastbourne (1), Wimbledon (30)	31/2
Talaja	4-3 (57.1%)	0-1	Birmingham (26), Eastbourne (49), Wimbledon (76)	151/3
Tauziat	4-3 (57.1%)	0-0	Birmingham (90), Eastbourne (60), Wimbledon (2)	152/3
Testud	1-2 (33.3%)	0-0	's-Hertogenbosch (39), Wimbledon (2)	41/2
Van Roost	4-2 (66.7%)	1-0	Eastbourne (256), Wimbledon (2)	258/2
S. Williams	5-1 (83.3%)	0-1	Wimbledon (340)	340/1
V. Williams	7-0 (100%)	3-0	Wimbledon (1098)	1098/1
Zvereva	2-2 (50.0%)	0-0	Eastbourne (49), Wimbledon (30)	79/2

1 Excludes a walkover at 's-Hertogenbosch

Note: Because only four tournaments are played on grass, and no player can play more than three grass events, it is not productive to attempt a full statistical analysis. We therefore list only the points-per-tournament rankings.

Points Per Tournament on Grass

Grass Rank	Player Name	WTA Rank	Surface Points	Tourn on Surface	Points/Tourn
1	V. Williams	3	1098	1	1098.0
2	Davenport	2	696	2	348.0
3	S. Williams	6	340	1	340.0
4	Seles	5	260	1	260.0
5	Hingis	1	460	2	230.0
6	Dokic	26	324	2	162.0
7	Raymond	31	397	3	132.3
8	Van Roost	24	258	2	129.0
9	Halard-Decugis	15	373	3	124.3
10	Sanchez-Vicario	9	104	1	104.0
11	Capriati	14	298	3	99.3
12	Huber	19	98	1	98.0
13	Kournikova	8	170	2	85.0
14	Dragomir	45	169	2	84.5
15	Rubin	13	135	2	67.5
	Clijsters	18	134	2	67.0
	Appelmans	50	127	2	63.5
	Martinez	5	56	1	56.0
	Schnyder	25	104	2	52.0
	Déchy	27	152	3	50.7
	Tauziat	10	152	3	50.7
	Talaja	30	151	3	50.3
	Sidot	36	138	3	46.0
	Pierce	7	46	1	46.0
	Coetzer	11	88	2	44.0
	Likhovtseva	21	62	2	31.0
	Frazier	20	51	2	25.5
	Testud	17	41	2	20.5
	Maleeva	22	35	2	17.5

The following players did not win any matches on grass, and so are “off scale” in this ranking: Dementieva, Mauresmo, Schett

Adjusted Points Per Tournament on Grass

A difficulty with grass is that so many players play only Wimbledon. This seriously biases their results, because Slams are so point-heavy. A player who wins Eastbourne and reaches the Wimbledon semifinal will probably wind up with a lower score than a player who plays only Wimbledon and reaches the semifinal. Yet surely the first player has at least as much right to be considered a top grass player! To attempt to compensate for this, we produce an adjusted grass ranking, setting a minimum divisor of 1.7. This reduces the bias for those who play only Wimbledon, while still making it more important than other grass results. Using this adjusted ranking gives us the following:

Grass Rank	Player Name	WTA Rank	Surface Points	Tourn on Surface	Adjusted Points/Tourn
1	V. Williams	3	1098	1	645.9
2	Davenport	2	696	2	348.0
3	Hingis	1	460	2	230.0
4	S. Williams	6	340	1	200.0
5	Dokic	26	324	2	162.0
6	Seles	4	260	1	152.9
7	Raymond	31	397	3	132.3
8	Van Roost	24	258	2	129.0
9	Halard-Decugis	15	373	3	124.3
10	Capriati	14	298	3	99.3
11	Kournikova	8	170	2	85.0
12	Dragomir	45	169	2	84.5
13	Rubin	13	135	2	67.5
14	Clijsters	18	134	2	67.0
15	Appelmans	50	127	2	63.5
16	Sanchez-Vicario	9	104	1	61.2
17	Huber	19	98	1	57.6
18	Schnyder	25	104	2	52.0
19 (tie)	Déchy	27	152	3	50.7
19 (tie)	Tauziat	10	152	3	50.7
	Talaja	30	151	3	50.3
	Sidot	36	138	3	46.0
	Coetzer	11	88	2	44.0
	Martinez	5	56	1	32.9
	Likhovtseva	21	62	2	31.0
	Pierce	7	46	1	27.1
	Frazier	20	51	2	25.5
	Testud	17	41	2	20.5
	Maleeva	22	35	2	17.5

Indoors

Summary of Indoor Results

The following lists the top players, the tournaments they played indoors, the points earned on the surface, their record and winning percentage. The list is in alphabetical order.

Player Name	Won/Lost (Percentage)	Vs. Top 10	Tournaments Played	Total Pts/ # of Tourn
Capriati	12-5 (70.6%)	0-2	Luxembourg (175), Filderstadt (1), Zurich (171), Quebec City (137), Philadelphia (36), Chase (54)	574/6
Clijsters	13-4 (76.5%)	5-1	Hannover (49), Luxembourg (43), Filderstadt (269), Leipzig (339), Chase (140)	840/5
Coetzer	10-6 (62.5%)	0-4	Pan Pacific (80), Oklahoma City (94), Filderstadt (96), Zurich (51), Philadelphia (58), Chase (132)	511/6
Davenport	11-2 (84.6%)	3-1	Zurich (250), Linz (303), Philadelphia (400), Chase (54)	1007/4
Déchy	6-2 (75.0%)	0-1	Paris (73), Oklahoma City (141)	214/2
Dementieva	8-7 (inc. 2 qual. wins)	1-2	Paris Qualifying (11), Hannover Qualifying (1), Zurich (46), Linz (1), Moscow (79), Leipzig (52), Chase (273)	463/7
Dokic	3-3 (50.0%)	0-1	Zurich (40), Moscow (1), Leipzig (56)	97/3
Frazier	5-4 (55.6%)	0-1	Oklahoma City (45), Quebec City (75), Philadelphia (1), Chase (54)	175/4
Halard-Decugis	5-5 (50.0%)	0-3	Paris (107), Moscow (1), Quebec City (22), Philadelphia (87), Chase (54)	271/5
Hingis	23-1 (95.8%)	7-1	Pan Pacific (368), Filderstadt (286), Zurich (393), Moscow (368), Philadelphia (228), Chase (554)	2197/6
Huber	1-2 (33.3%)	1-0	Paris (69), Hannover (1)	70/2
Kournikova	16-9 (64.0%)	2-5	Pan Pacific (88), Paris (115), Luxembourg (83), Filderstadt (1), Zurich (75), Moscow (235), Leipzig (121), Philadelphia (60), Chase (260)	1038/9
Likhovtseva	6-8 (42.9%)	1-2	Paris (1), Hannover (1), Filderstadt (1), Zurich (1), Linz (62), Moscow (1), Leipzig (223), Chase (54)	344/8
Maleeva	16-7 (inc. 6 qual. wins)	1-3	Pan Pacific (16), Paris (19), Luxembourg (162), Filderstadt (41), Zurich (59), Moscow (110), Leipzig (73)	480/7
Martinez	4-3 (57.1%)	0-2	Filderstadt (65), Philadelphia (96), Chase (120)	281/3
Mauresmo	6-4 (60.0%)	0-2	Paris (49), Hannover (100), Linz (36), Moscow (170)	355/4
Pierce	0-1 (0%)	0-0	Pan Pacific (1)	1/1
Raymond	6-5 (54.5%)	0-2	Pan Pacific (44), Oklahoma City (55), Moscow (40), Leipzig (41), Philadelphia (28)	208/5
Rubin	13-5 (72.2%)	0-3	Pan Pacific (177), Filderstadt (61), Zurich (96), Linz (108), Quebec City (193), Philadelphia (49), Chase (54)	738/7
Sanchez-V	2-4 (33.3%)	0-1	Filderstadt (135), Moscow (1), Leipzig (1), Chase (54)	191/4
Schett	9-5 (64.3%)	1-4	Filderstadt (1), Zurich (210), Linz (66), Moscow (111), Leipzig (62)	450/5
Schnyder	7-7 (50.0%)	0-2	Paris (41), Hannover (56), Luxembourg (41), Filderstadt (36), Zurich (1), Linz (1), Moscow (46)	222/7
Seles	7-1 (87.5%)	0-1	Oklahoma City (207), Chase (366)	573/2
Sidot	12-9 (57.1%)	0-2	Pan Pacific (1), Paris (81), Hannover (112), Luxembourg (41), Filderstadt (93), Zurich (38), Linz (1), Bratislava (28), Leipzig (1)	396/9
Talaja	0-6 (0%)	0-0	Paris (1), Hannover (1), Filderstadt (1), Zurich (1), Linz (1), Moscow (1)	6/6

Tauziat	16-10 (61.5%)	1-2	Pan Pacific (75), Paris (313), Hannover (1), Luxembourg (1), Filderstadt (109), Zurich (80), Linz (54), Moscow (150), Leipzig (123), Philadelphia (117), Chase (120)	1143/11
Testud	4-3 (57.1%)	1-3	Pan Pacific (251), Paris (1), Chase (54)	306/3
Van Roost	2-3 (40.0%)	0-1	Paris (1), Filderstadt (73), Zurich (1)	75/3
S. Williams	7-1 (87.5%)	1-1	Paris (216), Hannover (281)	497/2
V. Williams	3-1 (75.0%)	0-1	Linz (205)	205/1

Winning Percentage Indoors

Where two players have equal winning percentages, the player with the higher number of wins indoors is listed first. Where this fails, the player with the higher WTA rank is listed first.

Rank	Player	Wins	Losses	Win%	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	23	1	95.8%	1
2	Seles	7	1	87.5%	4
	S. Williams	7	1	87.5%	6
4	Davenport	11	2	84.6%	2
5	Clijsters	13	4	76.5%	18
6	Déchy	6	2	75.0%	27
	V. Williams	3	1	75.0%	3
8	Rubin	13	5	72.2%	13
9	Capriati	12	5	70.6%	14
10	Maleeva	16	7	69.6%	22
11	Schett	9	5	64.3%	23
12	Kournikova	16	9	64.0%	8
13	Coetzer	10	6	62.5%	11
14	Tauziat	16	10	61.5%	10
15	Mauresmo	6	4	60.0%	16
16	Sidot	12	9	57.1%	36
	Martinez	4	3	57.1%	5
	Testud	4	3	57.1%	17
19	Frazier	5	4	55.6%	20
20	Raymond	6	5	54.5%	31
	Dementieva	8	7	53.3%	12
	Schnyder	7	7	50.0%	25
	Halard-Decugis	5	5	50.0%	15
	Dokic	3	3	50.0%	26
	Likhovtseva	6	8	42.9%	21
	Van Roost	2	3	40.0%	24
	Sanchez-Vicario	2	4	33.3%	9
	Huber	1	2	33.3%	19
	Pierce	0	1	0%	7
	Talaja	0	6	0%	30

Points Per Tournament Indoors

Indoor Rank	Player Name	Surface Points	Tourn on Surface	Points/Tourn	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	2197	6	366.2	1
2	Seles	573	2	286.5	4
3	Davenport	1007	4	251.8	2
4	S. Williams	497	2	248.5	6
5	V. Williams	205	1	205.0	3
6	Clijsters	840	5	168.0	18
7	Kournikova	1038	9	115.3	8
8	Déchy	214	2	107.0	27
9	Rubin	738	7	105.4	13
10	Tauziat	1143	11	103.9	10
11	Testud	306	3	102.0	17
12	Capriati	574	6	95.7	14
13	Martinez	281	3	93.7	5
14	Schett	450	5	90.0	23
15	Mauresmo	355	4	88.8	16
16	Coetzer	511	6	85.2	11
17	Maleeva	480	7	68.6	22
18	Dementieva	463	7	66.1	12
19	Halard-Decugis	271	5	54.2	15
20	Sanchez-Vicario	191	4	47.8	9
	Sidot	396	9	44.0	36
	Frazier	175	4	43.8	20
	Likhovtseva	344	8	43.0	21
	Raymond	208	5	41.6	31
	Huber	70	2	35.0	19
	Dokic	97	3	32.3	26
	Schnyder	222	7	31.7	25
	Van Roost	75	3	25.0	24
	Talaja	6	6	1.0	30
	Pierce	1	1	1.0	7

Best and Worst Results Indoors

The following tables list a player's *best* and *worst* results on this surface. Of these, the worst result may be the better measure of ability — a player who avoids bad losses is at least more consistent than one with a mixture of good and bad results.

<i>Best Result</i>			<i>Worst Result</i>		
1	Hingis	554	1	Hingis	228
2	Davenport	400	2	S. Williams	216
3	Seles	366	3	Seles	207
4	Clijsters	339	4	V. Williams	205
5	Tauziat	313	5	Déchy	73
6	S. Williams	281	6	Martinez	65
7	Dementieva	273	7	Davenport	54*
8	Kournikova	260	8	Coetzer	51
9	Testud	251	9	Rubin	49
10	Likhovtseva	223	10	Clijsters	43
11	Schett	210	11	Mauresmo	36
12	V. Williams	205	12	Raymond	28
13	Rubin	193	13	Maleeva	16
14	Capriati	175			
15	Mauresmo	170			
16	Maleeva	162			
17	Déchy	141			
18	Sanchez-Vicario	135			
19	Coetzer	132			
20	Martinez	120			
	Sidot	112			
	Halard-Decugis	107			
	Frazier	75			
	Van Roost	73			
	Huber	69			
	Dokic	56			
	Schnyder	56			
	Raymond	55			
	Pierce	1			
	Talaja	1			

* Lost first round at Chase

All other Top 25 players, including Capriati, (Davenport), Dementieva, Dokic, Frazier, Halard-Decugis, Huber, Kournikova, Likhovtseva, Pierce, Sanchez-Vicario, Schett, Schnyder, Talaja, Tauziat, Testud, and Van Roost, had at least one opening-round loss indoors.

All-Surface Players

This allows us to produce a sort of a pseudo-ranking for “best all-surface player.” For this we add up a player’s ranking on all four surfaces based on points per tournament. (Note: Because of the shortness of the grass season, grass scores have been divided in half, rounding up, and a maximum value of 9 has been used. For all other surfaces, a maximum of 16 has been used. Also, the *adjusted* grass scores have been used) Note that this is not really a measure of who is better on all surfaces; it simply measures who has been an all-surface player *this year*. (We should note that, while this statistic has had meaning in past years, in 2000 it is rather biased by injuries to players such as Pierce and the limited schedules pursued by players such as the Williams Sisters.) The maximum score, of course, is 57, and players with that score have not been listed.

Rank	Player	Surface Score	WTA Rank
1	Hingis	8	1
2	Seles	13	4
3	V. Williams	16	3
4	Davenport	22	2
5	S. Williams	26	6
6	Martinez	35	5
7	Sanchez-Vicario	36	9
	Pierce	36	7
	Mauresmo	36	16
10	Kournikova	40	8
11	Rubin	42	13
12	Clijsters	45	18
	Capriati	45	14
14	Coetzer	47	11
15	Van Roost	48	24
	Huber	48	19
17	Testud	49	17
	Déchy	49	27
	Dementieva	49	12
20	Tauziat	51	10
	Dokic	51	26
22	Schett	52	23
	Raymond	52	31
24	Halard-Decugis	53	15
25	Dragomir	54	45
26	Frazier	55	20

Tournament Wins by Surface

Here are the number of tournaments each player won on the various surfaces. As elsewhere, tournaments are divided into Major (Tier II and up; note that this does *not* mean “Slam,” which is how some use the term) and Minor (Tier III and below). **Note:** In the lists below, “0” and “-” have different meanings. “0” means *did not win any of the tournaments of this level she played on this surface*. “-” means “Did not play any tournaments of this level on this surface.”

The final column lists the number of surfaces on which a player won tournaments.

WTA Rank	Player Name	Hard		Clay		Grass		Indoor		Won On
		Major	Minor	Major	Minor	Major	Minor	Major	Minor	
14	Capriati								1	1
18	Clijsters		1					2		2
11	Coetzer				1					1
2	Davenport	2						2		2
27	Déchy									0
12	Dementieva									0
26	Dokic									0
20	Frazier									0
15	Halard-Decugis		1			1				2
1	Hingis	2		1			1	5		4
19	Huber				2					1
8	Kournikova									0
21	Likhovtseva									0
22	Maleeva									0
5	Martinez			1						1
16	Mauresmo	1								1
7	Pierce			2						1
13	Rubin								1	1
9	Sanchez-Vicario									0
23	Schett				1					1
25	Schnyder									0
4	Seles			2					1	2
10	Tauziat							1		1
17	Testud									0
24	Van Roost									0
6	S. Williams	2						1		2
3	V. Williams	4				1				2

This information is easily summarized: Martina Hingis won on all four surfaces, with significant titles on three. No other player won on as many as three surfaces. Kim Clijsters, Lindsay Davenport, Julie Halard-Decugis, Monica Seles, Venus Williams, and Serena Williams won on two surfaces; Davenport, and the Williams Sisters were the only players other than Hingis to win significant events on two surfaces.

For additional information on results by surface, see the section on *Percentage of Points Earned on Each Surface*.

Assorted Statistics

The Busiest Players on the Tour

Total Tour Matches Played by Top Players

The following table shows how the Top 25 ranked in total matches played. Note that this does not correlate closely with ranking *or* with tournaments played; while Hingis leads because of her high win percentage, Kournikova is second because of a combination of winning fairly often and playing fairly often, while Likhovtseva manages to be tied for tenth because, even though she doesn't win all that often, she plays more than any other Top 25 player.

The final two columns show how well a player did against her schedule. "Possible matches" is the total number of matches the player scheduled (that is, the number she would have played had she won every match leading up to the final. So a Slam would represent seven possible matches, the Chase four, a Tier I between four and seven, depending on the event and whether one is seeded or not, a Tier IV would represent five possible matches, etc.) The "% of possible" shows what fraction of these possible matches the player actually played — another measure of consistency.

Ordinal	Player	WTA Rank	Matches Played	Possible Matches	% of possible
1	Hingis	1	87	97	89.7%
2	Kournikova	8	73	132	55.3%
3	Davenport	2	68	89	76.4%
4	Martinez	5	66	102	64.7%
5	Coetzer	11	65	125	52.0%
6	Seles	4	63	77	81.8%
	Rubin	13	63	125	50.4%
8	Tauziat	10	61	127	48.0%
9	Maleeva	22	58	150	38.7%
10	Sanchez-Vicario	9	56	95	58.9%
	Dementieva	12	56	134	41.8%
	Schnyder	25	56	139	40.3%
	Likhovtseva	21	56	147	38.1%
14	Capriati	14	54	108	50.0%
15	Frazier	20	52	119	43.7%
16	Halard-Decugis	15	51	125	40.8%
17	Testud	17	50	106	47.2%
18	Schett	23	49	124	39.5%
19	Dokic	26	47	108	43.5%
20	Déchy	27	46	107	43.0%
21	S. Williams	6	45	60	75.0%
	Huber	19	45	99	45.5%
23	Clijsters	18	43	94	45.7%
24	Van Roost	24	41	107	38.3%
25	Pierce	7	40	70	57.1%
26	V. Williams	3	39	43	90.7%
27	Mauresmo	16	36	75	48.0%

Total Tour Events Played by the Top 150

The following table sorts the Top 150 (as of November 22, 1999) based on events played in the past year. All players who have played that many events are listed, along with their rankings (in parentheses). Top 25 players are shown in **bold**. The second column shows how many players played each number of events.

# of Events	# to Play	Players
33	2	Barna, Hopkins
32	1	Latimer
31	2	De Lone, Jidkova
30	4	Diaz-Oliva, Dominikovic, Panova, Parkinson
29	6	Brandi, Kleinova, Marosi-Aracama, J. Nejedly, Pullin, Talaja
28	2	Osterloh, Shaughnessy
27	9	Buth, Kremer, Likhovtseva , Llagostera, Loit, Plischke, Poutchek, Sidot, Smashnova
26	16	Arn, Bacheva, Bachmann, Castano, Garbin, Kournikova , Lee, (Maleeva*), Nola, Ostrovskaya, Pratt, Stevenson, Tauziat , Torrens Valero, Tu, Vavrinec
25	14	Basting, Cervanova, Craybas, Gagliardi, Pisnik, Rippner, Schnyder , Serna, Srebotnik, Tanasugarn, Wartusch, Webb, Weingartner, Yoshida
24	9	Casoni, Dragomir, Grande, Halard-Decugis , Kostanic, Prakusya, Sequera, Snyder, Sugiyama
23	14	Asagoe, Black, Coetzer , Courtois, Habsudova, N. Li, Montolio, Nacuk, Rubin , Schett , Suarez, Sucha, Vento, Washington
22	12	Appelmans, Chladkova, Cristea, Dementieva , Frazier , Hersi, Jeyaseelan, Kandarr, Nagyova, Sanchez Lorenzo, Schiavone, Yi
21	11	Carlsson, Dominguez Lino, Farina Elia, Fusai, Hopmans, Leon Garcia, McQuillan, Myskina, Pitkowski, Raymond, Tulyaganova
20	10	Boogert, Cacic, Callens, Capriati , Glass, Hingis , Martinez , Molik, Testud , Zuluaga
19	6	Déchy, Dokic, Kruger, Kuti Kis, Morariu, Van Roost
18	10	Davenport , de los Rios, Drake, Huber , Lamade, Oremans, Petrova, Pizzichini, Ruano Pascual, Sanchez-Vicario
17	5	Barabanschikova, Bovina, Clijsters , Hrdlickova, Rittner
16	4	Bedanova, Grzybowska, Irvin, Labat
15	4	Hantuchova, Marrero, Medina Garrigues, Seles
14	4	Bradshaw, Hénin, Krasnoroutskaya, Majoli
13	2	Mauresmo , Pierce
12	1	Zvereva
11	1	S. Williams
10	0	—
9	1	V. Williams

* Maleeva played one of these 26 events in 1999, but this list is for the year ending November 20, 2000. Maleeva's result cannot be taken off, as there are others in the list who played Challengers in the same period.

The Biggest Tournaments

Theoretically, all tournaments of the same tier are of equal difficulty. In reality, it's not even close. Tournaments like Filderstadt and Philadelphia and San Diego are so strong that, in some years, Top Ten players can go unseeded, while Leipzig didn't feature a single Top Five player.

In general, we can assume that all Slams and the Chase Championships are at maximum strength; with minor exceptions, everyone who can play will play. This is *not* true of Tier I and Tier II tournaments (other than the Ericsson). Unfortunately, there is no simple way of "rating" tournaments; it is not the sort of statistic the WTA calculates. The sections below offer three proposals, each with strengths and weaknesses (weaknesses derived both from the systems themselves and from the fact that they are based on WTA rankings).

Tournament Strength Based on the Four Top Players Present

Proposal #1: Take the total rankings of the top four players present. Add to this the scores of the top two present. (That is, count the top two twice and the #3 and #4 players once.) This gives an indication of just *how* tough things are when “the going gets tough”: it shows what you can expect to be up against in the semifinal and final rounds. (So, for example, the top four players at Sydney in 2000 were Hingis, ranked #1; Davenport, ranked #2; Pierce, ranked #5; and Schett, ranked #8. So the total “value” of this tournament is $1+1+2+2+5+8=19$.)

Based on the following, we rate the 24 Tier I and Tier II tournaments on the Tour as follows (note that a *lower* difficulty score is better). Where two tournaments are of equal difficulty, the list is in calendar order:

Tournament Rank	Tier	Tournament	Difficulty Score	Winner
1	Slam	Roland Garros	13	Pierce
	I	U.S. Open	13	V. Williams
	I	Wimbledon	13	V. Williams
4	II	San Diego	14	V. Williams
5	Slam	Australian Open	15	Davenport
	Chmp	Chase	15	Hingis
	I	Ericsson	15	Hingis
	I	Indian Wells	15	Davenport
9	I	Canadian Open	19	Hingis
	II	Los Angeles	19	S. Williams
	II	Scottsdale	19	(No winner/final rained out)
	II	Sydney	19	Mauresmo
13	II	Philadelphia	20	Davenport
14	—	Olympics	21	V. Williams
	I	Rome	21	Seles
16	I	Berlin	25	Martinez
17	II	Stanford	26	V. Williams
	I	Zurich	26	Hingis
19	II	Hamburg	29	Hingis
	I	Pan Pacific	29	Hingis
21	II	Filderstadt	30	Hingis
22	II	Linz	32	Davenport
23	II	New Haven	36	V. Williams
24	II	Amelia Island	37	Seles
	II	Paris	37	Tauziat
26	I	Moscow	38	Hingis
27	I	Hilton Head	40	Pierce
28	II	Eastbourne	44	Halard-Decugis
29	II	Hannover	47	S. Williams
30	II	Princess Cup	60	S. Williams
31	II	Leipzig	61	Clijsters

The Top Tournaments Based on Top Players Present — Method 1

Proposal #2: The following table assesses tournaments based on the top players who play. It starts with tournaments played by the #1 player, and lists the number of other Top Ten players present. Then it lists tournaments headlined by #2, etc. Only tournaments from Tier II up are listed. The difficulty with this system is that a tournament with (say) four Top Ten players headed by the #5 player might be considered stronger than a tournament with only one Top Ten player, but that one player being #2.

Trn Rank	Tournament	Top Player Present	# of Top 10	Top Player Missing	Ranks of Missing Top 10 Players	Winner
1	Wimbledon	#1/Hingis	10	#33/Zuluaga	—	V. Williams
2	U. S. Open	#1/Hingis	10	#13/Mauresmo	next missing #34	V. Williams
3	Roland Garros	#1/Hingis	9	#8/S. Williams	#8; next missing #80, #81	Pierce
4	San Diego	#1/Hingis	7	#4/Pierce	#4, #7, #9	V. Williams
5	Ericsson	#1/Hingis	9	#3/V. Williams	#3; next missing #15, #45	Hingis
6	Indian Wells	#1/Hingis	9	#3/V. Williams	#3; next missing #11, #45	Davenport
7	Australian Opn	#1/Hingis	8	#3/V. Williams	#3, #7; next missing #30	Davenport
8	Canadian Open	#1/Hingis	7	#3/V. Williams	#3, #4, #5; next missing #12, #25	Hingis
9	Scottsdale	#1/Hingis	7	#3/V. Williams	#3, #4, #5; next missing #12, #15	<i>No winner</i>
10	Sydney	#1/Hingis	6	#3/V. Williams	#3, #4, #6, #7	Mauresmo
11	Philadelphia	#1/Hingis	5	#3/V. Williams	#3, #4, #6, #7, #8	Davenport
12	Los Angeles	#1/Hingis	6	#3/V. Williams	#3, #4, #5, #9	S. Williams
13	Zurich	#1/Hingis	3	#3/V. Williams	#3, #4, #5, #6, #8, #9, #10	Hingis
14	Chase	#1/Hingis	7	#3/V. Williams	#3, #6, #7	Hingis
15	Berlin	#1/Hingis	5	#2/Davenport	#2, #4, #5, #6, #7	Martinez
16	Pan Pacific	#1/Hingis	3	#2/Davenport	#2, #3, #4, #7, #8, #9, #10	Hingis
17	Filderstadt	#1/Hingis	4	#2/Davenport	#2, #3, #4, #5, #8, #10	Hingis
18	Moscow	#1/Hingis	3	#2/Davenport	#2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, #10	Hingis
19	Rome	#1/Davenport	7	#2/Hingis	#2, #3, #8	Seles
20	(Olympics)	#2/Davenport	5	#1/Hingis	#1, #4, #7, #8, #10	V. Williams
21	Stanford	#2/Davenport	3	#1/Hingis	#1, #4, #6, #7, #8, #9, #10	V. Williams
22	Linz	#2/Davenport	3	#1/Hingis	#1, #4, #5, #6, #8, #9, #10	Davenport
23	Hamburg	#2/Hingis	3	#1/Davenport	#1, #4, #5, #6, #7, #9, #10	Hingis
24	Eastbourne	#2/Davenport	2	#1/Hingis	#1, #3, #4, #5, #6, #8, #9, #10	Halard-Decugis
25	New Haven	#3/VWilliams	4	#1/Hingis	#1, #2, #4, #5, #7, #9	V. Williams
26	Amelia Island	#4/Pierce	5	#1/Davenport	#1, #2, #3, #5, #7	Seles
27	Hilton Head	#4/Pierce	4	#1/Davenport	#1, #2, #3, #5, #6, #9	Pierce
28	Paris	#4/SWilliams	4	#1/Hingis	#1, #2, #3, #5, #7, #10	Tauziat
29	Hannover	#4/SWilliams	2	#1/Hingis	#1, #2, #3, #5, #7, #8, #9, #10	S. Williams
30	Princess Cup	#5/Seles	2	#1/Hingis	#1, #2, #3, #4, #6, #7, #9, #10	S. Williams
31	Leipzig	#8/Tauziat	3	#1/Hingis	#1, #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7	Clijsters

The Top Tournaments Based on Top Players Present — Method 2

Proposal #3: This method combines the above with the “Tournament Strength Index” proposed by Geert Calliauw. The Tournament Strength Index calculates the total quality points available for the top eight seeds, and calculates this as a fraction of the possible quality points if all of the Top Eight played. My modified version uses the same calculation, but counts only Top Ten players. Recall that the #1 player is worth 100 quality points, #2 is worth 75, #3 66, #4 55, #5 50, and players #6-#10 are worth 43. Thus the percentage listed below is the total quality points divided by the sum of the values for the Top Eight, 475.

Tourn Rank	Tournament	Top 8 Qual Pts	Percentage Score	Winner
1	Roland Garros	475	100.0%	Pierce
	Wimbledon	475	100.0%	V. Williams
	U. S. Open	475	100.0%	V. Williams
4	Australian Opn	452	95.2%	Davenport
	Indian Wells	452	95.2%	Davenport
	Ericsson	452	95.2%	Hingis
7	San Diego	420	88.4%	V. Williams
8	Chase	409	86.1%	Hingis
9	Scottsdale	390	82.1%	<i>No winner</i>
	Canadian Open	390	82.1%	Hingis
11	Sydney	354	74.5%	Mauresmo
12	Los Angeles	347	73.1%	S. Williams
13	(Olympics)	320	67.4%	V. Williams
14	Philadelphia	311	65.5%	Davenport
15	Berlin	295	62.1%	Martinez
16	Rome	277	58.3%	Seles
17	Filderstadt	229	48.2%	Hingis
18	Amelia Island	227	47.8%	Seles
	Hamburg	227	47.8%	Hingis
20	Zurich	218	45.9%	Hingis
21	New Haven	195	41.1%	V. Williams
22	Pan Pacific	193	40.6%	Hingis
23	Stanford	191	40.2%	V. Williams
24	Moscow	186	39.2%	Hingis
25	Paris	184	38.7%	Tauziat
	Hilton Head	184	38.7%	Pierce
	Linz	184	38.7%	Davenport
28	Leipzig	129	27.2%	Clijsters
29	Eastbourne	118	24.8%	Halard-Decugis
30	Hannover	98	20.6%	S. Williams
31	Princess Cup	93	19.6%	S. Williams

Strongest Tournaments Won

Based on the data in the previous table, we can also list the players in terms of strength of strongest tournament won:

Ranking	Player	Tournament Score	Tournament
1	V. Williams	100	Wimbledon, U. S. Open
1	Pierce	100	Roland Garros
3	Davenport	95.2	Indian Wells
3	Hingis	95.2	Ericsson
5	Mauresmo	74.5	Sydney
6	S. Williams	73.1	Los Angeles
7	Martinez	62.1	Berlin
8	Seles	58.3	Rome
9	Tauziat	38.7	Paris
10	Clijsters	27.2	Leipzig
11	Halard-Decugis	24.8	Eastbourne

Strongest Tournament Performances

The list below shows the biggest performances (highest number of points earned) in 2000. Every result of more than 350 points is listed.

Ordinal	Score	Player	Event
1	1098	V. Williams	Wimbledon Win
2	1056	V. Williams	U. S. Open Win
3	986	Pierce	Roland Garros Win
4	934	Davenport	Australian Open Win
5	642	Davenport	Wimbledon F
6	618	Hingis	Australian Open F
7	592	Davenport	U. S. Open F
8	554	Hingis	Chase Championships W
9	544	Martinez	Roland Garros F
10	479	Davenport	Indian Wells Win
11	462	Hingis	U. S. Open SF
12	448	Mauresmo	Sydney Win
13	446	Dementieva	U. S. Open SF
14	443	S. Williams	Los Angeles Win
15	435	Hingis	Ericsson Win
	435	Martinez	Berlin Win
17	426	Sanchez-Vicario	Roland Garros SF
18	404	Capriati	Australian Open SF
19	400	Davenport	Philadelphia
20	399	Hingis	Canadian Open Win
21	393	Hingis	Zurich Win
22	380	Hingis	Roland Garros SF
23	374	Pierce	Hilton Head
24	368	Hingis	Pan Pacific Win
	368	Hingis	Moscow Win
26	366	Seles	Chase Championships F
	(349)	Hingis	Indian Wells F

Title Defences

The following list shows all instances of a defending a title in 2000 (total of seven)

Title	Defended By
Pan Pacific (I)	Hingis
Amelia Island (II)	Seles
Los Angeles (II)	S. Williams
Canadian Open (I)	Hingis
New Haven (II)	V. Williams
Filderstadt (II)	Hingis
Philadelphia (II)	Davenport

Seeds and their Success Rates

The following tables summarize how successful seeded players are at holding their seeds. (It will be observed that seeding is much more accurate at the stronger tournaments.)

In the tables which follow, the heading “reached seeded round” refers to the number of seeds who made it to the round in which seeds are expected to face seeds (e.g. the Round of 16 at the Slams, or the quarterfinals at a 28-draw tournament which has only eight seeds). The column “held seed” refers to players who not only reach the seeded round but reach the level expected for their seeding — so, e.g., seeds #5-#8 are expected to reach the quarterfinal; seeds #3 and #4 should reach the semifinal; #2 should reach the final, and #1 should win. If a player goes beyond her seeding, of course, she is regarded as having held her seed.

Slams (+ Chase)

Tournament	Seeds	Reached Seeded Round	Held Seed	% Reached Seeded Round	% Held Seed
Australian Open	16	11	7	69%	44%
Roland Garros	16	9	6	56%	38%
Wimbledon	16	7	6	44%	38%
U. S. Open	16	11	9	69%	56%
Chase Championship	8	5	4	63%	50%
Total	72	43	32	60%	44%

Tier I Tournaments

Tournament	Seeds	Reached Seeded Round	Held Seed	% Reached Seeded Round	% Held Seed
Pan Pacific	8	6	4	75%	50%
Indian Wells	16	9	7	56%	44%
Ericsson	32*	28*	22*	88%	69%
Hilton Head	16	12	9	75%	56%
Berlin	16	8	6	50%	38%
Rome	16	8	3	50%	19%
Canadian Open	16	12	10	75%	63%
Zurich	8	6	4	75%	50%
Moscow	8	6	5	75%	63%
Total	120	76	55	63%	46%

* Of the top 16 seeds, 9, or 56%, reached the Round of 16; 7, or 44%, held their seeds. Because the Ericsson is the only tournament with 32 seeds, the totals for the top sixteen seeds are used in the overall totals.

Tier II Tournaments

Tournament	Seeds	Reached Seeded Round	Held Seed	% Reached Seeded Round	% Held Seed
Sydney	8	5	3	63%	38%
Paris	8	4	3	50%	38%
Hannover	8	3	3	38%	38%
Scottsdale	8	5	5*	63%	63%
Amelia Island	16	10	9	63%	56%
Hamburg	8	7	5	88%	63%
Eastbourne	8	7	3	88%	38%
Stanford	8	7	5	88%	63%
San Diego	8	6	6	75%	63%
Los Angeles	7†	5	4	71%	57%
New Haven	8	6	5	75%	63%
Princess Cup	8	6	5	75%	63%
Filderstadt	8	6	5	75%	63%
Linz	8	4	3	50%	38%
Leipzig	8	7	5	88%	63%
Philadelphia	8	6	5	75%	63%
Total	135	96	74	71%	53%

* The final at Scottsdale was rained out with the #1 and #2 seeds in the final. For accounting purposes, both are reckoned to have held seed.

† #4 seed Monica Seles withdrew from Los Angeles after play began and was replaced by a Lucky Loser, leaving only seven seeds.

Tier III Tournaments

Tournament	Seeds	Reached Seeded Round	Held Seed	% Reached Seeded Round	% Held Seed
Gold Coast	8	7	6	88%	75%
Oklahoma City	7*	6	5	86%	71%
Bol	8	4	3	50%	38%
Madrid	8	1	1	13%	13%
Strasbourg	8	3	2	38%	25%
Birmingham	16	12	8	75%	50%
's-Hertogenbosch	8	4	3	50%	38%
Klagenfurt	8	4	4	50%	50%
Sopot	8	6	3	75%	38%
Luxembourg	8	6	4	75%	50%
Japan Open	8	3	3	38%	38%
Quebec City	8	4	3	50%	38%
Kuala Lumpur	8	2	2	25%	25%
Total	111	54	47	49%	42%

* Top seed Julie Halard-Decugis withdrew from Oklahoma City after play began and was replaced by a Lucky Loser, leaving only seven seeds.

Tier IV Tournaments

Tournament	Seeds	Reached Seeded Round	Held Seed	% Reached Seeded Round	% Held Seed
Auckland	8	4	3	50%	38%
Hobart	8	3	2	38%	25%
Bogota	8	3	2	38%	25%
São Paulo	8	5	3	64%	38%
Estoril	8	6	6	75%	75%
Budapest	8	3	2	38%	25%
Warsaw	8	4	1	50%	13%
Antwerp	8	3	2	38%	25%
Tashkent	8	2	1	25%	13%
Palermo	8	2	2	25%	25%
Knokke-Heist	8	6	3	75%	38%
Shanghai	8	5	3	63%	38%
Bratislava	8	3	5	63%	38%
Pattaya City	8	4	3	50%	38%
Total	112	53	38	47%	34%

Bagels

The following chart lists the Bagels (6-0 sets) experienced or inflicted by top 20 players and higher tournaments. The “bagel” set is shown in **bold**. Double bagels are shown in **bold** for the entire line. If the bagel was inflicted at a low-tier (Tier III or Tier IV event), or in qualifying, it is shown in *italics*.

Player	Bagels inflicted	Bagels experienced
Appelmans		Roland Garros: lost to Hingis 0-6 4-6
Capriati	Australian Open: def. Sugiyama 6-0 6-2 Scottsdale: def. Stevenson 6-3 6-0 Wimbledon: def. Basuki 7-6 6-0 U. S. Open: def. Gagliardi 6-4 6-0 Quebec City: def. Jeyaseelan 7-5 6-0	Stanford: lost to Likhovtseva 4-6 0-6 Filderstadt: lost to Rubin 0-6 7-6 2-6
Clijsters	U. S. Open: def. Marrerro 6-0 6-0 Leipzig: def. Sugiyama 6-0 6-2	Ericsson: lost to Hingis 0-6 4-6 Filderstadt: lost to Hingis 0-6 3-6
Coetzer	Sydney: def. Raymond 7-6 6-0 Sydney: lost to Van Roost 6-0 5-7 4-6 Amelia Island: def. Panova 6-0 6-4 Hamburg: def. Dragomir 6-0 6-4 Berlin: def. Poutchek 6-1 6-0 Antwerp: def. Courtois 6-0 6-3 Roland Garros: def. Drake 6-0 6-4 Eastbourne: def. Smashnova 6-2 6-0 Filderstadt: def. Talaja 6-4 6-0 Philadelphia: def. Hopkins 6-3 6-0	Eastbourne: lost to Kremer 6-3 0-6 3-6 San Diego: lost to V. Williams 0-6 4-6
Davenport	Australian Open: def. Jidkova 6-0 6-1 Indian Wells: def. Spirlea 6-0 6-1 Indian Wells: def. Hingis 4-6 6-4 6-0 Wimbledon: def. Seles 6-7 6-4 6-0 U. S. Open: def. Leon Garcia 6-0 6-1 U. S. Open: def. Hénin 6-0 6-4 Philadelphia: def. Martinez 6-0 6-1	
Déchy	<i>Gold Coast: def. Pratt 6-0 6-2</i> Paris: def. Farina 6-0 6-3 <i>Oklahoma City: def. Molik 7-5 6-0</i>	Wimbledon: Lost to V. Williams 0-6 6-7
Dementieva	Australian Open: def. Suarez 6-0 3-6 8-6 Indian Wells: def. Srebotnik 6-0 6-3	Leipzig: Lost to Likhovtseva 3-6 0-6
Dragomir	Australian Open: def. De Lone 6-4 5-7 6-0 's-Hertogenbosch: def. Nagyova 6-4 6-0 Roland Garros: lost to Hingis 3-6 6-0 1-6	Hamburg: lost to Coetzer 0-6 4-6 Rome: lost to Halard-Decugis 4-6 0-6 Los Angeles: lost to S. Williams 0-6 1-6
Frazier	<i>Hobart: def. Parkinson 3-6 6-0 6-4</i> Stanford: def. Brandi 7-6 6-0 San Diego: def. Huber 6-0 7-6 Princess Cup: def. Montolio 6-0 6-1	Scottsdale: lost to Testud 5-7 0-6 Ericsson: lost to Seles 0-6 3-6 Canadian Open: lost to S. Williams 0-6 1-6
Halard-Decugis	Rome: def. Dragomir 6-4 6-0 Wimbledon: lost to Boogert 6-7 6-0 1-6 Japan Open: def. Vavrinec 3-6 6-2 6-0 Japan Open: def. Tanasugarn 7-6 6-0 Quebec City: def. Parkinson 6-0 6-2	<i>Gold Coast: lost to Maleeva 0-6 1-6</i>
Hénin	<i>Hobart Qualifying: def. Nemeckova 6-0 6-2</i> <i>Hobart: lost to Rubin 6-7 6-0 2-6</i> <i>Palermo: def. Lubiana 6-2 6-0</i> <i>Liege Challenger: def. Rittner 6-0 3-1 ret.</i> <i>Filderstadt Qualifying: def. Arn 6-0 6-3</i>	U. S. Open: lost to Davenport 0-6 4-6

Hingis	Sydney: def. Barabanschikova 6-0 6-2 Pan Pacific: def. Kournikova 6-0 6-2 Ericsson: def. Sidot 6-0 6-3 Ericsson: def. Clijsters 6-0 6-4 Ericsson: def. Seles 6-0 6-0 Roland Garros: def. Appelmans 6-0 6-4 Roland Garros: def. Garbin 6-1 6-0 's-Hertogenbosch: def. J. Nejedly 6-0 6-2 U. S. Open: def. Garbin 6-1 6-0 U. S. Open: def. Seles 6-0 7-5 Filderstadt: def. Sanchez-Vicario 6-1 6-0 Filderstadt: def. Clijsters 6-0 6-3 Zurich: def. Myskina 6-0 6-4 Moscow: def. Hrdlickova 6-0 6-1 Moscow: def. Dementieva 6-0 6-7 7-5 Philadelphia: def. Kournikova 6-4 6-0	Indian Wells: lost to Davenport 6-4 4-6 0-6 Roland Garros: def. Dragomir 6-3 0-6 6-1 Canadian Open: def. S. Williams 0-6 6-3 3-0 ret.
Huber	Hamburg: def. Chladkova 6-3 4-6 6-0	San Diego: lost to Frazier 0-6 6-7
Kournikova	Australian Open: def. Wartusch 6-0 6-0 Amelia Island: def. Pratt 6-0 7-5 Hamburg: def. Pitkowski 6-3 6-0 Eastbourne: lost to Rubin 5-7 6-0 3-6 Stanford: def. Nejedly 6-1 6-0 Philadelphia: def. Washington 6-2 6-0 Philadelphia: def. Pratt 6-0 6-2 Chase: def. Martinez 6-4 6-0	Pan Pacific: lost to Hingis 0-6 2-6 Ericsson: lost to Seles 1-6 6-3 0-6 Philadelphia: lost to Hingis 4-6 0-6
Likhovtseva	<i>Auckland: def. Srebotnik 3-6 6-0 6-4</i> Hilton Head: def. Parkinson 6-0 6-0 Stanford: def. Capriati 6-4 6-0 Linz: def. Suarez 6-4 6-0 Leipzig: def. Husarova 4-6 6-3 6-0 Leipzig: def. Dementieva 6-3 6-0	Zurich: lost to Schett 0-6 1-6
Maleeva	<i>Gold Coast: def. Molik 6-0 6-1</i> <i>Gold Coast: def. Halard-Decugis 6-0 6-1</i> <i>Paris Qualifying: def. Zarska 6-0 6-4</i> <i>Canadian Open Qualifying: def. Drake 6-0 6-4</i> Canadian Open: def. Jeyaseelan 6-1 6-0	Zurich: lost to Tauziat 4-6 0-6
Martinez	Indian Wells: def. Van Roost 6-0 3-0 ret. Hilton Head: def. Labat 6-0 6-0 Berlin: def. Plischke 6-0 ret. Roland Garros: def. Farina 6-1 6-0 San Diego: def. Pratt 4-6 6-0 6-3 Canadian Open: def. A. Miller 6-0 6-1 Philadelphia: def. Parkinson 6-2 6-0	<i>Gold Coast: lost to Talaja 0-6 6-0 4-6</i> San Diego: lost to V. Williams 3-6 0-6 Philadelphia: lost to Davenport 0-6 1-6 Chase: lost to Kournikova 4-6 0-6
Mauresmo	Sydney: def. Sugiyama 6-7 6-0 7-6 Paris: lost to Pitkoswki 0-6 7-6 6-2 Rome: def. Hrdlickova 6-0 6-2 Roland Garros: def. Hrdlickova 6-1 6-0	
Pierce	Scottsdale: def. Nacuk 6-0 6-1 Hilton Head: def. Sanchez-Vicario 6-1 6-0 Roland Garros: def. Razzano 6-4 6-0	
Raymond	Birmingham: def. Cross 6-0 6-3 U. S. Open: def. Grzybowska 6-1 6-0	Sydney: lost to Coetzer 6-7 0-6 <i>Oklahoma City: def. Morariu 2-6 6-0 6-3</i> Amelia Island: def. Hopkins 6-4 0-6 6-1 <i>Madrid: lost to Montolio 7-5 3-6 0-6</i> Wimbledon: lost to S. Williams 2-6 0-6

Rubin	Pan Pacific: def. Panova 6-0 , ret. Indian Wells: def. Habsudova 6-0 6-1 Rome: def. Serna 6-0 6-2 Filderstadt: def. Capriati 6-0 6-7 6-2	<i>Hobart: def. Hémin 7-5 0-6 6-2</i> Amelia Island: def. Myskina 6-1 0-6 6-4 Amelia Island: lost to Schett 0-6 2-6 Eastbourne: def. Kournikova 7-5 0-6 6-3 Linz: lost to V. Williams 4-6 0-6
Sanchez-Vicario	Australian Open: def. Schett 1-6 6-0 7-5 Roland Garros: def. V. Williams 6-0 1-6 6-2 U. S. Open: def. Bradshaw 7-6 6-0	<i>Gold Coast: lost to Talaja 6-1 3-6 6-0</i> Amelia Island: def. Snyder 6-4 0-6 6-4 Hilton Head: lost to Pierce 1-6 0-6 Roland Garros: def. Schett 0-6 6-4 6-2 Filderstadt: lost to Hingis 1-6 0-6
Schett	Amelia Island: def. Rubin 6-0 6-2 Roland Garros: lost to Sanchez-Vicario 6-0 4-6 2-6 Sopot: def. Habsudova 6-0 6-4 Zurich: def. Likhovtseva 6-0 6-1	Australian Open: lost to Sanchez-Vicario 6-1 0-6 5-7 Leipzig: lost to Tauziat 6-4 0-6 6-7
Schnyder	Hilton Head: def. Tu 6-4 6-0 's-Hertogenbosch: def. Habsudova 6-0 6-4 Portschach: def. Gersi 6-0 6-4	Indian Wells: lost to Seles 0-6 3-6
Seles	<i>Oklahoma City: def. Pitkowski 6-0 6-0</i> Indian Wells: def. Schnyder 6-0 6-3 Ericsson: def. Kournikova 6-1 3-6 6-0 Ericsson: def. Frazier 6-0 6-3 Amelia Island: def. Smashnova 7-6 6-0 Hilton Head: def. de Lone 6-0 6-0 Roland Garros: def. Gagliardi 6-0 6-1 Wimbledon: def. Pitkowski 6-0 6-3 U. S. Open: def. Almeda-Singian 6-0 6-2	Ericsson: lost to Hingis 0-6 0-6 Wimbledon: lost to Davenport 7-6 4-6 0-6 San Diego: lost to V. Williams 0-6 7-6 3-6 U. S. Open: lost to Hingis 0-6 5-7
Talaja	<i>Gold Coast: def. Sanchez-Vicario 6-1 3-6 6-0</i> <i>Gold Coast: def. Martinez 6-0 0-6 6-4</i> <i>Bol: def. Torrens-Valero 6-3 6-0</i>	<i>Gold Coast: def. Martinez 6-0 0-6 6-4</i> <i>Palermo: lost to Diaz Oliva 1-6 0-6</i> Filderstadt: lost to Coetzer 4-6 0-6
Tauziat	Leipzig: def. Schett 4-6 6-0 7-6 Zurich: def. Maleeva 6-4 6-0	Pan Pacific: lost to Testud 6-7 0-6 Berlin: lost to Kruger 2-6 0-6 Rome: lost to Zuluaga 6-3 0-6 6-4
Testud	Pan Pacific: def. Tauziat 7-6 6-0 Scottsdale: def. Frazier 7-5 6-0 Ericsson: def. Plischke 6-0 6-0 U. S. Open: def. Boogert 6-0 6-1	
Van Roost	Indian Wells: def. Gagliardi 4-6 6-3 6-0 Ericsson: def. Parkinson 6-7 6-1 6-0 Roland Garros: lost to Marrero 6-0 5-7 5-7 Knokke-Heist: def. Serna 6-3 6-0	Sydney: def. Coetzer 0-6 7-5 6-4 Indian Wells: lost to Martinez 0-6 0-3 ret. Rome: lost to Casoni 6-7 0-6 Strasbourg: lost to Smashnova 0-6 4-6
Williams, S.	Ericsson: def. Sugiyama 6-3 6-0 Wimbledon: def. Basting 6-1 6-0 Wimbledon: def. Raymond 6-2 6-0 Los Angeles: def. Dragomir 6-0 6-1 Canadian Open: def. Frazier 6-0 6-1 Canadian Open: lost to Hingis 6-0 3-6 0-3 ret. U. S. Open: def. Dokic 7-6 6-0	
Williams, V.	Roland Garros: def. Kandarr 6-0 6-3 Wimbledon: def. Déchy 6-0 7-6 San Diego: def. Coetzer 6-0 6-4 San Diego: def. Martinez 6-3 6-0 San Diego: def. Seles 6-0 6-7 6-3 Linz: def. Rubin 6-4 6-0	Roland Garros: Lost to Sanchez-Vicario 0-6 6-1 2-6

The Dominance of the Big Three/Four

In 1999, the “Big Four” — Lindsay Davenport, Martina Hingis, Serena Williams, and Venus Williams — almost completely dominated the sport of women’s tennis. In 2000, this became almost a Big Three of Hingis, Davenport, and Venus Williams. The following table shows how complete their dominance was in the events they played. Note that Los Angeles is the last event at which a Big Three player played not won by that player.

Event	Tier	Big Four present	Winner
Sydney	II	Davenport, Hingis	Mauresmo
Australian Open	Slam	Davenport, Hingis, S. Williams	Davenport
Pan Pacific	I	Hingis	Hingis
Paris	II	S. Williams	Tauziat
Hannover	II	S. Williams	S. Williams
Scottsdale	II	Davenport, Hingis	(No winner, but Davenport & Hingis in final)
Indian Wells	I	Davenport, Hingis, S. Williams	Davenport
Ericsson	I	Davenport, Hingis, S. Williams	Hingis
Amelia Island	II	S. Williams	Seles
Hamburg	II	Hingis, V. Williams	Hingis
Berlin	I	Hingis	Martinez
Rome	I	Davenport, V. Williams	Seles
Roland Garros	Slam	Davenport, Hingis, V. Williams	Pierce
Eastbourne	II	Davenport	Halard-Decugis
Wimbledon	Slam	Hingis, Davenport, S. Williams, V. Williams	V. Williams
Stanford	II	Davenport, V. Williams	V. Williams
San Diego	II	Davenport, Hingis, V. Williams	V. Williams
Los Angeles	II	Davenport, Hingis, S. Williams	S. Williams
Canadian Open	I	Davenport, Hingis, S. Williams	Hingis
New Haven	II	V. Williams	V. Williams
U. S. Open	Slam	Davenport, Hingis, S. Williams, V. Williams	V. Williams
Filderstadt	II	Hingis	Hingis
Princess Cup	II	S. Williams	S. Williams
Zurich	I	Davenport, Hingis	Hingis
Linz	II	Davenport, V. Williams	Davenport
Moscow	I	Hingis	Hingis
Philadelphia	II	Davenport, Hingis	Davenport
Chase	Champ	Davenport, Hingis	Hingis

The Road to Victory

Sometimes earning a title is easy; sometimes it's a long struggle. The following statistics offer perspectives on what a player had to do to earn a title (Tier II or higher).

Games Lost in Path to Title

The following table assesses the winner's path to victory by calculating the number of games lost on the way to the title. Since, however, some tournaments have more rounds than others, this is divided by the number of matches played to get games per match. (Note: for these purposes, a tiebreak counts as a game). Note: The lower the number of games per match, the better the player performed.

Event	Tier	Winner	Games Lost	Matches Played	Games/Match
Sydney	II	Mauresmo	36	4	9.0
Australian Open	Slam	Davenport	38	7	5.4
Pan Pacific	I	Hingis	28	4	7.0
Paris	II	Tauziat	40	4	10.0
Hannover	II	S. Williams	26	4	6.5
Scottsdale*	II	(Hingis)*	19	3	6.3
Scottsdale*	II	(Davenport)*	23	3	7.7
Indian Wells	I	Davenport	26	6	4.3
Ericsson	I	Hingis	21	6	3.5
Amelia Island	II	Seles	24	5	4.8
Hilton Head	I	Pierce	12	5	2.4
Hamburg	II	Hingis	28	4	7.0
Berlin	I	Martinez	25†	5†	5.0†
Rome	I	Seles	36	5	7.2
Roland Garros	Slam	Pierce	48	7	6.9
Eastbourne	II	Halard-Decugis	40	5	8.0
Wimbledon	Slam	V. Williams	53	7	7.6
Stanford	II	V. Williams	34	4	8.5
San Diego	II	V. Williams	22	4	5.5
Los Angeles	II	S. Williams	42	5	8.4
Canadian Open	I	Hingis	39	5	7.8
New Haven	II	V. Williams	27	4	6.8
U. S. Open	Slam	V. Williams	54	7	7.7
Filderstadt	II	Hingis	10	4	2.5
Princess Cup	II	S. Williams	23	4	5.8
Zurich	I	Hingis	28	4	7.0
Linz	II	Davenport	19	4	4.8
Moscow	I	Hingis	25	4	6.3
Leipzig	II	Clijsters	43	5	8.6
Philadelphia	II	Davenport	24	4	6.0
Chase	Champ	Hingis	38	4	9.5

* There was no winner at Scottsdale; results are calculated for the two finalists

† Includes one match in which her opponent was injured and retired after losing a 6-0 set. If we examine the other matches, Martinez lost 25 games in 4 matches, or 6.25 games per match.

Quality Points Earned

The following table assesses the winner's path to victory by calculating the strength of her opponents, as measured by quality points. Since some tournaments have more rounds than others, this is divided by the number of matches played. (Note: It should be kept in mind that there are more quality points available to lower-ranked players than to higher-ranked players. Martinez, e.g., averaged 35 quality points per match at Berlin, while Hingis managed only 30 the week before at Hamburg. But Martinez's result is biased by the 100 points she earned with a win over an injured Hingis — she earned over half her quality points in that one match! Hingis had no such opportunity at Hamburg; she was the top seed.)

Event	Tier	Winner	Quality Points	Matches Played	Points per Match
Sydney	II	Mauresmo	248	4	62
Australian Open	Slam	Davenport	414	7	59 ¹
Pan Pacific	I	Hingis	108	4	27
Paris	II	Tauziat	103	4	26
Hannover	II	S. Williams	81	4	20
Scottsdale*	II	(Hingis) ²	101	3	34
Scottsdale*	II	(Davenport) ²	121	3	40
Indian Wells	I	Davenport	218	6	36
Ericsson	I	Hingis	175	6	29
Amelia Island	II	Seles	111	5	22
Hilton Head	I	Pierce	114	5	23
Hamburg	II	Hingis	120	4	30
Berlin	I	Martinez	175	5	35
Rome	I	Seles	66	5	13
Roland Garros	Slam	Pierce	466	7	67 ¹
Eastbourne	II	Halard-Decugis	128	5	26
Wimbledon	Slam	V. Williams	578	7	83 ¹
Stanford	II	V. Williams	131	4	33
San Diego	II	V. Williams	143	4	36
Los Angeles	II	S. Williams	243	5	49
Canadian Open	I	Hingis	139	5	28
New Haven	II	V. Williams	116	4	29
U. S. Open	Slam	V. Williams	536	7	77 ¹
Filderstadt	II	Hingis	86	4	22
Princess Cup	II	S. Williams	52	4	13
Zurich	I	Hingis	133	4	33
Linz	II	Davenport	103	4	26
Moscow	II	Hingis	108	4	29
Leipzig	II	Clijsters	139	5	28
Philadelphia	II	Davenport	200	4	50
Chase	Champ	Hingis	164	4	41

1 Note that Slam quality points are doubled, giving artificially high values

2 There was no winner at Scottsdale; results are calculated for the two finalists

Career Tournament Winners

It's one thing to win tournaments. It's another to win a "spectrum" of tournaments — on all surfaces, in all countries. The following list shows all the major events currently played on the tour, and lists the years in which the top players won each.

Tournament	Tier	Won by Davenport	Won by Hingis	Won by V. Williams	Won by Seles
Sydney	II	1999	1997		1996
Australian Opn	Slam	2000	1997, 1998, 1999		1991–93, 1996
Pan Pacific	I	1998	1997, 1999, 2000		
Paris	II		1997		
Hannover	II				
Scottsdale	II	<i>(no winner to date)</i>	<i>(no winner to date)</i>	<i>(no winner to date)</i>	<i>(no winner to date)</i>
Indian Wells	I	1997, 2000	1998		1992
Ericsson/Lipton	I		1997, 2000	1998, 1999	1990, 1991
Amelia Island	II	1997			
Hilton Head	I		1997, 1999		
Hamburg	II		1998, 2000	1999	
Berlin	I		1999		1990
Rome	I		1998	1999	1990
Roland Garros	Slam				1990–92
Eastbourne	II				1996
Wimbledon	Slam	1999	1997	2000	
Stanford	II	1998, 1999	1996, 1997	2000	1990, 1992
San Diego	II	1998	1997, 1999	2000	
Los Angeles	II	1996, 1998			1990, 1991, 1997
Canadian Open	I		1999, 2000		1995–1998
New Haven	II	1997		1999, 2000	1990
U.S. Open	Slam	1998	1997	2000	1991, 1992
Princess Cup	II	1999			1991–92, 1996–98
Filderstadt	II		1996–97, 1999, 2000		
Zurich	I	1997, 1998	2000	1999	
Linz	II	2000			
Moscow	I		2000		
Leipzig	II				
Philadelphia	II	1999, 2000	1997		1991
Yr-end Champ	Chmp	1999	1998, 2000		1990–92
<i>Total distinct events</i>		16	20	9	16
<i>Events won 2+ times</i>		5	10	2	9

Notes: Davenport also won Chicago (II) in 1997; this was the last year that event was played. The Atlanta event was won by Davenport in 1997; it moved to New Haven in 1998. Davenport has also won several Tier III events: Lucerne 1993, Brisbane 1994, Lucerne 1994, Strasbourg 1995, Oklahoma City 1997, Madrid 1999. Hingis has won only one Tier III ('s-Hertogenbosch 2000); until she played there, she had not contested a Tier III since 1996. Venus Williams won Oklahoma City in 1998, 1999. Monica Seles won Chicago (discontinued) in 1993; Essen (discontinued), Houston (discontinued), and Barcelona (discontinued) in 1992; Houston (discontinued), Milan (discontinued), and Tampa (discontinued) in 1991; and Houston (discontinued) in 1989. Seles won the U. S. Hardcourts (later Atlanta, later New Haven) in 1990 when it was in San Antonio.

“Top Players” 2000

Early in 2000, the challenge was issued to define what constitutes a “Top Player.” After some discussion, those involved decided that a “Top Player” was one who met two of the following three criteria:

1. Has reached at least one Grand Slam semifinal in the last three years.
2. Has, during one of the last three years, defeated at least five Top Ten players during the year.
3. Has, during the last three years, won at least one tournament of Tier II or higher.

The following table shows how well current players have done against these goals. The column labelled “Total Achieved” lists the total number of accomplishments met — i.e. it totals Slam semifinals, Tier II or higher titles, and increments of five Top Ten players defeated (i.e. if you beat five Top Ten players in a year, it adds *one* to your total; beat ten and you add two, etc. Remainders do *not* carry; if you beat eight in one year and seven in another, that counts as *two*, not three.) Note: Only the years in which a player ended in the Top Thirty (Top 25 for 1998) have been examined. Others years have been marked “X.”

Player	1998 Slam SF	1998 Top 10 Wins	1998 Tier II+ Titles	1999 Slam SF	1999 Top 10 Wins	1999 Tier II+ Titles	2000 Slam SF	2000 Top 10 Wins	2000 Tier II+ Titles	Total Achieved
Capriati	X	X	X	0	2	0	1	1	0	1
Clijsters	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	6	1	2
Coetzer	0	3	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	1
Davenport	3	16	6	3	15	6	3	10	3	32
Dementieva	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	5	0	2
Dokic	X	X	X	0	2	0	1	1	0	1
Frazier	X	X	X	0	3	0	0	4	0	0
Graf	0	8	3	2	6	1	X	X	X	8
Halard-Decu	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	2	1	1
Hingis	4	13	5	3	20	7	3	15	8	39
Huber	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	1
Kournikova	0	6	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	2
Likhovtseva	X	X	X	0	2	0	0	3	0	0
Maleeva	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	2	0	0
Martinez	1	2	1	0	2	0	2	2	1	5
Mauresmo	X	X	X	1	4	0	0	5	1	3
Novotna	2	6	3	0	1	1	X	X	X	8
Pierce	0	10	3	0	1	1	1	6	2	10
Rubin	X	X	X	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Sanchez-Vi	1	6	2	1	0	0	1	3	0	6
Schett	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0
Schnyder	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	1
Seles	1	5	2	2	2	1	0	4	2	9
Tauziat	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	4
Testud	0	4	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	1
Van Roost	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0
S. Williams	0	5	0	1	12	4	1	5	2	12
V. Williams	1	6	1	1	16	5	2	10	5	21
Zvereva	1	5	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3

From the above table, we can list players in order of “accomplishments.” Those who meet the qualifications for a “top player” are listed first, then those with two or more “accomplishments” who nonetheless do *not* qualify as top players:

Top Players:

Player	Accomplishments
Hingis	39
Davenport	32
V. Williams	21
S. Williams	12
Pierce	10
Seles	9
Novotna	8
Graf	8
Sanchez-Vicario	6
Martinez	5
Tauziat	4
Zvereva	3
Mauresmo	3
Clijsters	2
Dementieva	2
(Kournikova	2)*
Capriati	1
Coetzer	1
Dokic	1
Halard-Decugis	1
Huber	1
Schnyder	1
Testud	1
Frazier	0
Likhovtseva	0
Maleeva	0
Rubin	0
Schett	0
Van Roost	0

* Kournikova has two “accomplishments,” but both involve wins over top players. She thus does *not* qualify as a Top Player. To gain that status in the coming years, she must either win an event or reach a Slam SF. Kournikova *is* unique in having so many Top Ten wins without a title or a recent Slam semifinal.

Statistics About the Tour as a Whole

Total number of ranked players on the Tour, as of November 20, 2000: 1242 (1079 on Nov. 20, 1999)

Most singles events played by a Top 100 player: 33 (Jennifer Hopkins)

Fewest events played by a Top 100 player: 9 (Venus Williams)

Median number of events played by a Top 100 player: 22

Number of Top 100 players playing **25 or more events**: 34

Number of Top 100 players playing **30 or more events**: 4

Most events played by any player: 33 (Anca Barna, Jennifer Hopkins)

Median number of events played by all players: 10

Number of players playing **25 or more events**: 123

Number of players playing **30 or more events**: 16

Most points earned in any event: 1098 (Venus Williams, Wimbledon)

Most titles for any player: 9 (Martina Hingis); 5 (Venus Williams); 4 (Lindsay Davenport)

Most Tour victories: 77 (Martina Hingis); 56 (Lindsay Davenport); 51 (Monica Seles)

Total Tournaments played in 2000: 57 (56 completed; Scottsdale rained out)

Total players with Tour singles titles in 2000: 29

Total players with multiple singles titles in 2000: 12

Total players with Tier II or higher titles in 2000: 11

Most singles matches played: 87 (Martina Hingis); 73 (Anna Kournikova); 68 (Lindsay Davenport)

Most doubles matches played: 76 (Ai Sugiyama); 75 (Julie Halard-Decugis); 61 (Kournikova)

Most combined singles & doubles matches played: 144 (Hingis); 134 (Kournikova); 126 (Halard-Decugis); 121 (Nathalie Tauziat)

Total players with at least **2000 points**: 9

Total players with at least **1000 points**: 27

Total players with at least **500 points**: 63

Total players with at least **200 points**: 147

Total players with at least **100 points**: 229

Total players with at least **50 points**: 342

Total players with at least **20 points**: 525

Total players with at least **10 points**: 738

Total ranked players with **1.0 or fewer points**: 10

Total players with **.75 points**: 4

Highest score in a 19th Tournament (all-time record; will not be broken as the tour is changing ranking systems): 129 (Martina Hingis). Runners-up: Hingis, 85; Lindsay Davenport, 63; Anna Kournikova, 58.

Total points “in the system” (sum of the Best 18 scores of all ranked players): 137,860.05 (Note: The .05 is due to an error in the score of Jasmin Woehr, #313, listed as having “57.05” points.) The Top 25 have 52,363 of these, or 40.0%. (In fact the total points “in the system” are slightly higher than this nominal figure, because of scores in nineteenth and higher tournaments.)

The Year of the Injury

2000 could be called “The Year of the Injury.” Injuries to the top players were ubiquitous, and were perhaps the single most important factor in the rankings race.

The following table shows lists the top players (those who spent significant parts of the year in the Top Ten) and the tournaments they missed (based on past schedules or preliminary commitments). The number of weeks they were absent is also shown. The final column shows the number of additional tournaments where the player withdrew in the course of the event, or where injury is thought to have played a role in her result (the latter are marked *)

Player	Events Missed Entirely	Weeks Missed	Events played in which player withdrew or was injured
Davenport†	Pan Pacific, Amelia Island, Hilton Head, Madrid, Princess Cup	9	Rome, Roland Garros*, Eastbourne*, Wimbledon*, Canadian Open, U.S. Open*, [Olympics]
Hingis	Rome	1	Berlin*
Huber	[Olympics], Filderstadt, Zurich, Linz, Moscow, Leipzig, Philadelphia, Chase	10	U. S. Open
Kournikova	Rome	1	
Martinez	Rome	1	
Mauresmo	San Diego, New Haven, U. S. Open, Philadelphia, Chase	9	
Pierce	Stanford, San Diego, Los Angeles, Canadian Open, Filderstadt, Zurich, Linz, Moscow, Leipzig, Philadelphia, Chase	14	U. S. Open
Sanchez-Vicario		0	
Seles	Australian Open, Pan Pacific, Linz (plus many events in late 1999), Philadelphia	12	Princess Cup*
Tauziat		0	
Testud	(Olympics), Filderstadt, Zurich, Linz, Moscow, Leipzig, Philadelphia	8	
S. Williams	Hilton Head, Berlin, Rome, Roland Garros, Chase	9	Paris*, Hilton Head*, Amelia Island, Canadian Open, (also U.S. Open doubles)
V. Williams§	Australian Open, Oklahoma City, Scottsdale, Ericsson, Chase	15	

† Davenport also withdrew from Moscow, citing fatigue. This is not an actual injury, although her injured foot reportedly contributed. In addition, following the season, Davenport played Fed Cup while suffering from an injury.

§ Venus Williams also withdrew from Zurich, citing fatigue. This is not, however, an actual injury — though it may be related to the anemia she cited in withdrawing from the Chase Championships.

Best How Many?

The current WTA ranking system is “Best 18” — that is, a player’s ranking is calculated by taking the scores from her best eighteen tournaments. As we have seen, this is hardly the only ranking system!

But even if we accept “Best N” system as the correct way to rank, what value should we use for N? In 1998–2000, the WTA used N=18, but in 2001, it will shift to N=17. In 1997, the WTA had no maximum N (in effect, N=40 or more). The ATP, until 2000, used N=14. A press report said that Richard Williams favoured N=12.

Does the value of N make a difference? It certainly does! In 2000, three different players — Martina Hingis, Lindsay Davenport, or Venus Williams — could be #1 with the appropriate choice of N. The following table shows the various values of N, and which player becomes #1 under each system.

N=	#1 is (points)	#2 is (points)	#3 is (Points)
20 (or higher)	Hingis (6394)	Davenport (5022)	V. Williams (3694)
19	Hingis (6309)	Davenport (5022)	V. Williams (3694)
18	Hingis (6180)	Davenport (5022)	V. Williams (3694)
17	Hingis (6044)	Davenport (5021)	V. Williams (3694)
16	Hingis (5861)	Davenport (5019)	V. Williams (3694)
15	Hingis (5675)	Davenport (4975)	V. Williams (3694)
14	Hingis (5447)	Davenport (4924)	V. Williams (3694)
13	Hingis (5206)	Davenport (4870)	V. Williams (3694)
12	Hingis (4932)	Davenport (4816)	V. Williams (3694)
11	Hingis (4646)	Davenport (4603)	V. Williams (3694)
10	Davenport (4380)	Hingis (4326)	V. Williams (3694)
9	Davenport (4147)	Hingis (3977)	V. Williams (3694)
8	Davenport (3897)	V. Williams (3636)	Hingis (3609)
7	Davenport (3636)	V. Williams (3577)	Hingis (3241)
6	V. Williams (3372)	Davenport (3350)	Hingis (2861)
5	V. Williams (3144)	Davenport (3047)	Hingis (2468)
4	V. Williams (2828)	Davenport (2647)	Hingis (2069)
3	V. Williams (2497)	Davenport (2168)	Hingis (1634)
2	V. Williams (2154)	Davenport (1576)	Pierce (1360)
1	V. Williams (1098)	Pierce (986)	Davenport (934)

Analysis: Of our three players, Hingis is the most consistent. She wants a high N, so that *all* her results (every one of which exceeds 84 points) counts. Look, for example, at N=15. Hingis is still putting up results in the hundreds of points, while Davenport is putting up very small numbers, and Venus is entirely out of the running. The problem with this system is that it makes the ability to stay healthy as important as the ability to win.

Davenport has many solid results but also some clunks, and wants an intermediate value. In essence, she wants all *her* good results to count but wants to take away Hingis’s *many more* good results. Davenport’s best results are better, but her *average* results are worse than Hingis’s, and she wants to cover that defect.

The same applies, even more, to Venus Williams, who did very well in a handful of events but played only nine events. Because Venus has nothing to back up those five titles (including two Slams) of hers, she wants N to be very small indeed. In essence, Venus wants a system in which only your *very best* result counts. For Venus to be #1 under her current schedule, the Tour must subscribe to the “only Slams count” theory. The problem with this system is that it makes it functionally impossible for the Tour to support players who can’t win Slams. Players have to be able to earn points at other events.

Doubles

Analysing doubles is much more complex than singles, because of the complications of different teams — and also because some players play doubles much more often than others. Martina Hingis, for instance, played 20 singles tournaments but only 15 doubles tournaments. Elena Likhovtseva, by contrast, played 27 singles tournaments — and 26 doubles events.

The following section, therefore, only sketches the state of doubles.

The Final Top 30 in Doubles

Doubles Ranking	Player	1999 Year-End Doubles Ranking	2000 Year-End Singles Ranking
1	Julie Halard-Decugis	38	15
2	Ai Sugiyama	16	33
3	Martina Hingis	2	1
4	Anna Kournikova	1	8
5	Lisa Raymond	5	31
6	Rennae Stubbs	7	686
7	Paola Suarez	40	37
8	Nathalie Tauziat	14	10
9	Mary Pierce	20	7
10	Virginia Ruano Pascual	44	89
11	Nicole Arendt	32	—
12	Barbara Schett	34	23
13	Cara Black	30	43
14	Corina Morariu	6	52
15	Els Callens	74	107
16	Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario	9	9
17	Manon Bollegraf	33	—
18	Elena Likhovtseva	8	21
19	Alexandra Fusai	13	146
20	Kimberly Po	25	524
21	Dominique Van Roost	42	24 (retired)
22	Chanda Rubin	22	13
23	Sandrine Testud	23	17
24	Anne-Gaelle Sidot	31	36
25	Natasha Zvereva	12	79
26	Lindsay Davenport	4	2
27	Amanda Coetzer	29	11
28	Laura Montalvo	47	—
29	Conchita Martinez	24	5
30	Åsa Carlsson	56	57

The Initial Top 25 in Doubles

Doubles Rank	Player	Singles Rank
1	Anna Kournikova	12
2	Martina Hingis	1
3	Larisa Neiland*	210
4	Lindsay Davenport	2
5	Lisa Raymond	28
6	Corina Morariu	37
7	Rennae Stubbs	251
8	Elena Likhovtseva	18
9	Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario	17
10	Venus Williams	3
10	Serena Williams	4
12	Natasha Zvereva	27
13	Alexandra Fusai	177
14	Nathalie Tauziat	7
15	Caroline Vis	—
16	Ai Sugiyama	24
17	Irina Spirlea	33
18	Patricia Tarabini	—
19	Elena Tatarkova	116
20	Mary Pierce	5
21	Mariaan De Swardt	75
22	Chanda Rubin	22
23	Sandrine Testud	13
24	Conchita Martinez	15
25	Kimberly Po	128

* Retired following the Chase Championships 1999, but remaining on the rankings list as of December 29, 1999

Doubles Ranking Fluctuation

The table below is similar to the Ranking Fluctuation Table for Singles, except that rankings are recorded monthly rather than twice monthly.

Player	Dec 31	Jan 31	Feb 29	Mar 31	Apr 30	May 31	Jun 30	Jul 31	Aug 31	Sep 30	Oct 31	Nov 20	Mean (avg)	Median	Std. Dev.
Arendt	32	40	38	33	31	23	16	24	19	21	21	11	25.8	23.5	9.0
Black	30	30	30	30	33	31	31	29	24	15	12	13	25.7	30	7.7
Bollegraf	33	45	54	53	47	34	29	34	27	33	28	17	36.2	33.5	11.3
Callens	74	62	75	62	62	59	48	49	33	23	22	15	48.7	54	20.7
Davenport	4	2	6	1	1	4	5	15	15	17	19	26	9.6	5.5	8.4
De Swardt	21	26	33	35	37	43	42	80	110	108	116	115	63.8	42.5	38.6
Fusai	13	10	10	10	12	17	24	23	23	20	17	19	16.5	17	5.4
Halard-Decu	38	24	22	19	15	11	8	4	3	1	1	1	12.3	9.5	11.7
Hingis	2	1	1	3	2	6	6	6	5	5	3	3	3.6	3	1.9
Kournikova	1	3	2	7	8	7	12	12	7	7	4	4	6.2	7	3.5
Likhovtseva	8	12	12	13	16	16	20	27	26	16	15	18	16.6	16	5.6
Martinez	24	23	25	25	27	15	17	19	20	25	25	29	22.8	24.5	4.2
Morariu	6	7	5	2	3	1	3	8	10	10	10	14	6.6	6.5	4.0
Neiland	3	6	7	8	8	9	10	11	21	29	58	—	15.5	9	15.9
Pierce	20	9	8	6	4	5	4	3	6	6	7	9	7.3	6	4.5
Po	25	25	23	22	23	24	21	22	18	24	20	20	22.3	22.5	2.2
Raymond	5	4	3	4	5	2	1	1	1	3	5	5	3.3	3.5	1.7
Ruano Pascu	44	34	43	38	29	32	18	16	14	11	11	10	25.0	23.5	13.0
Rubin	22	22	24	23	21	21	19	17	13	19	16	22	19.9	21	3.2
Sanchez-Vica	9	8	9	9	9	8	7	7	12	13	14	16	10.1	9	2.9
Schett	34	28	28	29	30	29	26	18	17	18	13	12	23.5	27	7.4
Sidot	31	32	32	31	38	39	37	41	32	31	27	24	32.9	32	5.0
Stubbs	7	5	4	5	6	3	2	2	1	3	6	6	4.2	4.5	1.9
Suarez	40	31	29	27	17	20	11	9	8	8	8	7	17.9	14	11.3
Sugiyama	16	16	15	15	11	12	9	5	4	2	2	2	9.1	10	5.8
Tarabini	18	19	18	18	20	22	23	25	25	32	31	34	23.8	22.5	5.8
Tatarkova	19	20	21	24	24	27	27	56	83	80	87	77	45.4	27	28.6
Tauziat	14	11	11	11	10	14	15	14	11	9	9	8	11.4	11	2.3
Testud	23	21	14	14	14	13	14	10	9	12	18	23	15.4	14	4.8
Van Roost	42	41	52	42	44	42	39	38	31	22	24	21	36.5	40	9.8
Vis	15	17	19	17	22	28	28	28	34	41	67	56	31.0	28	16.3
S. Williams	10	13	16	20	18	18	—	20	—	—	—	—	16.4	18	3.7
V. Williams	10	13	16	20	18	18	—	20	—	—	—	—	16.4	18	3.7
Zvereva	12	15	13	12	13	10	13	13	16	14	23	25	14.9	13	4.5

Team Doubles Titles, Sorted from Most to Least

Team	Combined Rank	Titles Won (Tier)	# of Titles
Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama	3	Sydney (II), Ericsson (I), New Haven (II), U. S. Open (Slam), Princess Cup (II), Moscow (I)	6
Hingis/Kournikova	7	Filderstadt (II), Zurich (I), Philadelphia (II), Chase (Championship)	4
Raymond/Stubbs	11	Australian Open (Slam), Rome (I), Madrid (III), San Diego (II)	4
Montalvo/Suarez	35	Bogota (IVA), São Paulo (IVA), Klagenfurt (III)	3
Hingis/Pierce	12	Pan Pacific (I), Roland Garros (Slam)	2
Ruano Pascual/Suarez	17	Hilton Head (I), Sopot (III)	2
Halard-Decugis/Morariu	15	Bol (III), Japan Open (III)	2
Williams/Williams	—	Wimbledon (Slam)	1
Davenport/Morariu	40	Indian Wells (I)	1
Martinez/Sanchez-Vicario	45	Berlin (I)	1
Hingis/Tauziat	11	Canadian Open (I)	1
Callens/Van Roost	36	Los Angeles (II)	1
Carlsson/Zvereva	55	Hannover (II)	1
Halard-Decugis/Tauziat	9	Paris (II)	1
Kournikova/Zvereva	29	Hamburg (II)	1
Mauresmo/Rubin	75	Linz (II)	1
Rubin/Testud	45	Stanford (II)	1
Sanchez-Vicario/Sidot	40	Leipzig (II)	1
Sugiyama/Tauziat	10	Eastbourne (II)	1
De Lone/Pratt	83	's-Hertogenbosch (III)	1
Fusai/Tauziat	27	Luxembourg (III)	1
Halard-Decugis/Kournikova	6	Gold Coast (III)	1
Jeyaseelan/Labat	136	Strasbourg (III)	1
McQuillan/McShea	136	Birmingham (III)	1
Morariu/Po	34	Oklahoma City (III)	1
Nagyova/Plischke	216	Kuala Lumpur (III)	1
Pratt/Shaghnessy	73	Quebec City (III)	1
Appelmans/Clijsters	126	Antwerp (IVA)	1
Bacheva/Torrens-Valero	144	Budapest (IVB)	1
Black/Fusai	32	Auckland (IVB)	1
Basuki/Vis	195	Pattaya City (IVA)	1
Casoni/Tulyaganova	175	Knokke-Heist (IVA)	1
Farina/Grande	100	Palermo (IVA)	1
Garbin/Husarova	107	Warsaw (IVB)	1
Grande/Loit	92	Hobart (IVB)	1
Habsudova/Husarova	97	Bratislava (IVB)	1
Krizan/Srebotnik	65	Estoril (IVA)	1
Li/Li	177	Tashkent (IVA)	1
Osterloh/Tanasugarn	178	Shanghai (IVA)	1

The Top Twenty-Five Doubles Players/Results

This table is generally equivalent to the table of results in the section on singles, save that the format is somewhat simplified. The list shows each tournament the player played and the partner with whom she played. This is followed, in parenthesis, by the tier of the tournament, a notation showing how far the player advanced, and the number of wins her team had to reach that point.

Note: For reasons I do not understand, the WTA appears to count opening-round withdrawals in players' totals for doubles tournaments but not for singles tournaments. Such withdrawals are not listed below.

Rank	Player	Results
1	Halard-Decugis	Gold Coast w/Kournikova (III, Win, 4) Sydney w/Sugiyama (II, Win, 4) Australian Open w/Sugiyama (Slam, QF, 3) Paris w/Testud (II, Win, 4) Indian Wells w/Sugiyama (I, 2R, 1) Ericsson w/Sugiyama (I, Win, 5) Bol w/Morariu (III, Win, 4) Berlin w/Sugiyama (I, QF, 2) Rome w/Sugiyama (I, QF, 1) Roland Garros w/Sugiyama (Slam, SF, 4) Wimbledon w/Sugiyama (Slam, F, 5) Los Angeles w/Sugiyama (II, 1R, 0) Canadian Open w/Sugiyama (I, F, 4) New Haven w/Sugiyama (II, Win, 4) U. S. Open w/Sugiyama (Slam, Win, 6) <i>Olympics w/Mauresmo (X, QF, 1)</i> Princess Cup w/Sugiyama (II, Win, 4) Japan Open w/Morariu (III, Win, 4) Moscow w/Sugiyama (I, Win, 4) Philadelphia w/Sugiyama (II, SF, 2) Chase w/Sugiyama (Champ, 1R, 0)
2	Sugiyama	Sydney w/Halard-Decugis (II, Win, 4) Australian Open w/Halard-Decugis (Slam, QF, 3) Pan Pacific w/Kournikova (I, 1R, 0) Indian Wells w/Halard-Decugis (I, 2R, 1) Ericsson w/Halard-Decugis (I, Win, 5) <i>Scottsdale w/Coetzer (II, SF (semifinal and final rained out), 3)</i> Berlin w/Halard-Decugis (I, QF, 2) Rome w/Halard-Decugis (I, QF, 1) Madrid w/Srebotnik (III, SF, 2) Roland Garros w/Halard-Decugis (Slam, SF, 4) Eastbourne w/Tauziat (II, Win, 4) Wimbledon w/Halard-Decugis (Slam, F, 5) San Diego w/Clijsters (II, 2R, 1) Los Angeles w/Halard-Decugis (II, 1R, 0) Canadian Open w/Halard-Decugis (I, F, 4) New Haven w/Halard-Decugis (II, Win, 4) U. S. Open w/Halard-Decugis (Slam, Win, 6) <i>Olympics w/Miyagi (X, QF, 2)</i> Princess Cup w/Halard-Decugis (II, Win, 4) Zurich w/Tauziat (I, 1R, 0) Moscow w/Halard-Decugis (I, Win, 4) Linz w/Tauziat (II, SF, 2+1 walkover) Philadelphia w/Halard-Decugis (II, SF, 2) Chase w/Halard-Decugis (Champ, 1R, 0)

3	Hingis	<p>Sydney w/Pierce (II, F, 3) Australian Open w/Pierce (Slam, F, 5) Pan Pacific w/Pierce (I, Win, 4) <i>Scottsdale w/Pierce (II, SF (semifinal and final rained out), 2)</i> Indian Wells w/Pierce (I, SF, 4) Roland Garros w/Pierce (Slam, Win, 6) Wimbledon w/Pierce (Slam, 2R, 1) Los Angeles w/Tauziat (II, QF, 1) Canadian Open w/Tauziat (I, Win, 4) U. S. Open w/Pierce (Slam, R16 [Pierce withdrew], 2) Filderstadt w/Kournikova (II, Win, 4) Zurich w/Kournikova (I, Win, 4) Moscow w/Kournikova (I, F, 3) Philadelphia w/Kournikova (I, Win, 4) Chase w/Kournikova (Champ, Win, 3)</p>
4	Kournikova	<p>Gold Coast w/Halard-Decugis (III, Win, 4) Sydney w/Schett (II, QF, 1) Australian Open w/Schett (Slam, SF, 4) Pan Pacific w/Sugiyama (I, 1R, 0) Indian Wells w/Zvereva (I, F, 4) Ericsson w/Zvereva (I, 2R, 0) Hilton Head w/Sanchez-Vicario (I, QF, 1) Hamburg w/Zvereva (II, Win, 4) Roland Garros w/Zvereva (Slam, 3R, 2) Eastbourne w/Zvereva (II, 2R, 1) Wimbledon w/Zvereva (Slam, SF, 4) San Diego w/Davenport (II, F, 3) Canadian Open w/Davenport (I, QF [Davenport withdrew], 2) U. S. Open w/Capriati (Slam, 2R, 1) Filderstadt w/Hingis (II, Win, 4) Zurich w/Hingis (I, Win, 4) Moscow w/Hingis (I, F, 3) Philadelphia w/Hingis (I, Win, 4) Chase w/Hingis (Champ, Win, 3)</p>
5	Raymond	<p>Sydney w/Stubbs (II, 1R, 0) Australian Open w/Stubbs (Slam, Win, 6) Pan Pacific w/Stubbs (I, SF, 2) Indian Wells w/Stubbs (I, 1R, 0) Ericsson w/Pierce (I, QF, 2) Amelia Island w/Stubbs (II, QF, 1) Hilton Head w/Stubbs (I, QF, 1) Rome w/Stubbs (I, Win, 4) Madrid w/Stubbs (III, Win, 4) Roland Garros w/Stubbs (Slam, QF, 2) Eastbourne w/Stubbs (II, F, 3) Wimbledon w/Stubbs (Slam, SF, 4) San Diego w/Stubbs (II, Win, 4) Los Angeles w/Stubbs (II, QF, 1) New Haven w/Stubbs (II, SF, 2) U. S. Open w/Stubbs (Slam, QF, 3) Moscow w/Stubbs (I, SF, 2) Philadelphia w/Stubbs (II, F, 3) Chase w/Stubbs (Champ, SF, 1)</p>

6	Stubbs	<p>Sydney w/Raymond (II, 1R, 0) Australian Open w/Raymond (Slam, Win, 6) Pan Pacific w/Raymond (I, SF, 2) Indian Wells w/Raymond (I, 1R, 0) Amelia Island w/Raymond (II, QF, 1) Hilton Head w/Raymond (I, QF, 1) Rome w/Raymond (I, Win, 4) Madrid w/Raymond (III, Win, 4) Roland Garros w/Raymond (Slam, QF, 2) Eastbourne w/Raymond (II, F, 3) Wimbledon w/Raymond (Slam, SF, 4) San Diego w/Raymond (II, Win, 4) Los Angeles w/Raymond (II, QF, 1) Canadian Open w/Dokic (I, 1R, 0) New Haven w/Raymond (II, SF, 2) U. S. Open w/Raymond (Slam, QF, 3) <i>Olympics w/Dokic (X, 2R, 1)</i> Moscow w/Raymond (I, SF, 2) Philadelphia w/Raymond (II, F, 3) Chase w/Raymond (Champ, SF, 1)</p>
7	Suarez	<p>Auckland w/Ruano Pascual (4B, SF, 2) Sydney w/Ruano Pascual (II, SF, 2) Australian Open w/Ruano Pascual (Slam, 2R, 1) Bogota w/Montalvo (IVA, Win, 4) São Paulo w/Montalvo (IVA, Win, 4) Indian Wells w/Ruano Pascual (I, 2R, 1) Ericsson w/Ruano Pascual (I, 3R, 1) <i>Amelia Island w/Ruano Pascual (II, SF [semifinal and final rained out], 3)</i> Hilton Head w/Ruano Pascual (I, Win, 5) Berlin w/Montalvo (I, QF [withdrew], 2) Roland Garros w/Ruano Pascual (Slam, F, 5) Wimbledon w/Ruano Pascual (Slam, QF, 3) Portschach w/Montalvo (III, Win, 4) Sopot w/Ruano Pascual (III, Win, 4) Canadian Open w/Ruano Pascual (I, 2R, 0) New Haven w/Ruano Pascual (II, F, 3) U. S. Open w/Ruano Pascual (Slam, 1R, 0) <i>Olympics w/Montalvo (X, 2R, 1)</i> Princess Cup w/Miyagi (II, F, 3) Japan Open w/Miyagi (III, SF, 2) Chase w/Ruano Pascual (Champ, 1R, 0)</p>

8	Tauziat	<p>Australian Open w/Fusai (Slam, 2R, 1) Pan Pacific w/Fusai (I, F, 2+1 walkover) Hannover w/Likhovtseva (II, QF, 1) Indian Wells w/Likhovtseva (I, QF, 2) Ericsson w/Fusai (I, QF, 2) Berlin w/Fusai (I, QF, 1) Rome w/Fusai (I, 2R, 0) Strasbourg w/Fusai (III, 2R, 1) Roland Garros w/Fusai (Slam, SF, 4) Birmingham w/Fusai (III, QF, 1) Eastbourne w/Sugiyama (II, Win, 4) Wimbledon w/Fusai (Slam, 2R, 1) San Diego w/Dechy (II, 1R, 0) Los Angeles w/Hingis (II, QF, 1) Canadian Open w/Hingis (I, Win, 4) U.S. Open w/Fusai (Slam, 3R, 2) Luxembourg w/Fusai (III, Win, 4) Filderstadt w/Fusai (II, SF, 2) Zurich w/Sugiyama (I, 1R, 0) Linz w/Sugiyama (II, SF, 2+1 walkover) Moscow w/Fusai (I, SF, 2) Leipzig w/Fusai (II, 1R, 0) Philadelphia w/Fusai (II, SF, 2) Chase w/Fusai (Champ, 1R, 0)</p>
9	Pierce	<p>Sydney w/Hingis (II, F, 3) Australian Open w/Hingis (Slam, F, 5) Pan Pacific w/Hingis (I, Win, 4) <i>Scottsdale w/Hingis (II, SF (semifinal and final rained out), 2)</i> Indian Wells w/Hingis (I, SF, 4) Ericsson w/Raymond (I, QF, 2) Amelia Island w/Morariu (II, 2R, 0) Roland Garros w/Hingis (Slam, Win, 6) Wimbledon w/Hingis (Slam, 2R, 1) U. S. Open w/Hingis (Slam, R16 [Pierce withdrew], 2)</p>
10	Ruano Pascual	<p>Auckland w/Suarez (4B, SF, 2) Sydney w/Suarez (II, SF, 2) Australian Open w/Suarez (Slam, 2R, 1) Indian Wells w/Suarez (I, 2R, 1) Ericsson w/Suarez (I, 3R, 1) <i>Amelia Island w/Suarez (II, SF [semifinal and final rained out], 3)</i> Hilton Head w/Suarez (I, Win, 5) Roland Garros w/Suarez (Slam, F, 5) Wimbledon w/Suarez (Slam, QF, 3) Palermo w/Dragomir (IVA, F, 3) Sopot w/Suarez (III, Win, 4) Canadian Open w/Suarez (I, 2R, 0) New Haven w/Suarez (II, F, 3) U. S. Open w/Suarez (Slam, 1R, 0) Chase w/Suarez (Champ, 1R, 0)</p>

11	Arendt	<p>Gold Coast w/Molik (III, SF, 2) Australian Open w/Po (Slam, 1R, 0) Pan Pacific w/Po (I, 2R [withdrew], 1) Indian Wells w/Loit (I, QF, 2) Ericsson w/Bollegraf (I, F, 5) Amelia Island w/Tarabini (II, QF, 2) Hilton Head w/Vis (I, 2R, 0) Hamburg w/Bollegraf (II, F, 3) Berlin w/Bollegraf (I, SF, 3) Rome w/Bollegraf (I, 1R, 0) Roland Garros w/Bollegraf (Slam, 3R, 2) Eastbourne w/Bollegraf (II, SF, 2) Wimbledon w/Bollegraf (Slam, 2R, 1) San Diego w/Bollegraf (II, 1R, 0) Los Angeles w/Bollegraf (II, SF, 2) Canadian Open w/Bollegraf (I, 1R, 0) U.S. Open w/Bollegraf (Slam, 1R, 0) Filderstadt w/Bollegraf (II, 1R, 0) Zurich w/Bollegraf (I, 1R, 0) Linz w/Bollegraf (II, SF, 2) Moscow w/Bollegraf (I, 1R, 0) Leipzig w/Bollegraf (II, SF, 2) Chase w/Bollegraf (Champ, F, 2)</p>
12	Schett	<p>Sydney w/Kournikova (II, QF, 1) Australian Open w/Kournikova (Slam, SF, 4) Indian Wells w/Huber (I, 2R, 1) Ericsson w/Huber (I, SF, 4) <i>Amelia Island w/Schnyder (II, SF [semifinal and final rained out], 3)</i> Roland Garros w/Huber (Slam, QF, 3) Wimbledon w/Huber (Slam, 3R, 2) Portschach w/Schnyder (III, F, 3) San Diego w/Krizan (II, 1R, 0) Los Angeles w/Sanchez-Vicario (II, 2R, 1) Canadian Open w/Huber (I, QF, 2) U. S. Open w/Huber (Slam, QF, 3) <i>Olympics w/Wartusch (X, 1R, 0)</i> Filderstadt w/Sanchez-Vicario (II, F, 3) Zurich w/Rubin (I, 2R, 1) Linz w/Vis (II, SF [withdrew], 1+1 walkover)</p>

13	Black	Auckland w/Fusai (IVB, Win, 4) Hobart w/Graham (IVB, 1R, 0) Australian Open w/Selyutina (Slam, 1R, 0) Pan Pacific w/Selyutina (I, 2R, 1) Oklahoma City w/Selyutina (III, SF, 2) Indian Wells w/Selyutina (I, 1R, 0) Ericsson w/Selyutina (I, 2R, 0) Bol w/Selyutina (III, 1R, 0) Berlin w/Selyutina (I, 2R, 1) Rome w/Selyutina (I, 2R, 1) Roland Garros w/Selyutina (Slam, 2R, 1) Birmingham w/Selyutina (III, F, 4) Wimbledon w/Selyutina (Slam, 1R, 0) Stanford w/Frazier (II, F, 3) San Diego w/Likhovtseva (II, SF, 2) Los Angeles w/Likhovtseva (II, 2R, 1) Canadian Open w/Likhovtseva (I, QF, 2) New Haven w/Likhovtseva (II, 1R, 0) U. S. Open w/Likhovtseva (Slam, F, 4+1 walkover) Filderstadt w/Likhovtseva (II, SF, 2) Zurich w/Likhovtseva (I, QF, 1) Chase w/Likhovtseva (Champ, 1R, 0)
14	Morariu	Sydney w/Davenport (II, SF, 2) Australian Open w/Davenport (Slam, SF, 4) Oklahoma City w/Po (III, Win, 4) Indian Wells w/Davenport (I, Win, 5) Ericsson w/Likhovtseva (I, QF, 2) Amelia Island w/Pierce (II, 2R, 0) Hilton Head w/Neiland (II, QF, 1) Bol w/Halard-Decugis (III, Win, 4) Berlin w/Coetzer (I, F, 2+1 walkover) Rome w/Davenport (I, QF [Davenport withdrew], 1) Strasbourg w/Likhovtseva (III, 1R, 0) Eastbourne w/Davenport (II, 1R, 0) Princess Cup w/Dokic (II, SF, 2) Japan Open w/Halard-Decugis (III, Win, 4) Kuala Lumpur w/Carlsson (III, 1R, 0)

15	Callens	<p>Hobart w/Srebotnik (IVB, SF, 2) Australian Open w/Van Roost (Slam, QF, 3) Pan Pacific w/Wild (I, 1R, 0) Indian Wells w/Van Roost (I, 1R, 0) Ericsson w/Jeyaseelan (I, 2R, 1) Antwerp w/Habsudova (IVA, QF, 1) Strasbourg w/Van Roost (III, QF, 1) Roland Garros w/Van Roost (Slam, 2R, 1) Birmingham w/Molik (III, SF, 3) Eastbourne w/Van Roost (II, SF, 2) Wimbledon w/Van Roost (Slam, 3R, 2) Los Angeles w/Van Roost (II, Win, 4) New Haven w/Van Roost (II, 1R, 0) U. S. Open w/Van Roost (Slam, SF, 4)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Olympics w/Van Roost (X, SF, 3 — won Bronze)</i></p> <p>Filderstadt w/Van Roost (II, 1R, 0) Zurich w/Van Roost (I, 1R, 0) Quebec City w/Po (III, F, 3) Philadelphia w/Jeyaseelan (II, 1R, 0) Chase w/Van Roost (Champ, SF, 1)</p>
16	Sanchez-Vicario	<p>Gold Coast w/Serna (III, 1R, 0) Australian Open w/Serna (Slam, 1R, 0) Sydney w/Dokic (II, QF, 1) Ericsson w/Courtois (I, QF, 2) Amelia Island w/Martinez (II, QF [remaining matches washed out], 1) Hilton Head w/Kournikova (I, QF, 1) Hamburg w/Courtois (II, SF, 2) Berlin w/Martinez (I, Win, 5) Rome w/Serna (I, F, 3+1 walkover) Roland Garros w/Courtois (Slam, 1R, 0) Wimbledon w/Mauresmo (Slam, 3R, 2) Los Angeles w/Schett (II, QF, 1) Canadian Open w/Martinez (I, 1R, 0) U. S. Open w/Navratilova (Slam, 3R, 2)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Olympics w/Martinez (X, 2R, 1)</i></p> <p>Filderstadt w/Schett (II, F, 3) Moscow w/Mauresmo (I, 1R, 0) Leipzig w/Sidot (II, Win, 4)</p>
17	Bolleggraf	<p>Ericsson w/Arendt (I, F, 5) Hamburg w/Arendt (II, F, 3) Berlin w/Arendt (I, SF, 3) Rome w/Arendt (I, 1R, 0) Roland Garros w/Arendt (Slam, 3R, 2) Eastbourne w/Arendt (II, SF, 2) Wimbledon w/Arendt (Slam, 2R, 1) San Diego w/Arendt (II, 1R, 0) Los Angeles w/Arendt (II, SF, 2) Canadian Open w/Arendt (I, 1R, 0) U.S. Open w/Arendt (Slam, 1R, 0) Filderstadt w/Arendt (II, 1R, 0) Zurich w/Arendt (I, 1R, 0) Linz w/Arendt (II, SF, 2) Moscow w/Arendt (I, 1R, 0) Leipzig w/Arendt (II, SF, 2) Chase w/Arendt (Champ, F, 2)</p>

18	Likhovtseva	Auckland w/Labat (IVB, QF, 1) Sydney w/Coetzer (II, 1R, 0) Australian Open w/Coetzer (Slam, 3R, 2) Paris w/Habsudova (II, 1R, 0) Hannover w/Tauziat (II, QF, 1) Indian Wells w/Tauziat (I, QF, 2) Ericsson w/Morariu (I, QF, 2) Amelia Island w/Grande (II, 2R, 1) Warsaw w/Tatarkova (III, SF, 2) Rome w/Vis (I, 1R, 0) Strasbourg w/Morariu (III, 1R, 0) Roland Garros w/Tulyaganova (Slam, 1R, 0) Eastbourne w/Courtois (II, 1R, 0) Wimbledon w/Courtois (Slam, 1R, 0) San Diego w/Black (II, SF, 2) Los Angeles w/Black (II, 2R, 1) Canadian Open w/Black (I, QF, 2) New Haven w/Black (II, 1R, 0) U. S. Open w/Black (Slam, F, 4+1 walkover) <i>Olympics w/Myskina (X, 2R, 1)</i> Filderstadt w/Black (II, SF, 2) Zurich w/Black (I, QF, 1) Moscow w/Dokic (I, 1R, 0) Leipzig w/Cristea (II, 1R, 0) Chase w/Black (Champ, 1R, 0)
19	Fusai	Auckland w/Black (IVB, Win, 4) Australian Open w/Tauziat (Slam, 2R, 1) Pan Pacific w/Tauziat (I, F, 2+1 walkover) Ericsson w/Tauziat (I, QF, 2) Budapest w/Habsudova (IVB, QF [withdrew], 1) Berlin w/Tauziat (I, QF, 1) Rome w/Tauziat (I, 2R, 0) Strasbourg w/Tauziat (III, 2R, 1) Roland Garros w/Tauziat (Slam, SF, 4) Birmingham w/Tauziat (III, QF, 1) Wimbledon w/Tauziat (Slam, 2R, 1) U.S. Open w/Tauziat (Slam, 3R, 2) Luxembourg w/Tauziat (III, Win, 4) Filderstadt w/Tauziat (II, SF, 2) Moscow w/Tauziat (I, SF, 2) Leipzig w/Tauziat (II, 1R, 0) Philadelphia w/Tauziat (II, SF, 2) Chase w/Tauziat (Champ, 1R, 0)

20	Po	<p>Sydney w/Testud (II, QF, 1) Australian Open w/Arendt (Slam, 1R, 0) Pan Pacific w/Arendt (I, 2R [withdrew], 1) Oklahoma City w/Morariu (III, Win, 4) Indian Wells w/de Swardt (I, 1R, 0) Ericsson w/Sidot (I, 3R, 1) Hilton Head w/Horn (I, 2R, 1) Rome w/Sidot (I, 2R, 1) Madrid w/Carlsson (III, SF, 2) Roland Garros w/Sidot (Slam, 3R, 2) Birmingham w/Sidot (III, QF, 1) Eastbourne w/Sidot (II, 1R, 0) Wimbledon w/Sidot (Slam, 2R, 1) Stanford w/Graham (II, SF, 2) San Diego w/Sidot (II, QF, 1) Los Angeles w/Sidot (II, F, 3) Canadian Open w/Sidot (I, SF, 3) U. S. Open w/Sidot (Slam, 3R, 2) Luxembourg w/Sidot (III, 1R, 0) Filderstadt w/Sidot (II, 1R, 0) Zurich w/Sidot (I, F, 3) Linz w/Sidot (II, 1R, 0) Quebec City w/Callens (III, F, 2+1 walkover) Philadelphia w/Davenport (II, QF, 1)</p>
21	Van Roost	<p>Sydney w/Carlsson (II, 1R, 0) Australian Open w/Callens (Slam, QF, 3) Indian Wells w/Callens (I, 1R, 0) Strasbourg w/Callens (III, QF, 1) Roland Garros w/Callens (Slam, 2R, 1) Eastbourne w/Callens (II, SF, 2) Wimbledon w/Callens (Slam, 3R, 2) Los Angeles w/Callens (II, Win, 4) Canadian Open w/Capriati (I, 2R, 1) New Haven w/Callens (II, 1R, 0) U. S. Open w/Callens (Slam, SF, 4) <i>Olympics w/Callens (X, SF, 3 — won Bronze)</i> Filderstadt w/Callens (II, 1R, 0) Zurich w/Callens (I, 1R, 0) Chase w/Callens (Champ, SF, 1)</p>
22	Rubin	<p>Australian Open w/Testud (Slam, 2R, 1) Pan Pacific w/Testud (I, 1R, 0) Indian Wells w/Testud (I, SF, 3) Amelia Island w/Testud (II, 2R, 1) Berlin w/Testud (I, 1R, 0) Rome w/Testud (I, 2R, 1) Roland Garros w/Testud (Slam, 3R, 2) Wimbledon w/Testud (Slam, 3R, 2) Stanford w/Testud (II, Win, 4) San Diego w/Testud (II, SF, 2) Canadian Open w/Testud (I, SF, 2) U. S. Open w/Testud (Slam, QF, 3) Filderstadt w/Serna (II, 1R, 0) Zurich w/Schett (I, QF, 1) Linz w/Mauresmo (II, Win, 4) Quebec City w/Hopkins (III, 1R, 0)</p>

23	Testud	<p>Sydney w/Po (II, QF, 1) Australian Open w/Rubin (Slam, 2R, 1) Pan Pacific w/Rubin (I, 1R, 0) Paris w/Halard-Decugis (II, Win, 4) Indian Wells w/Rubin (I, SF, 3) Amelia Island w/Rubin (II, 2R, 1) Berlin w/Rubin (I, 1R, 0) Rome w/Rubin (I, 2R, 1) Roland Garros w/Rubin (Slam, 3R, 2) Wimbledon w/Rubin (Slam, 3R, 2) Stanford w/Rubin (II, Win, 4) San Diego w/Rubin (II, SF, 2) Canadian Open w/Rubin (I, SF, 2) U. S. Open w/Rubin (Slam, QF, 3)</p>
24	Sidot	<p>Sydney w/ Pisnik (II, 1R, 0) Australian Open w/Habsudova (Slam, 2R, 1) Pan Pacific w/Krizan (I, QF, 1) Hannover w/Barclay (II, QF, 1) Indian Wells w/Barclay (I, 2R, 1) Ericsson w/Po (I, 3R, 1) Estoril w/ Boogert (IVA, SF, 2) Berlin w/Appelmans (I, 1R, 0) Rome w/Po (I, 2R, 1) Roland Garros w/Po (Slam, 3R, 2) Birmingham w/Po (III, QF, 1) Eastbourne w/Po (II, 1R, 0) Wimbledon w/Po (Slam, 2R, 1) San Diego w/Po (II, QF, 1) Los Angeles w/Po (II, F, 3) Canadian Open w/Po (I, SF, 3) U. S. Open w/Po (Slam, 3R, 2) Luxembourg w/Po (III, 1R, 0) Filderstadt w/Po (II, 1R, 0) Zurich w/Po (I, F, 3) Linz w/Po (II, 1R, 0) Leipzig w/Sanchez-Vicario (II, Win, 4)</p>
25	Zvereva	<p>Australian Open w/Lucic (Slam, 2R, 1) Pan Pacific w/Srebotnik (I, QF, 1) Hannover w/Carlsson (II, Win, 4) Indian Wells w/Kournikova (I, F, 4) Ericsson w/Kournikova (I, 2R, 0) Hamburg w/Kournikova (II, Win, 4) Rome w/Mauresmo (I, SF, 3) Roland Garros w/Kournikova (Slam, 3R, 2) Eastbourne w/Kournikova (II, 2R, 1) Wimbledon w/Kournikova (Slam, SF, 4) <i>Olympics w/Barabanschikova (X, SF, 3)</i></p>
26	Davenport	<p>Sydney w/Morariu (II, SF, 2) Australian Open w/Morariu (Slam, SF, 4) Indian Wells w/Morariu (I, Win, 5) Rome w/Morariu (I, QF [Davenport withdrew], 1) Eastbourne w/Morariu (II, 1R, 0) San Diego w/Kournikova (II, F, 3) Canadian Open w/Kournikova (I, QF [Davenport withdrew], 2) Philadelphia w/Po (II, QF, 1)</p>

—	Williams (S or V)	Wimbledon w/each other (Slam, Win, 6) U. S. Open w/each other (Slam, SF [Serena withdrew], 4) <i>Olympics (X, Win, 5)</i>
---	-------------------	--

Doubles Tournament Winners by Date (High-Tier Events)

Players shown in bold also won the singles at these tournaments

Tournament	Tier	Winner
Sydney	II	Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama
Australian Open	Slam	Raymond/Stubbs
Tokyo (Pan Pacific)	I	Hingis/Pierce
Paris	II	Halard-Decugis/ Tauziat
Hannover	II	Carlsson/Zvereva
Scottsdale	II	No winner (rained out)
Indian Wells	I	Davenport /Morariu
Ericsson (Miami)	I	Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama
Amelia Island	II	No winner (rained out)
Hilton Head	I	Ruano Pascual/Suarez
Hamburg	II	Halard-Decugis/Morariu
Berlin	I	Martinez /Sanchez-Vicario
Rome	I	Raymond/Stubbs
Roland Garros	Slam	Hingis/ Pierce
Eastbourne	II	Tauziat/Sugiyama
Wimbledon	Slam	S. Williams/ V. Williams
Stanford	II	Rubin/Testud
San Diego	II	Raymond/Stubbs
Los Angeles	II	Callens/Van Roost
Canadian Open	I	Hingis /Tauziat
New Haven	II	Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama
U.S. Open	Slam	Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama
Tokyo (Princess Cup)	II	Halard-Decugis /Sugiyama
Filderstadt	II	Hingis /Kournikova
Zurich	I	Hingis /Kournikova
Linz	II	Mauresmo/Rubin
Moscow	I	Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama
Leipzig	II	Sanchez-Vicario/Sidot
Philadelphia	II	Hingis/Kournikova
Chase Championships	Champ	Hingis /Kournikova

Doubles Winning Percentages for the Top Sixteen

Player	WTA Rank	# of Partners	Won/Lost	Winning Percentage	Tournaments Played	Tournaments Won	Tournament Win%
Halard-Decugis	1	4	65-10	86.7%	20	10	50%
Sugiyama	2	6	61-15	80.3%	23*	7	31.8%
Hingis	3	3	50-7	87.7%	15*	7	50%
Kournikova	4	8	49-12	80.3%	19†	6	33.3%
Raymond	5	2	45-15	75.0%	19	4	21.1%
Stubbs	6	2	43-15	74.1%	19	4	21.1%
Suarez	7	3	49-13	79.0%	20*†	5	26.3%
Tauziat	8	5	39-21	65.0%	24	3	12.5%
Pierce	9	3	29-6	82.9%	10*†	2	22.2%
Ruano Pascual	10	2	33-12	73.3%	15*	2	14.3%
Arendt	11	6	31-22	58.5%	23†	0	0.0%
Schett	12	7	31-14	68.9%	15*	0	0.0%
Black	13	5	29-21	58.0%	22	1	4.5%
Morariu	14	9	30-10	75.0%	15†	4	28.6%
Callens	15	7	28-18	60.9%	19	1	5.3%
Sanchez-Vicario	16	9	27-14	65.8%	17*	2	12.5%

* Was still active in a tournament (Scottsdale or Amelia Island) which was rained out. These events are not included in calculations of tournament winning percentage.

† Withdrew from one or more tournaments. These events *are* included in calculations of winning percentage if the player in question is the one who withdrew.

Doubles Winning Percentages for the Top Teams

(Minimum three tournaments, eight matches; sorted in descending order by tourn. winning percentage)

Team	Won/Lost	Winning%	Events Played	Events Won	Tourn Win%
Hingis/Kournikova	18-1	94.7%	5	4	80.0%
Montalvo/Suarez	14-0	100.0%	4	3	75.0%
Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama	49-10	83.1%	16	6	37.5%
Hingis/Pierce	27-4	87.1%	8*	2	28.6%
Raymond/Stubbs	43-14	75.4%	18	4	22.2%
Davenport/Morariu	12-3	80.0%	5	1	20.0%
Kournikova/Zvereva	15-5	75.0%	6	1	16.7%
Ruano Pascual/Suarez	30-11	73.2%	14*	2	15.4%
Rubin/Testud	21-11	65.6%	12	1	8.3%
Callens/Van Roost	18-11	62.1%	12	1	8.3%
Fusai/Tauziat	25-15	62.5%	16	1	6.3%
Huber/Schett	15-6	71.4%	6	0	0.0%
Black/Likhovtseva	12-8	60.0%	8	0	0.0%
Arendt/Bollegraf	24-17	58.5%	17	0	0.0%
Po/Sidot	18-14	56.3%	14	0	0.0%

* Was still active in a tournament (Scottsdale or Amelia Island) which was rained out. These events are not included in calculations of tournament winning percentage.

Head-to-Heads

Team Losses

Head-to-head records in doubles don't mean much. It's a much bigger achievement to beat Rennae Stubbs when she plays with Raymond than when she plays with Dokic. As a result, no attempt is made to compile head-to-heads for doubles. Rather, the following lists show the opponents to whom the top doubles teams have lost this year. The first line of each section shows, in **bold**, the names the doubles team. The number of events played together is in square brackets []. The opponents who beat them, and the event at which this occurred, follows. Note that teams often did not *win* an event even though they are not shown as having a loss. Williams/Williams, for instance, won only one of their two events — they withdrew from the other.

<p>Arendt/Bollegraf [17] Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Ericsson) Kournikova/Zvereva (Hamburg) Coetzer/Morariu (Berlin) Huber/Seles (Rome) Cocheteux/Dechy (Roland Garros) Sugiyama/Tauziat (Eastbourne) Callens/Van Roost (Wimbledon) Coetzer/McNeil (San Diego) Callens/Van Roost (Los Angeles) Davenport/Kournikova (Canadian Open) Garbin/Husarova (U. S. Open) Hingis/Kournikova (Filderstadt) Black/Likhovtseva (Zurich) Mauresmo/Rubin (Linz) Montalvo/Serna (Moscow) Sanchez-Vicario/Sidot (Leipzig) Hingis/Kournikova (Chase)</p> <p>Black/Likhovtseva [8] Davenport/Kournikova (San Diego) Krizan/Selyutina (Los Angeles) Rubin/Testud (Canadian Open) Navratilova/Srebotnik (New Haven) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (U. S. Open) Hingis/Kournikova (Filderstadt) Glass/Lamade (Zurich) Hingis/Kournikova (Chase)</p> <p>Callens/Van Roost [12] Kournikova/Schett (Australian Open) Kournikova/Zvereva (Indian Wells) Jeyaseelan/Labat (Strasbourg) Huber/Schett (Roland Garros) Raymond/Stubbs (Eastbourne) Raymond/Stubbs (Wimbledon) Raymond/Stubbs (New Haven) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (U. S. Open) Carlsson/Jeyaseelan (Filderstadt) Rubin/Schett (Zurich) Arendt/Bollegraf (Chase)</p> <p>Davenport/Morariu [5] Hingis/Pierce (Sydney) Hingis/Pierce (Australian Open) Arendt/Bollegraf (Eastbourne)</p>	<p>Davenport/Kournikova [2] Raymond/Stubbs (San Diego)</p> <p>Davenport/Po [1] Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Philadelphia)</p> <p>Dokic/Stubbs [1] Coetzer/McNeil (Canadian Open)</p> <p>Fusai/Tauziat [16] Callens/Van Roost (Australian Open) Hingis/Pierce (Pan Pacific) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Ericsson) Arendt/Bollegraf (Berlin) Penetta/Vinci (Rome) Cocheteaux/Dechy (Strasbourg) Hingis/Pierce (Roland Garros) Black/Selyutina (Birmingham) Frazier/Schlukebir (Wimbledon) Callens/Van Roost (U. S. Open) Sanchez-Vicario/Schett (Filderstadt) Hingis/Kournikova (Moscow) Nacuk/Pisnik (Leipzig) Hingis/Kournikova (Philadelphia) Callens/Van Roost (Chase)</p> <p>Halard-Decugis/Kournikova [1] <i>None</i></p> <p>Halard-Decugis/Morariu [2] <i>None</i></p> <p>Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama [16] Davenport/Morariu (Australian Open) Arendt/Loit (Indian Wells) Martinez/Sanchez-Vicario (Berlin) Cristea/Dragomir (Rome) Ruano Pascual/Suarez (Roland Garros) Williams/Williams (Wimbledon) Krizan/Selyutina (Los Angeles) Hingis/Tauziat (Canadian Open) Raymond/Stubbs (Philadelphia) Callens/Van Roost (Chase)</p> <p>Halard-Decugis/Testud [1] <i>None</i></p>
---	--

<p>Hingis/Pierce [7] Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Sydney) Raymond/Stubbs (Australian Open) Davenport/Morariu (Indian Wells) Cocheteux/Dechy (Wimbledon)</p> <p>Hingis/Tauziat [2] Callens/Van Roost (Los Angeles)</p> <p>Hingis/Kournikova [5] Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Moscow)</p> <p>Huber/Schett [6] Hingis/Pierce (Indian Wells) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Ericsson) Fusai/Tauziat (Roland Garros) Ruano Pascual/Suarez (Wimbledon) Hingis/Tauziat (Canadian Open) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (U. S. Open)</p> <p>Kournikova/Schett [2] Hingis/Pierce (Sydney) Raymond/Stubbs (Australian Open)</p> <p>Kournikova/Sanchez-Vicario [1] Martinez/Tarabini (Hilton Head)</p> <p>Kournikova/Sugiyama [1] Black/Selyutina (Pan Pacific)</p> <p>Kournikova/Zvereva [6] Davenport/Morariu (Indian Wells) Dokic/Schnyder (Ericsson) Ruano Pascual/Suarez (Roland Garros) Callens/Van Roost (Eastbourne) Williams/Williams (Wimbledon)</p> <p>Likhovtseva/Morariu [2] Arendt/Bollegraf (Ericsson) Martincova/Nacuk (Strasbourg)</p> <p>Montalvo/Suarez [4] <i>None</i></p> <p>Pierce/Raymond [1] Huber/Schett (Ericsson)</p> <p>Po/Sidot [14] Courtois/Sanchez-Vicario (Ericsson) Sanchez-Vicario/Serna (Rome) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Roland Garros) Callens/Molik (Birmingham) Raymond/Stubbs (Eastbourne) de Swardt/Navratilova (Wimbledon) Raymond/Stubbs (San Diego) Callens/Van Roost (Los Angeles) Hingis/Tauziat (Canadian Open) Raymond/Stubbs (U. S. Open) Carlsson/Maleeva (Luxembourg) Black/Likhovtseva (Filderstadt) Hingis/Kournikova (Zurich) Muresmo/Rubin (Linz)</p>	<p>Raymond/Stubbs [17] Po/Testud (Sydney) Hingis/Pierce (Pan Pacific) Capriati/Seles (Indian Wells) Ruano Pascual/Suarez (Amelia Island) Ruano Pascual/Suarez (Hilton Head) Huber/Schett (Roland Garros) Sugiyama/Tauziat (Eastbourne) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Wimbledon) Arendt/Bollegraf (Los Angeles) Ruano Pascual/Suarez (New Haven) Black/Likhovtseva (U. S. Open) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Moscow) Hingis/Kournikova (Philadelphia) Hingis/Kournikova (Chase)</p> <p>Ruano Pascual/Suarez [14] Schwartz/Wartusch (Auckland) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Sydney) Jeyaseelan/Schnyder (Australian Open) Kournikova/Zvereva (Indian Wells) Pierce/Raymond (Ericsson) Hingis/Pierce (Roland Garros) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Wimbledon) Po/Sidot (Canadian Open) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (New Haven) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (U. S. Open) Osterloh/Stevenson (U. S. Open) Arendt/Bollegraf (Chase)</p> <p>Rubin/Testud [12] Labat/Tanasugarn (Australian Open) Fusai/Tauziat (Pan Pacific) Kournikova/Zvereva (Indian Wells) Ruano Pascual/Suarez (Amelia Island) Arendt/Bollegraf (Berlin) Muresmo/Zvereva (Rome) Horn/Montalvo (Roland Garros) Boogert/Oremans (Wimbledon) Raymond/Stubbs (San Diego) Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama (Canadian Open) Williams/Williams (U. S. Open)</p> <p>Williams/Williams [2] <i>None</i></p>
--	--

Alternate Doubles Rankings

For explanations of these rankings, see the equivalent section in singles. Because quality points are far less important in doubles (constituting roughly 20% of a player's total, rather than nearly 40% as in singles), we calculate only the 1996 rankings. Some of these results are slightly approximate.

Rankings under the 1996 Ranking System (Divisor, Minimum 14)

1996 Rank	Player	Score	WTA Rank
1	Hingis, Martina	250	3
2	Halard-Decugis, Julie	196	1
3	Sugiyama, Ai	173	2
4	Kournikova, Anna	155	4
5	Raymond, Lisa	146	5
6	Pierce, Mary	145	9
7	Stubbs, Rennae	144	6
8	Suarez, Paola	120	7
9	Ruano Pascual, Virginia	119	10
10	Morariu, Corina	111	14
11	Schett, Barbara	106	12
12	Tauziat, Nathalie	95	8
13	Zvereva, Natasha	90	25
14	Sanchez-Vicario, Arantxa	89	16
15	Van Roost, Dominique	88	21
16	Testud, Sandrine	87	23
17	Bollegraf, Manon	86	17
18	Davenport, Lindsay	84	26
19	Black, Cara	80	13
20	Martinez, Conchita	80	29
21	Rubin, Chanda	78	22
22	Callens, Els	78	15
23	Arendt, Nicole	76	11
24	Fusai, Alexandra	71	19
25	Po, Kimberly	62	20
26	Sidot, Anne-Gaelle	62	24
27	Coetzer, Amanda	61	27
28	Likhovtseva, Elena	60	18
29	Huber, Anke	59	40
30	Montalvo, Laura	54	28
31	Clijsters, Kim	52	48
32	Carlsson, Åsa	51	30
33	Tarabini, Patricia	51	34
34	Horn, Liezel	47	43
35	Mauresmo, Amelie	46	53
36	Srebotnik, Katarina	46	33
37	Selyutina, Irina	46	31
38	Capriati, Jennifer	45	58
39	McNeil, Lori	44	59
40	Déchy, Nathalie	43	61

Combined Singles and Doubles Rankings

A total of 54 players are in the Top 100 in both singles and doubles. The following list rankings them according to their *combined* singles and doubles rankings.

Combined ordinal	Player	Singles Rank	Doubles Rank	Combined Total
1	Hingis, Martina	1	3	4
2	Kournikova, Anna	8	4	12
3	Halard-Decugis, Julie	15	1	16
	Pierce, Mary	7	9	16
5	Tauziat, Nathalie	10	8	18
6	Sanchez-Vicario, Arantx	9	16	25
7	Davenport, Lindsay	2	26	28
8	Martinez, Conchita	5	29	34
9	Rubin, Chanda	13	22	35
	Schett, Barbara	23	12	35
	Sugiyama, Ai	33	2	35
12	Raymond, Lisa	31	5	36
13	Coetzer, Amanda	11	27	38
14	Likhovtseva, Elena	21	18	39
15	Testud, Sandrine	17	23	40
16	Suarez, Paola	37	7	44
17	Van Roost, Dominique	24	21	45
18	Black, Cara	43	13	56
19	Huber, Anke	19	40	59
20	Sidot, Anne-Gaelle	36	24	60
21	Clijsters, Kim	18	48	66
	Morariu, Corina	52	14	66
23	Mauresmo, Amélie	16	53	69
24	Capriati, Jennifer	14	58	72
	Schnyder, Patty	25	47	72
26	Shaughnessy, Meghann	39	36	75
27	Dokic, Jelena	26	51	77
28	Frazier, Amy	20	60	80
29	Dragomir, Ruxandra	45	41	86
30	Carlsson, Asa	57	30	87
31	Déchy, Nathalie	27	61	88
32	Garbin, Tathiana	40	52	92
	Pratt, Nicole	55	37	92
34	Jeyaseelan, Sonya	49	49	98
35	Ruano Pascual, Virginia	89	10	99
	Tanasugarn, Tamarine	29	70	99
37	Serna, Magui	38	63	101

38	Zvereva, Natasha	79	25	104
39	Boogert, Kristie	66	39	105
40	Oremans, Miriam	64	45	109
41	Grande, Rita	78	35	113
42	Appelmans, Sabine	50	78	128
43	Farina Elia, Silvia	63	65	128
	Habsudova, Karina	86	42	128
45	Poutchek, Tatiana	87	72	159
46	Jidkova, Alina	84	76	160
47	Torrens Valero, Cristin	94	71	165
48	Pisnik, Tina	76	91	167
49	Casoni, Giulia	91	79	170
50	Bacheva, Lubomira	98	73	171
	Tulyaganova, Iroda	75	96	171
52	Nacuk, Sandra	100	75	175
53	Stevenson, Alexandra	93	83	176
	Wartusch, Patricia	90	86	176

The following Top 30 singlies players are not in the Top 100 in doubles: Brandi, Dementieva, Maleeva, Seles, Talaja, Serena Williams, Venus Williams. The following Top 30 doubles players are not in the Top 100 in singles: Arendt, Bollegraf, Callens, Fusai, Montalvo, Po, Stubbs.

WTA Calendar for 2000 • Events and Results

The list below summarized the results of all Tour events in 2000. Tournaments are arranged by dates. The first item for each tournament lists the location, the surface, and the Tier. The next line gives the score of the singles final. This the names of the two semifinalists follow, then a list of seeds, with ankings and results. For tournaments of Tiers III and IV, only the top two seeds are mentioned. For tournaments of Tier II and higher, four seeds are listed if the event has a 28-draw; otherwise, the top eight seeds are mentioned. This is followed by a list of noteworthy upsets (if any; in general, if no Top 30 players are upset, or if the top players in the event lose to other top players, no upsets will be listed), and then by significant historical facts about the event.

Jan. 3-9

<p><i>Gold Coast • Hard • Tier III</i> Silvija Talaja d. Conchita Martinez 6-0 0-6 6-4 Semifinalists: Nathalie Déchy (6), Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (4) #1 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#9; lost 2R) #2 seed: Anna Kournikova (#12; lost QF) Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Kournikova Major Upsets: Talaja defeats Kournikova, Martinez, Sanchez-Vicario Historical Significance: Talaja's first career title</p>	<p><i>Auckland, New Zealand • Hard • Tier IVB</i> Anne Kremer d. Cara Black 6-4 6-4 Semifinalists: Amanda Hopmans, Meghann Shaughnessy #1 seed: Elena Likhovtseva (#18; lost QF) #2 seed: Anne Kremer (#31; won tournament) Doubles champions: Black/Fusai Major Upsets: — Historical Significance: Kremer's first career title</p>
---	--

Jan. 10-16

<p><i>Sydney, Australia • Hard • Tier II</i> Amelie Mauresmo d. Lindsay Davenport 7-6 (7-2) 6-4 Semifinalists: Martina Hingis (1), Anna Kournikova (8) #1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1, lost SF) #2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost F) #3 seed: Mary Pierce (#5; lost QF) #4 seed: Barbara Schett (#8; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama Major Upsets: Mauresmo defeats Hingis, Davenport Historical Significance: Mauresmo's first Tier II title</p>	<p><i>Hobart, Australia • Hard • Tier IVB</i> Kim Clijsters d. Chanda Rubin 2-6 6-2 6-2 Semifinalists: Amy Frazier (1), Ma. Alej. Vento (Q) #1 seed: Amy Frazier (#19; lost SF) #2 seed: Ruxandra Dragomir (#20; lost 1R) Doubles champions: Grande/Loit Major Upsets: Clijsters upsets Dragomir, Pitkowski, Rubin Historical Significance: Second title for Clijsters</p>
--	---

Jan. 17-30

Australian Open • Hard • Slam

Lindsay Davenport d. Martina Hingis 6-1 7-5

Semifinalists: Conchita Martinez (10), Jennifer Capriati

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost F)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; won)

#3 seed: Serena Williams (#4; lost R16)

#4 seed: Mary Pierce (#5; lost R16)

Doubles champions: Raymond/Stubbs

Major Upsets: Likhovtseva defeats Serena Williams; Sugiyama defeats Pierce; Schnyder defeats Mauresmo. Jeyaseelan defeats Tauziat.

Historical Significance: Davenport's first Australian Open breaks 21-match string at Melbourne for Hingis

#5 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#8; lost 2R)

#6 seed: Barbara Schett (#9; lost R16)

#7 seed: Amélie Mauresmo (#6; lost 2R)

#8 seed: Amanda Coetzer (#10; lost 2R)

Jan. 31-Feb. 6*Pan Pacific Open, Tokyo • Indoor • Tier I*

Martina Hingis d. Sandrine Testud 6-3 7-5

Semifinalists: Chanda Rubin (7), Katarina Srebotnik

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; won)

#2 seed: Mary Pierce (#5; lost 2R)

Doubles champions: Hingis/Pierce

Major Upsets: Lilia Osterloh defeats Mary Pierce

Historical Significance: Hingis's first title of 2000, first Tier I, and first title defense in 2000. Testud's first Tier I final.

#3 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#6; lost QF)

#4 seed: Amanda Coetzer (#12; lost QF)

Feb. 7-13

<i>Paris, France • Indoor • Tier II</i> Nathalie Tauziat d. Serena Williams 7-5 6-2 Semifinalists: Julie Halard-Decugis (3), Anna Kournikova (4) #1 seed: Serena Williams (#4; lost F) #2 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#6; won) #3 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#8; lost SF) #4 seed: Anna Kournikova (#11; lost SF) Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Testud Major Upsets: Tauziat defeats Serena Williams Historical Significance: Tauziat's best title in France	<i>Bogota, Colombia • Clay • Tier IVA</i> Patricia Wartusch d. Tathiana Garbin 4-6 6-1 6-4 Semifinalists: Sylvia Plischke (1), Rita Kuti Kis #1 seed: Sylvia Plischke (#37; lost SF) #2 seed: Fabiola Zuluaga (#43; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Montalvo/Suarez Major Upsets: — Historical Significance: Wartusch's first career title
--	---

Feb. 14-20

<i>Hannover, Germany • Indoor • Tier II</i> Serena Williams d. Denisa Chladkova 6-1 6-1 Semifinalists: Amélie Mauresmo (3), Anne-Gaëlle Sidot #1 seed: Serena Williams (#4; won) #2 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#5; lost 2R) #3 seed: Amélie Mauresmo (#14; lost SF) #4 seed: Elena Likhovtseva (#15; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Farina/Habsudova Major Upsets: Boogert defeats Nathalie Tauziat Historical Significance: Serena's first title of 2000	<i>São Paulo, Brazil • Clay • Tier IVA</i> Rita Kuti Kis d. Paola Suarez 4-6 6-4 7-5 Semifinalists: Joannette Kruger, Emanuelle Gagliardi #1 seed: Sylvia Plischke (#33; lost QF) #2 seed: Fabiola Zuluaga (#43; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Montalvo/Suarez Major Upsets: — Historical Significance: Kuti Kis's first career title
--	--

Feb. 21-27*Oklahoma City, USA • Indoor • Tier III*

Monica Seles d. Nathalie Déchy 6-1 7-6 (7-3)

Semifinalists: Rita Grande, Amanda Coetzer (3)

#1 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#7; withdrew before opening match and replaced by Lucky Loser Tu)

#2 seed: Monica Seles (#14; won)

Doubles champions: Tanasugarn/Tatarkova

Major Upsets: Nathalie Déchy defeats Amy Frazier and reaches final

Historical Significance: Monica Seles, out of the Top Ten due to injury, wins her first title of 2000 and moves up to #9.

Feb. 28-Mar. 5*Scottsdale, Arizona • Hard • Tier II*Martina Hingis vs. Lindsay Davenport — *Rained Out*

Semifinalists: Mary Pierce (3), Anna Kournikova (6)

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; in rained-out final)

#3 seed: Mary Pierce (#6; lost SF)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; in rained-out final)

#4 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#8; lost 2R)

Doubles champions: *doubles SF and F rained out*

Major Upsets: Nathalie Déchy defeats Conchita Martinez

Historical Significance: First rained out event since Birmingham 1998 leaves Davenport and Hingis both with active winning streaks and prevents their second meeting of 2000.

Mar. 10-18*Indian Wells, California, USA • Hard • Tier I*

Lindsay Davenport d. Martina Hingis 4-6 6-4 6-0

Semifinalists: Mary Pierce (5), Elena Dementieva

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost F)

#5 seed: Mary Pierce (#6; lost SF)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; won)

#6 seed: Conchita Martinez (#7; lost QF)

#3 seed: Serena Williams (#4; lost QF)

#7 seed: Monica Seles (#8; lost QF)

#4 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#5; lost 3R)

#8 seed: Anna Kournikova (#9; lost 3R)

Doubles champions: Davenport/Morariu

Major Upsets: Elena Dementieva defeats Anke Huber, Nathalie Déchy, Chanda Rubin. Mary Pierce defeats Serena Williams.

Historical Significance: Dementieva has first major Tour result. Davenport defeats Hingis again to run win streak to 16.

Mar. 23-Apr. 2*Ericsson Open • Hard • Tier I*

Martina Hingis d. Lindsay Davenport 6-3 6-2

Semifinalists: Monica Seles (8), Sandrine Testud (13)

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; won)

#5 seed: Serena Williams (#6; lost R16)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost F)

#6 seed: Conchita Martinez (#7; lost R16)

#3 seed: Mary Pierce (#4; lost 2R/retired)

#7 seed: Monica Seles (#8; lost SF)

#4 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#5; lost 3R)

#8 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#9; lost 2R)

Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama

Major Upsets: Jennifer Capriati defeats Serena Williams. Dementieva beats Pierce when Pierce withdraws.

Historical Significance: Hingis beats Davenport for her first Tour victory over Davenport since 1998. Davenport's win streak ends at 21. Hingis's second Tier I victory of 2000. Despite losing, Davenport becomes #1.

Apr. 10-16

<p><i>Amelia Island, USA • (Green) Clay • Tier II</i> Monica Seles d. Conchita Martinez 6-3 6-2 Semifinalists: Elena Likhovtseva (12), Paola Suarez #1 seed: Mary Pierce (#4; lost QF) #2 seed: Serena Williams (#6; lost 2R/retired) #3 seed: Monica Seles (#9; won) #4 seed: Conchita Martinez (#8; lost F) #5 seed: Sandrine Testud (#10; lost 2R) #6 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#11; lost QF) #7 seed: Anna Kournikova (#14; lost QF) #8 seed: Barbara Schett (#13; lost QF) Doubles champions: <i>SF and F rained out</i> Major Upsets: Likhovtseva defeats Pierce. Suarez defeats Kournikova and Serena Williams. Historical Significance: Seles wins second title of 2000. Seles is second player to defend a title.</p>	<p><i>Estoril, Portugal • Clay • Tier IVA</i> Anke Huber d. Nathalie Déchy 6-2 1-6 7-5 Semifinalists: Tathiana Garbin, Sylvia Farina #1 seed: Anke Huber (#16; won) #2 seed: Nathalie Déchy (#22; lost F) Doubles champions: Hopmans/Torrens Valero Major Upsets: Tathiana Garbin defeats Anne-Gaelle Sidot (3), Rita Kuti Kis (7) Historical Significance: Huber's first title since 1996.</p>
---	---

Apr. 17-23

<p><i>Hilton Head, USA • (Green) Clay • Tier I</i> Mary Pierce d. Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario 6-1 6-0 Semifinalists: Monica Seles (3), Conchita Martinez (8) #1 seed: Mary Pierce (#4; won) #2 seed: Conchita Martinez (#8; lost SF) #3 seed: Monica Seles (#7; lost SF) #4 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#10; lost F) #5 seed: Barbara Schett (#12; lost 2R) #6 seed: Anna Kournikova (#14; lost 3R) #7 seed: Amy Frazier (#22; lost 3R) #8 seed: Amanda Coetzer (#19; lost QF) Doubles champions: Ruano Pascual/Suarez Major Upsets: Pierce defeats Seles Historical Significance: Pierce's first Tier I title since 1998.</p>	<p><i>Budapest, Hungary • Clay • Tier IVB</i> Tathiana Garbin d. Kristie Boogert 6-2 7-6 (7-4) Semifinalists: Angeles Montolio (4), Sarah Pitkowski (2) #1 seed: Sylvia Plischke (#30; lost 2R) #2 seed: Sarah Pitkowski (#32; lost SF) Doubles champions: Bacheva/Torrens Valero Major Upsets: Garbin defeats seeds Torrens Valero (#5), Pitkowski (#2), Montolio (#4) Historical Significance: Garbin's first career title.</p>
---	---

May 1-7

<p><i>Hamburg, Germany • Clay • Tier II</i> Martina Hingis d. Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario 6-3 6-3 Semifinalists: Anke Huber (7), Amanda Coetzer (8) #1 seed: Martina Hingis (#2; won) #2 seed: Venus Williams (#3; lost QF) #3 seed: Conchita Martinez (#8; lost QF) #4 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#11; lost F) Doubles champions: Kournikova/Zvereva Major Upsets: Amanda Coetzer def. Venus Williams Historical Significance: Hingis's third title of 2000 allows her to regain #1 ranking</p>	<p><i>Bol, Croatia • Clay • Tier III</i> Tina Pisnik d. Amélie Mauresmo 7-6 (7-4) 7-6 (7-2) Semifinalists: Gala Leon Garcia, Ma. Ant. Sanchez Lorenzo #1 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#9; lost 2R) #2 seed: Sandrine Testud (#10; lost QF) Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Morariu Major Upsets: Pisnik defeats Mauresmo; Leon Garcia defeats #1 seed Julie Halard-Decugis and #7 Paola Suarez Historical Significance: Pisnik's first career title</p>
--	--

May 8-14

<p><i>Berlin, Germany • Clay • Tier I</i> Conchita Martinez d. Amanda Coetzer 6-1 6-2 Semifinalists: Martina Hingis (1), Joannette Kruger #1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost SF) #2 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#3; lost 2R) #3 seed: Conchita Martinez (#8; won) #4 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#10; lost R16) #5 seed: Sandrine Testud (#11; lost QF) #6 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#9; lost 2R) #7 seed: Anna Kournikova (#14; lost 2R) #8 seed: Anke Huber (#12; lost QF) Doubles champions: Martinez/Sanchez-Vicario Major Upsets: Martinez def. Hingis. Historical Significance: Martinez's first Tier I win since the same event in 1998.</p>	<p><i>Warsaw, Poland • Clay • Tier IVB</i> Henrieta Nagyova d. Amanda Hopmans 2-6 6-4 7-5 Semifinalists: Jennifer Hopkins, Miriam Oremans #1 seed: Elena Likhovtseva (#17; lost 1R) #2 seed: Kristina Brandi (#37; lost 1R) Doubles champions: Garbin/Husarova Major Upsets: Torrens Valero defeats Likhovtseva Historical Significance: First of three titles in 2000 for Nagyova</p>
---	--

May 15-21

<p><i>Rome, Italy • Clay • Tier I</i> Monica Seles d. Amelie Mauresmo 6-2 7-6 (7-4) Semifinalists: Corina Morariu, Fabiola Zuluaga (Q) #1 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#1; withdrew in R16) #2 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#6; lost QF) #3 seed: Venus Williams (#4; lost R16) #4 seed: Mary Pierce (#5; lost R16) #5 seed: Monica Seles (#7; won) #6 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#10; lost QF) #7 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#14; lost R16) #8 seed: Sandrine Testud (#9; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Raymond/Stubbs Major Upsets: Dokic defeats Venus Williams. Zuluaga defeats Talaja, Halard-Decugis, Tauziat. Mauresmo defeats Pierce, Sanchez-Vicario Historical Significance: Mauresmo's first Tier I final. Seles's third and last title of 2000</p>	<p><i>Antwerp, Belgium • Clay • Tier IVA</i> Amanda Coetzer d. Cristina Torrens-Valero 4-6 6-2 6-3 Semifinalists: Laurence Courtois, Jelena Kostanic #1 seed: Amanda Coetzer (#11; won) #2 seed: Sabine Appelmans (#25; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Appelmans/Clijsters Major Upsets: Bacheva defeats Clijsters Historical Significance: Coetzer's first title since 1998.</p>
--	--

May 22-27

<p><i>Strasbourg, France • Clay • Tier III</i> Silvija Talaja d. Rita Kuti Kis 7-5 4-6 6-3 Semifinalists: Nathalie Déchy (4), Anna Smashnova #1 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#7; lost QF) #2 seed: Jennifer Capriati (#16; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Jeyaseelan/Labat Major Upsets: Garbin defeats Tauziat, Smashnova defeats Van Roost, Kuti Kis defeats Capriati Historical Significance: Talaja's second title of 2000.</p>	<p><i>Madrid, Spain • Clay • Tier III</i> Gala Leon Garcia d. Fabiola Zuluaga 4-6 6-2 6-2 Semifinalists: Iva Majoli (WC), Virginia Ruano Pascual (WC) #1 seed: Mary Pierce (#6; lost 2R) #2 seed: Amy Frazier (#23; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Raymond/Stubbs Major Upsets: Di Natale defeats Pierce (biggest upset of 2000). Ruano Pascual defeats Frazier Historical Significance: Leon Garcia's first career title</p>
---	--

May 29-June 11

French Open/Roland Garros • Clay • Slam

Mary Pierce d. Conchita Martinez 6-2 7-5

Semifinalists: Martina Hingis (1), Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (8)

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost SF)

#5 seed: Conchita Martinez (#5; lost F)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost 1R)

#6 seed: Mary Pierce (#7; won)

#3 seed: Monica Seles (#3; lost QF)

#7 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#6; lost 3R)

#4 seed: Venus Williams (#4; lost QF)

#8 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#9; lost SF)

Doubles champions: Hingis/Pierce

Major Upsets: Pierce defeats Seles, Hingis. Van Roost defeats Davenport. Rubin defeats Tauziat.

Historical Significance: Pierce's second career Slam. Hingis fails for the third time to complete her Career Slam, but by winning the doubles with Pierce, earns a Slam doubles title for the fifth consecutive year.

June 12-18

<i>Birmingham, England • Grass • Tier III</i> Lisa Raymond d. Tamarine Tanasugarn 6-2 6-7 (7-9) 6-4 Semifinalists: Nathalie Tauziat (1), Cara Black #1 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#7; lost SF) #2 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#17; lost QF) Doubles champions: McQuillan/McShea Major Upsets: Raymond defeats Tauziat, Tanasugarn defeats Halard-Decugis Historical Significance: Raymond's second career title	<i>Tashkent, Uzbekistan • Hard • Tier IVA</i> Iroda Tulyaganova d. Francesca Schiavone 6-3 2-6 6-3 Semifinalists: Jing-Qian Yi (4), Sarah Pitkowski (2) #1 seed: Anna Smashnova (#63; lost 1R) #2 seed: Sarah Pitkowski (#48; withdrew from SF) Doubles champions: Tulyaganova/Zaporozhanova Major Upsets: — Historical Significance: Tournament has no Top 40 players. Tulyaganova's first career title earns her 7 quality points.
---	---

June 19-25

<i>Eastbourne, England • Grass • Tier II</i> Julie Halard-Decugis d. Dominique Van Roost, 7-6 (7-4) 6-4 Semifinalists: Anne Kremer, Chanda Rubin (8) #1 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost 2R) #2 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#7; lost QF) #3 seed: Amanda Coetzer (#12; lost QF) #4 seed: Anna Kournikova (#17; lost QF) Doubles champions: Sugiyama/Tauziat Major Upsets: Van Roost defeats Davenport. Halard-Decugis defeats Tauziat. Historical Significance: Halard-Decugis's last Tier II title. Davenport's second consecutive bad loss.	<i>'s-Hertogenbosch, Netherlands • Grass • Tier III</i> Martina Hingis d. Ruxandra Dragomir 6-2 3-0, retired Semifinalists: Jennifer Capriati (4), Kristina Brandi #1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; won) #2 seed: Sandrine Testud (#10; lost QF) Doubles champions: De Lone/Pratt Major Upsets: Dragomir defeats Testud. Historical Significance: Hingis's fourth title of 2000 gives her the "surface sweep" — titles on all four surfaces. Hingis will be the only player to do this in 2000.
---	--

June 26-July 9

Wimbledon • Grass • Slam

Venus Williams d. Lindsay Davenport 6-3 7-6 (7-3)

Semifinalists: Serena Williams (8), Jelena Dokic

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost QF)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost F)

#3 seed: Mary Pierce (#3; lost 2R)

#4 seed: Conchita Martinez (#4; lost 2R)

Doubles champions: Williams/Williams

Major Upsets: Kristie Boogert defeats Julie Halard-Decugis. Kim Clijsters defeats Nathalie Tauziat. Sonya Jeyaseelan defeats Conshita Martinez. Magui Serna defeats Mary Pierce. Gala Leon Garcia defeats Amélie Mauresmo. Olga Barabanschikova defeats Barbara Schett. Lilia Osterloh defeats Amanda Coetzer.

Historical Significance: First Slam for Venus Williams, and first event of 29-match win streak. Only Hingis's second lost before the semifinal in sixteen straight Slams. Last tour event for Irina Spirlea.

#5 seed: Venus Williams (#5; won)

#6 seed: Monica Seles (#6; lost QF)

#7 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#7; lost 1R)

#8 seed: Serena Williams (#8; lost SF)

July 10-16

<p>Klagenfurt, Austria • Clay • Tier III Barbara Schett d. Patty Schnyder 5-7 6-4 6-4 Semifinalists: Angeles Montolio (6), Adriana Gersi #1 seed: Barbara Schett (#19; won) #2 seed: Patty Schnyder (#32; lost F) Doubles champions: Montalvo/Suarez Major Upsets: — Historical Significance: Schett's third career title is her second in Austria and her first above Tier IV. All three have taken place on clay in the weeks after Wimbledon</p>	<p>Palermo, Italy • Clay • Tier IVB Henrieta Nagyova d. Pavlina Nola 6-3 7-5 Semifinalists: Silvia Farina (5), Anna Smashnova (6) #1 seed: Silvija Talaja (#21; lost 1R) #2 seed: Ruxandra Dragomir (#28; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Farina/Grande Major Upsets: Diaz-Oliva defeats Talaja Historical Significance: Nagyova's second title of 2000</p>
---	---

July 17-23

<p>Sopot, Poland • Clay • Tier III Anke Huber d. Gala Leon Garcia 7-6 (7-4) 6-3 Semifinalists: Paola Suarez, Anastasia Myskina (8) #1 seed: Conchita Martinez (#5; lost QF) #2 seed: Anke Huber (#10; won) Doubles champions: Ruano Pascual/Suarez Major Upsets: Leon Garcia defeats Martinez; Myskina defeats Schett; Suarez defeats Schnyder Historical Significance: Huber's second clay title of 2000. First time she has won two clay events in a year, though both titles are small.</p>	<p>Knokke-Heist, Belgium • Clay • Tier IVB Anna Smashnova d. Dominique Van Roost 6-2 7-5 Semifinalists: Magui Serna (3), Marta Marrero (8) #1 seed: Dominique Van Roost (#16) #2 seed: Sabine Appelmans (#26; lost QF) Doubles champions: Casoni/Tulyaganova Major Upsets: Marrero defeats Appelmans. Smashnova defeats Van Roost. Historical Significance: Smashnova's second career title. Van Roost's last career final.</p>
--	---

July 24-30

Stanford, California • Hard • Tier II

Venus Williams d. Lindsay Davenport 6-1 6-4

Semifinalists: Monica Seles (4), Anna Kournikova (9)

#1 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost F)

#2 seed: Venus Williams (#3; won)

Doubles champions: Rubin/Testud

Major Upsets: Black defeats Coetzer; Kournikova defeats Testud.

Historical Significance: Venus Williams defeats Davenport for the second straight time, extending her winning streak to 11.

#3 seed: (Pierce withdrew. Kournikova #9 seed.)

#4 seed: Monica Seles (#5; lost SF)

July 31-Aug. 6

San Diego, California • Hard • Tier II

Venus Williams d. Monica Seles 6-0 6-7 (3-7) 6-3

Semifinalists: Amy Frazier, Anna Kournikova

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost QF)

#3 seed: V. Williams (#3; won)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost 2R)

#4 seed: Monica Seles (#5; lost F)

Doubles champions: Raymond/Stubbs

Major Upsets: Frazier defeats Hingis (Hingis's only loss of 2000 to a non-Top Ten player). Kournikova defeats Davenport.

Historical Significance: Venus's third straight title extends her winning streak to fifteen.

August 7-13

Los Angeles • Hard • Tier II

Serena Williams d. Lindsay Davenport 4-6 6-4 7-6 (7-1)

Semifinalists: Martina Hingis (1), Elena Dementieva

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost SF)

#3 seed: (Seles withdrew. Brandi Lucky Loser)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost F)

#4 seed: Conchita Martinez (#6; lost QF)

Doubles champions: Callens/Van Roost

Major Upsets: Serena Williams defeats Martinez, Hingis, and Davenport.

Historical Significance: Serena Williams wins her second title and becomes the third player to defend a title in 2000.

August 14-20

Canadian Open/Montreal • Hard • Tier I

Martina Hingis d. Serena Williams 0-6 6-3 3-0, retired

Semifinalists: Conchita Martinez (3), Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (7)

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; won)

#5 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#8; lost 2R)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost R16/retired)

#6 seed: Anke Huber (#10; lost R16)

#3 seed: Conchita Martinez (#6; lost SF)

#7 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#9; lost SF)

#4 seed: Serena Williams (#7; lost F/retired)

#8 seed: Sandrine Testud (#11; lost QF)

Doubles champions: Hingis/Tauziat

Major Upsets: Maleeva defeats Davenport (Davenport injured).

Historical Significance: Hingis wins her fifth title of 2000, and becomes the first player to defend two titles in 2000.

It is her third Tier I title of 2000.

August 21-27

New Haven, Connecticut • Hard • Tier II

Venus Williams d. Monica Seles 6-2 6-4

Semifinalists: Amanda Coetzer (5), Nathalie Tauziat (8)

#1 seed: Venus Williams (#3; won)

#3 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#8; lost SF)

#2 seed: Monica Seles (#6; lost F)

#4 seed: Anke Huber (#10; lost QF)

Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama

Major Upsets: —

Historical Significance: Venus wins her fourth consecutive title, extending her winning streak to nineteen.

August 28- September 10

U. S. Open • Hard • Slam

Venus Williams d. Lindsay Davenport 6-4 7-5

Semifinalists: Martina Hingis (1), Elena Dementieva

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost SF)

#2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost F)

#3 seed: Venus Williams (#3; won)

#4 seed: Mary Pierce (#4; lost R16/retired)

Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama

Major Upsets: Dementieva Does It Again: defeats Martinez and Huber to reach semifinal. In an upset on this surface, Tauziat defeats Sanchez-Vicario to reach the quarterfinal, her best-ever showing at a hardcourt Slam.

Historical Significance: Venus's second Slam extends her winning streak to 26.

September 25-October 1

Luxembourg • Indoor • Tier III

Jennifer Capriati d. Magdalena Maleeva 4-6 6-1 6-4

Semifinalists: Barbara Rittner, Anna Kournikova (2)

#1 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#7; lost 2R)

#2 seed: Anna Kournikova (#13; lost SF)

Doubles champions: Fusai/Tauziat

Major Upsets: Hantuchova defeats Tauziat. Maleeva defeats Kournikova.

Historical Significance: Capriati's first title of 2000.

October 2-8

Filderstadt, Germany • Indoor • Tier II

Martina Hingis d. Kim Clijsters 6-0 6-3

Semifinalists: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (4), Nathalie Tauziat (3)

#1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; won)

#2 seed: Conchita Martinez (#6; lost QF)

#3 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#7; lost SF)

#4 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#9; lost SF)

Doubles champions: Hingis/Kournikova

Major Upsets: Clijsters defeats Kournikova, Martinez, Tauziat

Historical Significance: Hingis's sixth title of 2000, and her third title defence. It is her fourth Filderstadt title in five years. The doubles team of Hingis/Kournikova comes back together and wins their first title of 2000.

Princess Cup/Tokyo • Hard • Tier II

Serena Williams d. Julie Halard-Decugis 7-5 6-1

Semifinalists: Monica Seles (5), Daja Bedanova (Q)

#1 seed: Monica Seles (#5; lost SF/retired)

#2 seed: Serena Williams (#8; won)

#3 seed: Amélie Mauresmo (#15; lost 2R)

#4 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#19; lost F)

Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama

Major Upsets: Halard-Decugis defeats an injured Seles for Seles's only non-Top-Ten loss of the year. Bedanova defeats Mauresmo and Frazier.

Historical Significance: Serena's third title of 2000.

October 8-15

<p><i>Zurich, Switzerland • Indoor • Tier I</i> Martina Hingis d. Lindsay Davenport 6-4 4-6 7-5 Semifinalists: Jennifer Capriati (6), Barbara Schett #1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; won) #2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost F) #3 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#7; lost QF) #4 seed: Anna Kournikova (#13; lost QF) Doubles champions: Hingis/Kournikova Major Upsets: Schett defeats Coetzer, Tauziat Historical Significance: Hingis beats Davenport for the second time in 2000 to win her home tournament for the first time. It is her seventh title of 2000. Davenport has now gone eleven straight events without a title.</p>	<p>Japan Open/Tokyo • Hard • Tier III Julie Halard-Decugis d. Amy Frazier 5-7 7-5 6-4 Semifinalists: Tamarine Tanasugarn (5), Joannette Kruger #1 seed: Julie Halard-Decugis (#18; won) #2 seed: Amy Frazier (#20; lost final) Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Morariu Major Upsets: — Historical Significance: Halard-Decugis wins the final title of her career.</p>
--	--

October 16-22

<p><i>Linz, Austria • Indoor • Tier II</i> Lindsay Davenport d. Venus Williams 6-4 3-6 6-2 Semifinalists: Kveta Hrdlickova, Chanda Rubin (5) #1 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; won) #2 seed: Venus Williams (#3; lost F) #3 seed: (Pierce (?)) withdrew. Rubin took place as #5 seed. Schnyder #9 seed) #4 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#15; lost SF) Doubles champions: Mauresmo/Rubin Major Upsets: Hrdlickova defeats Mauresmo, Tauziat Historical Significance: Davenport breaks her title drought and ends the winning streak of Venus Williams at 29.</p>	<p><i>Shanghai, China • Hard • Tier IVA</i> Meghann Shaughnessy d. Iroda Tulyaganova 7-6 (7-2) 7-5 Semifinalists: Pavlina Nola, Tamarine Tanasugarn (3) #1 seed: Kristina Brandi (#31; lost QF) #2 seed: Jelena Dokic (#29; lost QF) Doubles champions: Osterloh/Tanasugarn Major Upsets: Tulyaganova defeats Dokic Historical Significance: Shaughnessy's first career title.</p>
--	--

October 23-29

<p><i>Moscow, Russia • Indoor • Tier I</i> Martina Hingis d. Anna Kournikova 6-3 6-1 Semifinalists: Amélie Mauresmo (5), Nathalie Tauziat (2) #1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; won) #2 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#8; lost SF) #3 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#9; lost 2R) #4 seed: Anna Kournikova (#11; lost F) Doubles champions: Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama Major Upsets: Maleeva defeats Sanchez-Vicario. Kournikova defeats Tauziat for her third career final. Historical Significance: Hingis wins her eighth title of 2000, the most by any player since she herself won twelve in 1997. It is her fifth Tier I title of the year, and makes her the only active player to win all nine Tier I events in her career. Hingis/Kournikova lose the doubles final for their only loss of the year.</p>	<p><i>Bratislava, Slovakia • Indoor • Tier IVB</i> Daja Bedanova d. Miriam Oremans 6-1 5-7 6-3 Semifinalists: Denisa Chladkova (4), Karina Habsudova (WC) #1 seed: Anne-Gaëlle Sidot (#30; lost QF) #2 seed: Anne Kremer (#27; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Habsudova/Hantuchova Major Upsets: Bedanova defeats Hénin. From there on, it's easy. Historical Significance: Bedanova's first career title.</p>
--	---

Oct. 30-Nov. 5

<p><i>Leipzig, Germany • Indoor • Tier II</i> Kim Clijsters d. Elena Likhovtseva 7-6 (8-6) 4-6 6-4 Semifinalists: Nathalie Tauziat (1), Anna Kournikova (3) #1 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#8; lost SF) #2 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#9; lost 2R) #3 seed: Anna Kournikova (#10; lost SF) #4 seed: Elena Dementieva (#17; lost QF) Doubles champions: Sanchez-Vicario/Sidot Major Upsets: Clijsters defeats Sanchez-Vicario, Dokic, Kournikova. Likhovtseva defeats Dementieva, Tauziat. Historical Significance: First Tier II win for Clijsters. First Tier II final for Likhovtseva.</p>	<p><i>Quebec City, Canada • Indoor • Tier III</i> Chanda Rubin d. Jennifer Capriati 6-4 6-2 Semifinalists: Amy Frazier (4), Meilan Tu #1 seed: Jennifer Capriati (#12; lost F) #2 seed: Chanda Rubin (#12; won) Doubles champions: Pratt/Shaugnessy Major Upsets: Tu defeats Halard-Decugis. Historical Significance: Rubin's third career title</p>
--	---

Nov. 6-12

<p><i>Philadelphia • Indoor • Tier II</i> Lindsay Davenport d. Martina Hingis 7-6 (9-7) 6-4 Semifinalists: Nathalie Tauziat (4), Conchita Martinez (3) #1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; lost F) #2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; won) #3 seed: Conchita Martinez (#5; lost SF) #4 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#9; lost SF) Doubles champions: Hingis/Kournikova Major Upsets: Halard-Decugis defeats Capriati for her last Tour win. Historical Significance: Davenport wins her fourth title, and becomes the fifth player to defend a title in 2000. Hingis suffers her only indoor loss of 2000. Hingis/Kournikova qualify for the Chase after only four tournaments together.</p>	<p><i>Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia • Hard • Tier III</i> Henrieta Nagyova d. Iva Majoli 6-4 6-2 Semifinalists: Tamarine Tanasugarn (3), Tatiana Panova (5) #1 seed: Silvija Talaja (#29; lost 2R) #2 seed: Jelena Dokic (#26; lost 2R) Doubles champions: Nagyova/Plischke Major Upsets: Majoli defeats Dokic, Panova Historical Significance: Nagyova's third title of 2000.</p>
---	--

Nov. 13-19

<p><i>Chase Championships • Indoor • Championship</i> Martina Hingis d. Monica Seles 6-7 (5-7) 6-4 6-4 Semifinalists: Anna Kournikova (7), Elena Dementieva #1 seed: Martina Hingis (#1; won) #2 seed: Lindsay Davenport (#2; lost 1R) #3 seed: Monica Seles (#4; lost F) #4 seed: Conchita Martinez (#5; lost QF) #5 seed: Arantxa Sanchez-Vicario (#8; lost 1R) #6 seed: Nathalie Tauziat (#9; lost QF) #7 seed: Anna Kournikova (#10; lost SF) #8 seed: Chanda Rubin (#11; lost 1R) Doubles champions: Hingis/Kournikova Major Upsets: Dementieva defeats Davenport, Clijsters; Clijsters defeats Sanchez-Vicario; Kournikova defeats Capriati, Martinez. Historical Significance: Hingis's ninth title of 2000. Seles's biggest final since Roland Garros 1998. Kournikova ends 2000 with eight semifinals but only one final.</p>	<p><i>Pattaya City, Thailand • Hard • Tier IVB</i> Anne Kremer d. Tatiana Panova 6-1 6-4 Semifinalists: Henrieta Nagyova, Nadeja Ostrovskaya #1 seed: Silvija Talaja (#28; lost 1R) #2 seed: Tamarine Tanasugarn (#30; lost QF) Doubles champions: Basuki/Vis Major Upsets: Farina Elia defeats Talaja for Talaja's seventh straight loss (most for a Top 30 player in 2000). Historical Significance: Kremer's second title of 2000 and of her career.</p>
---	--

Who Won What

The following table summarizes the players who won the Tier II and higher events over the past seven years. Events are listed based on their *current* position in the calendar, with older events placed where they were at the time they ceased to exist. Note that some tournaments (e.g. Linz before 1998, Moscow before 1997) were not Tier II events for this entire period; these winners are shown in *italics* to the best of my ability.

Tournament	Winner In						
	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996	1995	1994
Sydney	Mauresmo	Davenport	Sanchez-V	Hingis	Seles	Sabatini	Date
Australian Open	Davenport	Hingis	Hingis	Hingis	Seles	Pierce	Graf
Pan Pacific	Hingis	Hingis	Davenport	Hingis	Majoli	Date	Graf
Paris	Tauziat	S. Williams	Pierce	Hingis	Halard-D	Graf	Navratilova
Hannover (Essen)	S. Williams	Novotna	Schnyder	Majoli	Majoli		Novotna
Scottsdale	rained out						
Indian Wells	Davenport	S. Williams	Hingis	Davenport	Graf	M. Fernandez	Graf
Delray Beach						Graf	Graf
Ericsson/Lipton	Hingis	V. Williams	V. Williams	Hingis	Graf	Graf	Graf
Amelia Island	Seles	Seles	Pierce	Davenport	Spirlea	Martinez	Sanchez-V
Hilton Head	Pierce	Hingis	Coetzer	Hingis	Sanchez-V	Martinez	Martinez
Houston						Graf	Hack
Barcelona						Sanchez-V	Sanchez-V
Hamburg	Hingis	V. Williams	Hingis	Majoli	Sanchez-V	Martinez	Sanchez-V
Berlin	Martinez	Hingis	Martinez	M. Fernandez	Graf	Sanchez-V	Graf
Rome	Seles	V. Williams	Hingis	Pierce	Martinez	Martinez	Martinez
Roland Garros	Pierce	Graf	Sanchez-V	Majoli	Graf	Graf	Sanchez-V
Eastbourne	Halard-D	Zvereva	Novotna	rained out	Seles	Tauziat	McGrath
Wimbledon	V. Williams	Davenport	Novotna	Hingis	Graf	Graf	Martinez
Stanford	V. Williams	Davenport	Davenport	Hingis	Hingis	Maleeva	Sanchez-Vi
San Diego	V. Williams	Hingis	Davenport	Hingis	Date	Martinez	Graf
Los Angeles	S. Williams	S. Williams	Davenport	Seles	Davenport	Martinez	Frazier
Canadian Open	Hingis	Hingis	Seles	Seles	Seles	Seles	Sanchez-V
New Haven*	V. Williams	V. Williams	Graf	Davenport			Martinez
U.S. Open	V. Williams	S. Williams	Davenport	Hingis	Graf	Graf	Sanchez-V
Princess Cup	S. Williams	Davenport	Seles	Seles	Seles	Pierce	Sanchez-V
Surabaya†					Wang		
Filderstadt	Hingis	Hingis	Testud	Hingis	Hingis	Majoli	Huber
Zurich	Hingis	V. Williams	Davenport	Davenport	Novotna	Majoli	Maleeva
Linz	Davenport	Pierce	Novotna	<i>Rubin</i>	<i>Appelmans</i>	<i>Novotna</i>	<i>Appelmans</i>
Moscow	Hingis	Tauziat	Pierce	Novotna	<i>Martinez</i>	<i>Maleeva</i>	<i>Maleeva</i>
Leipzig	Clijsters	Tauziat	Graf	Novotna	Huber	Huber	Novotna
Brighton						M. Fernandez	Novotna
Chicago				Davenport	Novotna	Maleeva	Zvereva
Philadelphia	Davenport	Davenport	Graf	Hingis	Novotna	Graf	Huber
Championships	Hingis	Davenport	Hingis	Novotna	Graf	Graf	Sabatini

* Tournament held in Atlanta in 1997. The title "U.S. Hardcourts," used by Atlanta in 1997, was used at Stratton Mountain, Vermont, in 1994

† The WTA lists Surabaya as a Tier II in 1996. The field does not back this up.

Comings and Goings: On and Off the Rankings

The following lists compare the ranking tables for 1999 and 2000, noting how many players have been added and subtracted. Note that this is *not* the same as the number of players who have turned pro or retired. Some players may go off the rankings because of injuries, others may reappear because they have recovered from injuries. And some have changed their names, and so will disappear from one list to reappear on the other (I have corrected three of these: Silvia Farina became Silvia Farina Elia. Pavlina Stoyanova became Pavlina Nola. And Tracy Singian became Tracy Almeda-Singian. But no doubt there are other instances among the very low-ranked players). But this gives a general overview of how the numbers of ranked players has changed. Overall, the number of players has increased — there were 1079 ranked players at the end of the season in 1999; in 2000 there are 1242. That's an increase of 15%!

Note: The totals for the years don't quite add up. I assume it has something to do with my algorithm for identifying who is or isn't the same player. But it's close.

Players ranked in 1999 but not in 2000 (total of 254): Hanna-Katri Aalto, Sarah Abaza, Agnieszka Abram, Hillary Adams, Julia Adlbrecht, Maria Adelaida Agudelo, Kasumi Aiko, Katherina Alberti, Elpis Alexandrou, Clelia Anfuso, Nicole Arendt, Diane Asensio, Hye-young Bae, Sanja Bajin, Jennifer Baker, Alessia Baracchini, Lorena Barbero Chichon, Vicky Barker, Florencia Basile, Laura Bernal, Gail Biggs, Anne-Laurence Bilenne, Ivana Bracun, Renata Brito, Brechtje Bruls, Esther Brunn, Anita Buggins, Virginie Buisson, Inga Burger, Paula Cabezas, Vanessa Caddick, Doriane Caporusso, Claire Carter, Vanina Casanova, Vanessa Castellano, Delila Causevic, Hye-Jeong Chang, Patricia Chang, Caecilia Charbonnier, Stephanie Chi, Ju-Yeon Choi, Jasmine Choudhury, Laurence Combes, Cristina Correia, Cristina Cortes, Oana Mihaela Creanga, Anita Csendes, Emmanuelle Curutchet, Natascha De Kramer, Pia De Robertis, Rachael Dean, Alex Dechaume-balleret, Cecile Dewinne, Rachel Dive, Tu Dong, Sandra Dopfer, Anca Dumitrescu, Marwa Elwany, Deniz Emre, Frida Engblom, Rocio Fantilli, Mary Joe Fernandez, Pamela Fernandez, Zuzana Filipova, Paula Fondevila Castro, Meike Froehlich, Yuki Fujii, Keiko Fujiwara, Maria Jose Gaidano, Natasha Galouza, Amie Garcia, Yessica Garcia, Ioana Gaspar, Petra Gaspar, Camille Gely, Melinda Glenister, Olga Glouschenko, Laura Golarsa, Virginia Gollut, Zsafia Golopencza, Ines Gorrochategui, Debbie Graham, Katie Granson, Laura Granville, Lori Grey, Kristina Gueorguieva, Natalia Gussoni, Jasmin Halbauer, Lucia Halty Barrutieta, Kris Hamilton-heinberg, Karen Harboe, Louise Herbert, Renska Hiemstra, Christin Horiatopoulos, Bettina Horvath, Hsueh-li Hsu, Susan Huang, Victoria Hunt, Candice Jairala, Paulina Janus, Nina Janzekovic, Elizabeth Jelfs, Nathalia Jung, Elena Juricich, Ivana Kekez, Vanessa Kendall, Kim Kilsdonk, Sandra Kloesel, Marie-Ange Koami, Annette Kolb, Emilia Kopczyniska, Anna Koumantou, Ekater Kouznetchenkova, Danica Kovacova, Dora Krstulovic, Elena Krutko, Juli Kryoukova, Whitney Laiho, Kirsi Lampinen, Anne-Laure Le Guennec, Monique Le Sueur, Rosa Maria Llaneras, Lidia Lopez, Nino Louarsabishvili, Soledad Lucero, Olga Lugina, Eugenia Maia, Elena Makarova, Eleftheri Makromaridou, Sandra Mantler, Kerstin Marent, Heather Matthews, Carolina Mayorga, Lori McNeil, Michiyo Meguro, Adriana Mingireanu, Misumi Miyauchi, Hiroko Mochizuki, Anna Mogilnicka, Laura Montalvo, Yoannis Montesino, Valentina Mortello, Kylie Moulds, Mudarwati Mudarwati, Vida Mulec, Barbara Mulej, Maja Muric, Larisa Neiland, Natelie Nikitina, Lauren Nikolaus, Gina Niland, Niki Ninaus, Jasmin Notdurfer, Karen Nugent, Kathy O'Daly, Eri Ohmi, Claudia Oliveira, Aleksandra Olsza, Riei Otakeyama, Joulia Oussanova, Paola Palencia, Ekaterina Paniouchkina, Christina Papadaki, Natasha Papadopoulou, Nelly Pardo, Karen Paterson, Catalina Paz, Danira Penic, Maria Penkova, Patr Perez De Vinaspre, Lydia Perkins, Perrine Pernin, Jewel Peterson, Katia Piccolini, Kate Pinchbeck, Elena Pioppo, Betina Pirker, Kristina Pojatina, Jennifer Poulos, Valerie Poulos, Mariana Quintanilla, Aleksand Radosavljevic, Mira Lorelei Radu, Minna Rautajoki, Bettina Resch, Azra Resic, Marta Ribalta, Katia Rodrigues, Ma Eugenia Rojas, Nataly Rojas, Silvina Ronsisvalli, Rochelle Rosenfield, Eleni Rossides, Adriana Ruiz, Miho Saeki, Marina Samoilenko, Yoshiko Sasano, Julia Scaringe, Joelle Schad, Sylvia Schenck, Giulia Schivo, Samantha Schoeffel, Nina Schwarz, Claire Seal, Kathryn Sell, Juleya Semenets, Lisa Sendelbach, Noelia Serra, Kai Siewrattan, Fruzina Siklosi, Christine Silaghi, Carol Silverstone, Saskia Simon, Annette Singer, Prim Siripipat, Charlotte Smith, Denisa Sobotkova, Hyun-Hee Sohn, Silvia Sosnarova, Susie Starrett, Marina Stets, Julie Steven, Katarina Studenikova, Anne Stueckle, Liina Suurvarik, Lan Lan Tai, Kazue Takuma, Alina Tecsor, Olga Teplinskaya, Fabienne Thill, Heike Thoms, Jennifer Tinnacher, Suzanne Tolu, Daniela Torres, Pauline Trinchant, Delphine Troch, Elena Tudor, Noelle Van Lottum, Graciela Velez, Sonja Vidas, Antonela Voina, Petia Vousheva, Marie Vrba, Michael Vulpe-Drissler, Sara Walker, Kate Warne-Holland, Kyung-Joo Won, Kylene Wong Simunyola, Yoriko Yamagishi, Mao Yamanaka, Kiyoko Yazawa, Nina Zlender, Radka Zrubakova, Annette Zweck

Players ranked in 2000 but not in 1999 (total of 414): Eughenia Ablovatchi, Erica Adams, Linda Akkerman, Joanne Akl, Maria Lore Alcetegaray, Daniela Alvarez, Anca Anastasiu, Carla Andrade, Jenny Andrade, Anna Anikanova, Olena Antypina, Maria Jose Argeri, Sunthree Arphanukul, Marcela Arroyo, Sofia Arvidsson, Merve Asimgil, Cory Ann Avants, Livia Azzi, Martina Babakova, Meike Babel, Julia Babilon, Liana Balaci, Anne Banffy, Jorgelina Barrera, Marion Bartoli, Dina Basil, Carla Bastos, Anna Bastrikova, Caroline Ann Basu, Yayuk Basuki, Kristy Bayer, Beatriz Becker, Kaur Harsimran Bedi, Iveta Benesova, Petra Bercik, Marina Bernshtein, Helena Besovic, Yulia Beygelzimer, Nikita Bhardwaj, Julia Biffar, Raffaella Bindi, Eva Birnerova, Katja Blocker, Annabel Blow, Kristy Blumberg, Radka Bobkova, Irina Bobrysheva, Olga Borisova, Roberta Borrelli, Megan Bradley, Allison Bradshaw, Leigh Bradwell, Nina Brattchikova, Becky Brown, Mhairi Brown, Diana Brunel, Giorgia Buchanan, Suci Bungaran, Erin Burdette, Leslie Butkiewicz, Marina Cardoso, Jackie Carleton, Daniela Casanova, Myriam Casanova, Sophie Anne Cerbon, Nandita Chandrashekar, Li Chen, Li Ling Chen, Yan Chen, Juan-juan Cheng, Mimma Chernovita, Vishika Chhetri, Jeong-a Cho, Bo-Ra Choi, Wilawan Choptang, Stephanie Chu, Nicole Clerico, Kim Clijsters, Stephanie Cohen Aloro, Alyssa Cohen, Julie Coin, Lauren Colalillo, Paloma Collantes, Mariana Conde, Chantal Coombs, Natalia Coronel, Mariana Correa, Olivia Crouchent, Melinda Czink, Estefania Daubioul, Lindsay Dawaf, Candice De La Torre, Elena De Mendoza, Julie De Roo, Begona De Toro, Lara Del Saz, Emilia Desiderio, Marutha Devi, Shruti Dhawan, Renata Dias, Georgina Dinham, Sarah Dinkelmann, Petra Dizdar, Lenka Dlhopolcova, Yanhua Dong, Megan Dorny, Gisella Dulko, Angeline Dumontier, Emmanuelle Edon, Nina Egger, Helena Ejeson, Jennifer Embry, Laura Figuerola, Karen Fodera, Laura Fodorean, Marta Fraga, Lolita Frangulyan, Brandi Freudenberg, Ana Friganovic, Noelia Furno, Carmen Gajo, Mar Gallifa Puigdesens, Edina Gallovits, Natasha Galouza, Natalia Garbellotto, Ma. Alejandra Garcia, Laurenc Garcia-clement, Vanina Garcia Sokol, Ilke Gers, Iva Gersic, Maria Geznenge, Lucinda Gibbs, Francoise Gillis, Kylie Gottsche, Cynthia Goulet, Raissa Gourevich, Sheethal Goutham, Cristelle Grier, Michelle Grobby, Daniela Groseanu, Sheila Guerberg, Paola Guerrero, Silv Gutierrez Quiroga, Dinka Hadzic, Ashley Harkleroad, Briana Harris, Tumeka Harris, Anna Hawkins, Chun-Yan He, Catherine Henuzet, Audrey Hernandez, Stefanie Hershfield, Emily Hewson, Rattiya Hiranrat, Alex Hirsch, Tanja Hirschauer, Danielle Hock, Anne-marie Hogan, Da-Jung Hong, Marielle Hoogland, Naoko Horikawa, Li Huang, Lella Husic, Kelley Hyndman, Karina Jacobsgaard, Claire Jalade, Kristina Jarkenstedt, Amy Jensen, Dan Dan Jiang, Sabrina Jolk, Thamara Jonkman, Tara Kanbargimath, Aniko Kapros, Riei Kawamata, Belinda Kelly, Ceyda Keyman, Dina Khalil, Chin Bee Khoo, Kwon-hee Kim, Mi-ok Kim, Daniela Kix, Natalie Ko, Hiroko Komori, Milica Koprivica, Ilona Kordonskaya, Elena Kovalchuk, Ekaterina Kozhokina, Monika Krauze, Maria Kravchenko, Nicole Kriz, Iryna Kuryanovich, Daria Kustava, Svetlana Kuznetsova, Isha Lakhani, Bianka Lamade, Orawan Lamangthong, Jennifer Langer, Pichaya Laosirichon, Debbie Larocque, Charlotta Larsson, Olga Lazarchuk, An-Na Lee, Chen Li, Edita Liachovicicute, Amber Liu, Jing-jing Liu, Nan Nan Liu, Wei-na Liu, Zhi-rong Liu, Salome Llaguno, Rebeca Llorente, Nancy Loeffler-Caro, Anya Loncaric, Dominika Luzarova, En Yue Ma, Jamie Macias, Lisa Mackey, Cristina Madrid Guzman, Marnie Mahler, Sanda Mamic, Geeta Manohar, Anja Margetic, Emily Marker, Mia Marovic, Chris Martinez, Ana Maslesa, Andreea Matei, Diane Matias, Antonia Matic, Katie McGlennen, Yvonne Meusburger, Jennifer Miccoli, Lucia Migliarni, Vanja Mikovic, Mojca Mileta, Annie Miller, Marta Mir Portell, Jennifer Mitchell, Elsa Morel, Wei Na, Junri Namigata, Alison Nash, Ayoko Noda, Ecaterina Nossik, Candela Novoa, Lenka Novotna, Tracey O'Connor, Jane O'Donoghue, Elsa O'Riain, Serra Olgac, Alexandra Orasanu, Priscila Ortega, Virginie Oulevay, Maja Palaversic, Tzveta Panajotova, Hannah Parker, Sara Pasquinoni, Karishma Patel, Karen Paterson, Maria Pavlidou, Liza Pereira, Shenay Perry, Nandini Perumal, Sonal Phadke, Ilara Pibiri, Audrey Pierrich, Andrea Plackova, Barbara Polidoro, Alexandra Popa, Lana Popadic, Karla Porter, Daria Potapova, Lenka Potocarova, Wei Qie, Diana Quevedo, Lisa Quiller, Sai Swapn Ranakrishnan, Jasleen Randhawa, Natacha Randriantefy, Simmi Rani, Preeti Rao, Sunitha Rao, Celine Regnier, Nicole Rencken, Zerene Reyes, Flavia Rezende, Andrea Riedlmajerova, Sarah Riske, Alejandra Rivero, Florencia Rivolta, Deanna Roberts, Julieta Robin, Shadisha Robinson, Jessie Rochefort, Adriana Rodriguez, Nuria Roig, Vivian Rojas, Capucine Rousseau, Petra Russegger, Margit Ruutel, Anna Rynarzewska, Daniela Salomon, Florencia Salvadores, Marina Samoilenko, Ma. Jo Sanchez Alayeto, Ma. Pi Sanchez Alayeto, Carlota Santos, Deborah Saxer, Martina Schiavo, Tina Schiechtl, Kristen Schlukebir, Jennifer Schmidt, Monika Schneider, Ma. Teresa Scott, Samrita Sekar, Beti Sekulovski, Marija Serdarusic, Eva Sestakova, Jordanna Seymour, Lui Li Shen, Xia Sheng, Kelly Simkin, Aparna Singh, Amandine Singla, Dewi Monica Siregar, Katerina Siskova, Lioudmila Skavronskaia, Lenk Snajdrova, Ivana Sokac, Neus Sole, Tassia Sono, Petra Spaar, Abigail Spears, Veronica Spiegel, Karolina Sprem, Diana Srebrovic, Jessica Stein, Constanze Steiner, Emily Stellato, Samantha Stosur, Martina Strussova, Veronika Subertova, Tomoko Sugano, Eny Sulistyowati, Tian Tian Sun, Ayako Suzuki, Ursula Svetlik, Giselle Swart, Tomoko Taira, Ayano Takeuchi, Sarah Tami, Yan Tang, Kelly Taylor, Shalini Thakur, Sricharany Thiagarajan, Yamini Thukkaiandi, Pavlina Ticha, Caroline Tidemand, Lisa Tognetti, Keiko Tokuda, Li Tong, Napaporn Tongsalec, Melissa Torres, Emilie Trouche, Michou Tulfer, Radhika Tulpule, Qi Tuo, Catherine Turinsky, Neha Uberoi, Motoe Uchida, Remi Uda, Megha Vakharia, Pamela Van Boekel, Natasha Van Der Merwe, Anousjka Van Exel, Lorenza Vaschetto, Jvotsa Vasisht, Nadejda Vassileva, Gabriel Velasco Andreu, Alissa Velts, Elena Vianello, Monique Viele, Elisa Villa, Rachel Viollet, Suchanan Viratprasert, Ivana Visic, Visnja Visnjic, Antonela Voina, Renata Voracova, Olga Votavova, Nana Wada, Nona Wagh, Janet Walker, Katarzyna Walukiewicz, Eva Wang, Zeng Wang, Svenja Weidemann, Angelique Widjaja, Susanne Wild, Douglas Wink, Kathrin Woerle, Ming Hui Wu, Jie Xu, Zi Yan, Lan Yao, Tomoko Yonemura, Ying Yu, Marianna Yuferova, Alexandra Zerkalova, Jie Zheng, Alexandra Zotta, Dong-ling Zou, Ivana Zupa, Vera Zvonareva

Players ranked in both 1999 and 2000 (total of 831): Charlotte Aagaard, Julia Abe, Ivana Abramovic, Monica Acosta, Monique Adamczak, Lucie Ahl, Geraldine Aizenberg, Duygu Aksit, Inga Albers, Estef Aldana Estremera, Denitsa Alexandrova, Tracy Almeda-Singian, Katia Altilia, Ma. Fernanda Alves, Rosa M. Andres, Laurence Andretto, Liza Andriyani, Yasmin Angeli, Maret Ani, Kaori Aoyama, Sabine Appelmans, Yuki Arai, Tamara Aranda, Melisa Arevalo, Carla Arguelles, Greta Arn, Cristina Arribas, Shinobu Asagoe, Miyako Ataka, Bettina Auer, Amanda Augustus, Patricia Aznar, Lubomira Bacheva, Angelika Bachmann, Leanne Baker, Marilyn Baker, Elena Baltacha, Sybille Bammer, Eun-young Ban, Patrycja Bandurowska, Laura Bao, Olga Barabanschikova, Catherine Barclay, Heli Bargil, Adriana Barna, Anca Barna, Alice Barnes, Lauren Barnikow, Fiona Barrett, Adriana Basaric, Katerina Basternakova, Yvette Basting, Suzi Becvinovska, Daja Bedanova, Petra Begerow, Celine Beigbeder, Eva Belbl, Nathalia Bellizia, Jenny Belobrajdic, Severine Beltrame, Annika Bengtsson, Susi Bensch, Ma. Cristi Bentivoglio, Kinga Berezcz, Marisol Berengeno, Segolene Berger, Eva Bes, Cara Black, Olga Blahotova, Barbora Blahutiakova, Maria Boboedova, Natalia Bogdanova, Branka Bojovic, Alyona Bondarenko, Valeria Bondarenko, Kristie Boogert, Carine Bornu, Sandrine Bouilleau, Elena Bovina, Svetla Bozicnik, Kristina Brandi, Brandis Braverman, Alberta Brianti, Ma. Eugenia Brito, Mia Buric, Adriana Burz, Ramona But, Dawn Buth, Beatri Cabrera Rosendo, Sandra Cacic, Nataly Cahana, Marina Caiazzo, Bree Calderwood, Nathalie Callen, Els Callens, Maria Elena Camerin, Alice Canepa, Jennifer Capriati, Angela Cardoso, Ansley Cargill, Chloe Carlotti, Åsa Carlsson, Giulia Casoni, Catalina Castano, Bianca Catay, Leslie Cavanaugh, Lenka Kenkova, Ludmila Cervanova, Kyung Yee Chae, Margalit Chakhnashvili, Rushmi Chakravarti, Kyung-Mi Chang, Courtenay Chapman, Yu-an Chen, Kildine Chevalier, Jane Chi, Eugenia Chialvo, Stefania Chieppa, Denisa Chladkova, Yoon Jeong Cho, Jin-Young Choi, Young-Ja Choi, Yang-Jin Chung, Raluca Ciochina, Agata Cioroch, Erika Clarke, Melanie Clayton, Elke Clijsters, Brenda Coassolo, Amelie Cocheteux, Daniela Cocos, Amanda Coetzer, Hannah Collin, Celeste Contin, Annica Cooper, Sabrina Corazza, Joana Cortez, Diana Costa, Victoria Courmes, Laurence Courtois, Susan Cowan, Jorgelina Cravero, Jill Craybas, Bianca Cremer, Catalina Cristea, Helen Crook, Karen Cross, Virag Csurgo, Veronika Cvtvrtnickova, Nives Culum, Miriam D'agostini, Sabina Da Ponte, Tiffany Dabek, Sabrina Damario, Eleni Daniilidou, Katarina Daskovic, Michelle Dasso, Lindsay Davenport, Victoria Davies, Dewonder Davis, Julie Dawson, Surina De Beer, Erika De Lone, Rossana de los Rios, Mariaan De Swardt, Stephanie De Ville, Nannie De Villiers, Kim De Wille, Nathalie Déchy, Liga Dekmeijere, Sonia Delgado, Laura Dell'angelo, Elena Dementieva, Kun Deng, Vanessa Devesa, Caroline Dhenin, Germana Di Natale, Mariana Diaz-Oliva, Amy Dillingham, Biljana Dimovska, Ding Ding, Silvia Disderi, Mireille Dittmann, Natalie Dittmann, Julie Ditty, Csilla Dobo, Jelena Dokic, Anna Dolinska, Lourdes Dominguez Lino, Evie Dominikovic, Melissa Dowse, Yvonne Doyle, Ruxandra Dragomir, Maureen Drake, Nina Dubbers, Amandine Dulon, Amanda Dundas, Eva Dyrberg, Natalia Egorova, Sabrina Eisenberg, Dalia El Sheikh, Anat Elazari, Kate Elliott, Annabel Ellwood, Adria Engel, Eva Erbova, Sophie Erre, Marina Escobar, Lamia Essaadi, Ferial Esseghir, Marcela Evangelista, Melody Falco, Yomna Farid, Silvia Farina Elia, Heidi Farr, Goulna Fattakhedinova, Evelyn Fauth, Clarisa Fernandez, Jessica Fernandez, Maricris Fernandez, Susanne Filipp, Eva Fislova, Christina Fitz, Wendy Fix, Anna Floris, Galina Fokina, Anna Foldenyi, Anna Font, Stephanie Foretz, Amy Frazier, Kirstin Freye, Lisa Fritz, Candice Fuchs, Rika Fujiwara, Alexandra Fusai, Filipa Gabrovska, Emmanuelle Gagliardi, Gemma Gallo Gomez, Tathiana Garbin, Paula Garcia, Martha Garzon-elkins, Ioana Gaspar, Angelica Gavaldon, Stefanie Gehrlein, Sophie Georges, Michelle Gerards, Caroline Germar, Adriana Gersi, Diana Gherghi, Lea Ghirardi, Frederika Girsang, Andrea Glass, Yael Glitsenstein, Oana-Elen Golimbioschi, Maria Goloviznina, Ainhua Goni, Rocio Gonzalez, Emma Gott, Amanda Grahame, Rita Grande, Nathalie Grandin, Kim Grant, Sarah Gregg, Magdalena Grzybowska, Francesca Guardigli, Zsofia Gubacsi, Cecilia Guillenea, Gulberk Gultekin, Akiko Gunji, Kerry-Anne Guse, Natalia Gussoni, Giana Gutierrez, Eun-Young Ha, Debby Haak, Karina Habsudova, Bettina Hafner, Stefanie Haidner, Julie Halard-Decugis, Jennifer Hall, Daniela Hantuchova, Nicole Havlicek, Silvia Hegedis, Adrienn Hegedus, Ines Heise, Anne-laure Heitz, Zuzana Hejdova, Barbara Hellwig, Justine Hénin, Vanessa Henke, Tina Hergold, Paula Hermida, Jaslyn Hewitt, Martina Hingis, Rika Hiraki, Marcelle Hirt, Shiho Hisamatsu, Jana Hlavackova, Denise Hofer, Carly Homewood, Jennifer Hopkins, Amanda Hopmans, Christiana Hoppmann, Liezel Horn, Tomoe Hotta, Kveta Hrdlickova, Stanislava Hrozenska, Anke Huber, Nikola Hubnerova, Rewa Hudson, Kylie Hunt, Janette Husarova, Kumiko Iijima, Dragana Ilic, Reiko Ino, Haruka Inoue, Maiko Inoue, Ella Ionescu, Marissa Irvin, Keiko Ishida, Irawati Iskandar, Chisayo Ito, Claudia Ivone, Karolina Jagieniak, Monique Javer, J. Sai Jayalakshmy, Rebecca Jensen, Mi-Ra Jeon, Adriana Jerabek, Sonya Jeyaseelan, Alina Jidkova, Amanda Johnson, Nadia Johnston, Dragica Joksimovic, La Shawn Jones, Mareze Joubert, Desanka Jovanovic, Vlatka Jovanovic, Mervana Jugic-Salkic, Olga Kalioujnaia, Lauren Kalvaria, Bianca Kamper, Carina Kampf, Jana Kandarr, Acsimino Kaplani, Karina Karner, Berengere Karpenschif, Shizu Katsumi, Anne Keothavong, Eun-Ha Kim, Eun-Kyung Kim, Eun-Sook Kim, Jin-Hee Kim, Akiko Kinebuchi, Satomi Kinjo, Yumiko Kitamura, Sabine Klaschka, Sandra Kleinova, Daniella Klemenschitz, Sandra Klemenschitz, Marketa Kochta, Renata Kolbovic, Maria Kondratieva, Irina Kornienko, Jelena Kostanic, Klara Koukalova, Evgenia Koulikovskaya, Anna Kournikova, Maaike Koutstaal, Katja Kovac, Marijana Kovacevic, Tatiana Kovalchuk, Nora Koves, Lesley Kramer, Hanna Krampe, Lina Krasnoroutskaya, Dimana Krastevitch, Kristina Kraszewski, Erica Krauth, Vanesa Krauth, Alexandra Kravets, Eva Krejcova, Anne Kremer, Camilla Kremer, Kavitha Krishnamurthy, Svetlana Kriventcheva, Tina Krizan, Joannette Kruger, Karin Kschwendt, Gabrielle Kucerova, Magdalena Kucerova, Renata Kucerova, Petra Kucova, Zuzana Kucova, Madoka Kuki, Blanka Kumberova, Lubomira Kurhajcova, Satoko Kurioka, Agata Kurowska, Rita Kuti Kis, Sandra Kvelstein, Florencia Labat, Kristin Lam, Magalie Lamarre, Ma. Fernanda Landa, Evy Last, Gabriela Lastra, Louise Latimer, Marina Lazarovska, Elodie Lebescond, Eun-Jeong Lee, Janet Lee, Lindsay Lee-Waters, Sophie Lefevre, Gala Leon Garcia, Zuzana Lesenarova, Fang Li, Na Li, Ting Li, Kelly Liggan, Elena

Likhovtseva, Sae-mi Lim, Ya-ming Lin, Zuzanna Liskovcova, Nuria Llagostera, Susi Lohrmann, Emilie Loit, Marylene Losey, Jana Lubasova, Francesca Lubiani, Mirjana Lucic, Kate Lutgert, Julia Lutrova, Tetiana Luzanska, Stephanie Mabry, Jana Macurova, Caroline Maes, Jennifer Magley, Diana Majkic, Iva Majoli, Magdalena Maleeva, Manisha Malhotra, Melinda Malouli, Karla Mancinas, Petra Mandula, Angie Marik, Melanie Marois, Katalin Marosi-Aracama, Carmen Marquez Salas, Marta Marrero, Magdalena Marszalek, Ana Martin Ramirez, Eva Martincova, Veronika Martinek, Conchita Martinez, Conchita Martinez Granados, Ma. Jose Martinez, Sandra Martinovic, Marion Maruska, Luciana Masante, Andrea Masarykova, Monica Massarella, Monica Mastan, Maja Matevzic, Amelie Mauresmo, Melissa Mazzotta, Kelly Mc Cain, Donna Mc Intyre, Rachel McQuillan, Lisa McShea, Ana I Medina Garrigues, Marlene Mejia, Nicole Melch, Vanessa Menga, Jolanda Mens, Giulia Meruzzi, Mariana Mesa, Melissa Middleton, Magda Mihalache, Neda Mihneva, Lana Miholcek, Marie-gaiane Mikaelian, Karin Miller, Dina Milosevic, Meritxell Mimo, Betsy Miringoff, Galina Misiurirova, Katalin Miskolczi, Isabella Mitterlehner, Nana Miyagi, Maja Mlakar, Amiella Mojzis, Mihaela Moldovan, Alicia Molik, Eszter Molnar, Angeles Montolio, Joanne Moore, Milangela Morales, Corina Morariu, Akiko Morigami, Giorgia Mortello, Svetlana Mossiakova, Bahia Mouhtassine, Karla Mraz, Leonn Muller V. Moppes, Martina Muller, Daniela Munoz, Patty Murren, Daniela Muscolino, Trudi Musgrave, Anastasia Myskina, Sandra Nacuc, Chie Nagano, Keiko Nagatomi, Kyra Nagy, Henrieta Nagyova, Chiaki Nakajima, Ljiljana Nanusevic, Auradrea Narvaez, Andrea Nathan, Barbara Navarro, Gabriela Navratilova, Anna Eugenia Nefedova, Jana Nejedly, Martina Nejedly, Milena Nekvapilova, Lenka Nemeckova, Lioudmila Nikoian, Katrina Nimmers, Yasuko Nishimata, Nina Nittinger, Ana Nogueira, Pavlina Nola, Seda Noorlander, Irena Nossenko, Ana Paula Novaes, Petra Novotnikova, Edith Nunes, Saori Obata, Tzipora Obziler, Eun-Mi Oh, Jean Okada, Seiko Okamoto, Daniela Olivera, Zuzana Ondraskova, Miriam Oremans, Alicia Ortuno, Jheni Osman, Diana Ospina, Lilia Osterloh, Nadejda Ostrovskaya, Romina Ottoboni, Seden Ozlu, Karin Palme, Antoaneta Pandjerova, Jelena Pandzic, Daria Panova, Tatiana Panova, Ridhina Parekh, Sung-hee Park, Holly Parkinson, Arancha Parra, Michaela Pastikova, Alena Paulenkova, Biljana Pavlova, Nicola Payne, Radka Pelikanova, Marie-Eve Pelletier, Ingrid Peltier, Laura Pena, Flavia Pennetta, Tatiana Perebiynis, Flora Perfetti, Melinda Petkes, Nadejda Petrova, Marina Petrovic, Virginia Pichet, Frederica Piedade, Mary Pierce, Rebecca Pike, Alicia Pillay, Camille Pin, Severine Pinaud, Erika Pineider, Tina Pisman, Sarah Pitkowski, Gloria Pizzichini, Petra Plackova, Anne Plessinger, Sylvia Plischke, Tina Plivelitsch, Kimberly Po, Ilona Poljakova, Tatiana Poutchek, Wynne Prakusya, Nicole Pratt, Libuse Prusova, Petra Puheloinen, Julie Pullin, Hanna Puustinen, Caroline Raba, Paula Racedo, Veronika Raimrova, Mariam Ramon, Petra Rampre, Dally Randriantefy, Lisa Raymond, Virginie Razzano, Samantha Reeves, Lyndsay Reilly, Nicole Remis, Ludmila Richterova, Gisela Riera, Brie Rippner, Barbara Rittner, Veronica Rizhik, Stephanie Rizzi, Anastassia Rodionova, Carolina Rodriguez, Angelika Roesch, Ariana Rojas, Hila Rosen, Jacquelyn Rosen, Barbara Rosenberger, Caroline Rossel, Evagelia Roussi, Virginia Ruano Pascual, Chanda Rubin, Paloma Ruiz-Blanco, Sylvia Rynarzewska, Karolina Sadaj, Virginia Sadi, Misae Sakai, Joanna Sakowicz, Ana Salas, Ma. Emilia Salerni, Claudia Salgues, Sylvie Sallaberry, Ma. Antonia Sanchez Lorenzo, Laetitia Sanchez, Olivia Sanchez, Arantx Sanchez-Vicario, Raluca Sandu, Benjamas Sangaram, Mara Santangelo, Veronica Sartini, Valentina Sassi, Wukirasih Sawondari, Evelina Scalise, Monica Scartoni, Stephanie Schaer, Larissa Schaerer, Claudine Schaul, Barbara Schett, Francesca Schiavone, Nadine Schlotterer, Katie Schlukebir, Syna Schmidle, Elizabeth Schmidt, Caroline Schneider, Melanie Schnell, Miriam Schnitzer, Patty Schnyder, Jitka Schonfeldova, Julia Schruuff, Cindy Schuurmans, Barbara Schwartz, Julie Scott, Andrea Sebova, Lotty Seelen, Nicole Seitenbecher, Monica Seles, Irina Selyutina, Ipek Senoglu, Milagros Sequera, Magui Serna, Adriana Serra-zanetti, Antonell Serra-zanetti, Nicole Sewell, Selima Sfar, Meghann Shaughnessy, Julie Shiflet, Anne-Gaelle Sidot, Ana Maria Simanca, Ana Maria Sismondini, Rosa Maria Sitja, Ana Skafar, Pavlina Slitrova, Anna Smashnova, Julia Smith, Samantha Smith, Tara Snyder, Leticia Sobral, Aneta Soukup, Irina Spirlea, Katarina Srebotnik, Aleksandra Srndovic, Hana Sromova, Jovana Stanisljevic, Jessica Steck, Lucie Steffova, Lydia Steinbach, Shelley Stephens, Anouk Sterk, Alexandra Stevenson, Bryanne Stewart, Sarah Stone, Katarzyna Straczy, Rennae Stubbs, Paola Suarez, Evgenia Subbotina, Martina Sucha, Ai Sugiyama, Dea Sumantri, Michelle Summerside, Ekaterina Syssoeva, Keiko Taguchi, Linda Tajnai, Ayami Takase, Ryoko Takemura, Silvija Talaja, Lucia Tallo, Keiko Tameishi, Tamarine Tanasugarn, Rita Tarjan, Marina Tasheva, Elena Tatarkova, Nathalie Tauziat, Claire Taylor, Sarah Taylor, Regina Temez, Stephanie Testard, Sandrine Testud, Remi Tezuka, Carla Tiene, Ana Timotic, Niki Tippins, Ka-Po Tong, Dessislava Topalova, Radoslava Topalova, Abigail Tordoff, Cristin Torrens Valero, Jorgelina Torti, Jacqueline Trail, Alienor Tricerri, Virginia Trifonova, Susanne Trik, Nicola Trinder, Kristina Triska, Meilen Tu, Iroda Tulyaganova, Lenka Tvaroskova, Shikha Uberoi, Sachie Umehara, Nami Urabe, Silvia Urickova, Nirupama Vaidyanathan, Julia Vakulenko, Erika Valdes, Zuzana Valekova, Romana Valenta, Sabrina Valenti, Patty Van Acker, Daphne Van De Zande, Andrea Van Den Hurk, Kristel Van Der Perre, Kristen Van Elden, Dominique Van Roost, Lara Van Rooyen, Andreea Vanc, Ludmilla Varmuza, Alena Vaskova, Miroslava Vavrinec, Aurelie Vedy, Archana Venkataraman, Arthi Venkataraman, Maria Vento, Val Verrier-diaconescu, Masa Vesenjak, Urska Vesenjak, Fabie Vieille-Grosjean, Helga Vieira, Nathalie Vierin, Helena Vildova, Roberta Vinci, Gabriela Volekova, Elena Voropaeva, Aleksandra Vucenovic, Visnja Vuletic, Kathy Vymetal, Elena Wagner, Marion Walter, I-Ting Wang, Shi-Ting Wang, Jo Ward, Novianti Warsono, Patricia Wartusch, Mashona Washington, Jolene Watanabe, Cindy Watson, Vanessa Webb, Marlene Weingartner, Stefanie Weis, Tzu-Ting Weng, Scarlett Werner, Christina Wheeler, Linda Wild, Serena Williams, Venus Williams, Jasmin Woehr, Maria Wolfbrandt, Kati Wolner, Orawan Wongkamalasai, Lucy Wood, Nicola Woodhouse, Lorna Woodroffe, Etsuko Yamada, Alena Yaryshka, Bucke Yavuz, Jing-Qian Yi, Yumi Yokoi, Yuka Yoshida, Anna Zaporozhanova, Dragana Zaric, Anna Zarska, Maria Letizia Zavagli, Maria Paola Zavagli, Magdalena Zdenovcova, Katja Zenklusen, Gyorgyi Zsiros, Fabiola Zuluaga, Natasha Zvereva

Index

A

Amelia Island 23, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108, 116, 136, 146, 154
Antwerp 12, 30, 109, 147
Appelmans, Sabine 10, 90, 149, 154
Arendt, Nicole 122, 130, 137
Arendt/Bollegraf 137, 138
Auckland 30, 109, 131, 133, 143
Australian Open 12, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107, 116, 127, 128, 136, 143, 154

B

Bacheva, Lubomira 147
Barabanschikova, Olga 149
Barcelona 154
Bedanova, Daja 30, 151, 152
Berlin 19, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107, 116, 132, 136, 147, 154
Birmingham 20, 30, 108, 148
Black, Cara 122, 131, 137, 143, 148, 149
Black/Fusai 143
Black/Likhovtseva 137, 138
Bogota 30, 109, 128, 144
Bol 30, 108, 126, 131, 146
Bollegraf, Manon 122, 132
see under Arendt/Bollegraf
Boogert, Kristie 144, 146, 149
Brandi, Kristina 148
Bratislava 30, 109, 152
Brighton 154
Budapest 30, 109, 146

C

Callens, Els 122, 132, 137
Callens/Van Roost 125, 137, 138, 150
Canadian Open 17, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107, 116, 127, 129, 136, 150, 154
Capriati, Jennifer 11, 30, 31, 80, 85, 90, 93, 106, 143, 145, 147, 148, 151, 152, 153
Carlsson, Åsa 122
Carlsson/Zvereva 125
Chase Championships 17, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107, 116, 127, 136, 153, 154
Chicago 154
Chladkova, Denisa 144, 152
Clijsters, Kim 11, 28, 29, 30, 31, 80, 85, 90, 93, 105, 118, 143, 147, 149, 151, 153, 154

Coetzer, Amanda 12, 30, 31, 80, 85, 90, 93, 122, 144, 146, 147, 149, 150, 152, 154
Courtois, Laurence 147

D

Date, Kimiko 154
Davenport, Lindsay 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 52, 55, 60, 72, 74, 76, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 96, 98, 99, 105, 106, 113, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 135, 136, 143, 145, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154
Davenport/Kournikova 138
Davenport/Morariu 125, 137, 138, 145
Davenport/Po 138
De Swardt, Mariaan 123
Déchy, Nathalie 13, 80, 85, 90, 93, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147
Delray Beach 154
Dementieva, Elena 13, 33, 70, 80, 85, 90, 93, 106, 118, 145, 151, 153
Di Natale, Germana 147
Dokic, Jelena 14, 80, 90, 93, 147, 149, 152, 153
Dokic/Stubbs 138
Dragomir, Ruxandra 14, 85, 90, 148

E

Eastbourne 16, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108, 116, 126, 129, 136, 148, 154
Ericsson 17, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107, 116, 126, 136, 145, 154
Essen 154
Estoril 17, 30, 109, 146

F

Farina Elia, Silvia 15, 146, 149
Fernandez, Mary Joe 154
Filderstadt 17, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108, 116, 127, 136, 151, 154
Frazier, Amy 15, 63, 80, 85, 90, 93, 143, 144, 147, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154
Fusai, Alexandra 122, 123, 133
Fusai/Tauziat 137, 138, 151

G

Gagliardi, Emmanuelle 144
Garbin, Tathiana 30, 85, 144, 146, 147
Gersi, Adriana 149

Gold Coast 24, 30, 108, 126, 127, 143
Graf, Steffi 118, 154
Grande, Rita 144

H

Habsudova, Karina 152
Hack, Sabine 154
Halard-Decugis, Julie 16, 28, 29, 30, 31, 80, 85, 90, 93, 105, 119, 122, 126, 136, 137, 140, 141, 144, 146, 147, 148, 149, 151, 152, 153, 154
Halard-Decugis/Kournikova 138, 143
Halard-Decugis/Morariu 125, 138, 146, 152
Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama 125, 137, 138, 143, 145, 150, 151, 152
Halard-Decugis/Tauziat 125
Halard-Decugis/Testud 138, 144
Hamburg 17, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108, 116, 127, 135, 136, 146, 154
Hannover 26, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108, 116, 135, 136, 144, 154
Hantuchova, Daniela 151
Hénin, Justine 16, 80, 90
Hilton Head 20, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107, 116, 128, 129, 136, 146, 154
Hingis, Martina 4, 6, 7, 9, 17, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 52, 53, 55, 57, 58, 59, 60, 63, 64, 65, 66, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 105, 106, 113, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 127, 136, 137, 140, 141, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154
Hingis/Kournikova 137, 139, 151, 152, 153
Hingis/Pierce 125, 137, 139, 144, 148
Hingis/Tauziat 125, 139, 150
Hobart 11, 30, 109, 143
Hopkins, Jennifer 119, 147
Hopmans, Amanda 143, 147
Houston 154
Hrdlickova, Kveta 152
Huber, Anke 17, 30, 31, 80, 85, 90, 93, 120, 145, 146, 149, 151, 154
Huber/Schett 137, 139

I

Indian Wells 12, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107, 116, 131, 135, 136, 145, 154

J

Japan Open 16, 30, 108, 126, 131, 152
Jeyaseelan, Sonya 149

K

Klagenfurt 22, 30, 108, 149
Knokke-Heist 30, 109, 149
Kostanic, Jelena 147
Kournikova, Anna 18, 81, 85, 90, 93,
99, 118, 119, 120, 122, 123, 127,
137, 141, 143, 144, 145, 146, 149,
150, 151, 152, 153
See also Hingis/Kournikova,
Davenport/Kournikova, Halard-
Decugis/Kournikova
Kournikova/Sanchez-Vicario 139
Kournikova/Schett 139
Kournikova/Sugiyama 139
Kournikova/Zvereva 125, 137, 139,
146
Kremer, Anne 30, 143, 148, 153
Kruger, Joannette 144, 147, 152
Kuala Lumpur 30, 108, 153
Kuti Kis, Rita 30, 85, 144, 146, 147

L

Leipzig 11, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108,
116, 132, 135, 136, 153, 154
Leon Garcia, Gala 30, 85, 146, 147,
149
Liege Challenger 16
Likhovtseva, Elena 18, 81, 85, 90, 93,
122, 123, 133, 146, 147, 153
see also Black/Likhovtseva
Likhovtseva/Morariu 139
Linz 12, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108,
116, 134, 136, 152, 154
Los Angeles 26, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
108, 116, 132, 134, 136, 150, 154
Luxembourg 11, 30, 108, 129, 133,
151

M

Madrid 30, 108, 127, 128, 147
Majoli, Iva 147, 153, 154
Maleeva, Magdalena 19, 81, 85, 90,
93, 151, 152, 154
Marrero, Marta 149
Martinez, Conchita 19, 28, 29, 31, 74,
81, 85, 89, 90, 93, 105, 106, 118,
120, 122, 123, 136, 143, 145, 146,
147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 153, 154
Martinez/Sanchez-Vicario 125, 147
Mauresmo, Amélie 20, 28, 29, 31, 81,
85, 90, 93, 105, 106, 118, 120, 143,
144, 146, 147, 149, 151, 152, 154
Mauresmo/Rubin 125, 152

McGrath, Meredith 154
Montalvo, Laura 122
Montalvo/Suarez 125, 137, 139, 144,
149
Montolio, Angeles 146, 149
Morariu, Corina 122, 123, 131, 137,
147
see also Halard-Decugis/Morariu,
Davenport/Morariu
Moscow 17, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
107, 116, 126, 136, 152, 154
Myskina, Anastasia 149

N

Nagyova, Henrieta 30, 85, 147, 149,
153
Navratilova, Martina 154
Neiland, Larisa 123
New Haven 26, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
108, 116, 126, 136, 150, 154
Nola, Pavlina (Stoyanova) 149, 152
Novotna, Jana 118, 154

O

Oklahoma City 23, 30, 108, 131, 134,
144
Olympics 102, 103, 104
Oremans, Miriam 147, 152
Osterloh, Lilia 149
Ostrovskaya, Nadejda 153

P

Palermo 30, 109, 149
Pan Pacific 17, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
107, 116, 127, 129, 136, 144, 154
Panova, Tatiana 153
Paris 25, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108,
116, 126, 135, 136, 144, 154
Pattaya City 30, 109, 153
Philadelphia 12, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
108, 116, 127, 136, 153, 154
Pierce, Mary 20, 28, 29, 31, 33, 60, 63,
70, 76, 81, 85, 87, 88, 89, 90, 93,
105, 106, 118, 120, 121, 122, 123,
129, 137, 143, 145, 146, 147, 148,
149, 154
see also Hingis/Pierce
Pierce/Raymond 139
Pisnik, Tina 30, 146
Pitkowski, Sarah 146, 148
Plischke, Sylvia 144
Po, Kimberly 122, 123, 134
Po/Sidot 137, 139
Portschach 128
see Klagenfurt
Princess Cup 26, 28, 29, 102, 103,
104, 108, 116, 126, 136, 151, 154

Q

Quebec City 21, 30, 108, 153

R

Raymond, Lisa 20, 30, 81, 85, 90, 93,
122, 123, 127, 137, 148
Raymond/Stubbs 125, 137, 139, 143,
147, 150
Rittner, Barbara 151
Roland Garros 20, 28, 29, 102, 103,
104, 107, 116, 127, 129, 136, 148,
154
Rome 23, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107,
116, 127, 128, 136, 147, 154
Ruano Pascual, Virginia 122, 129,
137, 147
Ruano Pascual/Suarez 125, 137, 139,
146, 149
Rubin, Chanda 21, 30, 31, 81, 85, 90,
93, 122, 123, 134, 143, 144, 145,
148, 152, 153, 154
Rubin/Testud 125, 137, 139, 149

S

Sabatini, Gabriella 154
San Diego 26, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
108, 116, 127, 128, 136, 150, 154
Sanchez Lorenzo, Maria Ant. 146
Sanchez-Vicario, Arantxa 21, 70, 81,
85, 89, 90, 93, 106, 118, 120, 122,
123, 125, 132, 137, 143, 146, 147,
148, 150, 151, 153, 154
Sanchez-Vicario/Sidot 125, 153
São Paulo 30, 109, 128, 144
Schett, Barbara 22, 30, 31, 81, 85, 90,
93, 122, 130, 137, 149, 152
see also Huber/Schett
Schiavone, Francesca 148
Schnyder, Patty 22, 63, 81, 86, 90, 93,
143, 149, 154
Scottsdale 12, 17, 28, 29, 102, 103,
104, 108, 116, 136, 145, 154
Seles, Monica 23, 28, 29, 30, 31, 40,
42, 43, 44, 53, 58, 59, 63, 64, 65, 66,
70, 75, 76, 78, 81, 84, 86, 87, 88, 89,
90, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 105, 106, 118,
119, 120, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148,
149, 150, 151, 153, 154
Serna, Magui 149
Shanghai 30, 109, 152
Shaughnessy, Meghann 30, 143, 152
s-Hertogenbosch 17, 30, 108, 148
Sidot, Anne-Gaelle 23, 86, 90, 93,
122, 135, 144, 146
see also Po/Sidot
Smashnova, Anna 30, 86, 147, 149
Spot 17, 30, 108, 128, 129, 149

Spirlea, Irina 123, 149, 154
Srebotnik, Katarina 144
Stanford 26, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
108, 116, 134, 135, 136, 149, 154
Strasbourg 24, 30, 108, 147
Stubbs, Rennae 122, 123, 128, 137
see also Raymond/Stubbs
Suarez, Paola 86, 122, 128, 137, 144,
146, 149
*see also Montalvo/Suarez, Ruano
Pascual/Suarez*
Sugiyama, Ai 24, 81, 86, 90, 119, 122,
123, 126, 137, 140, 143
see also Halard-Decugis/Sugiyama
Sugiyama/Tauziat 125, 148
Surabaya 154
Sydney 20, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 108,
116, 126, 136, 143, 154

T

Talaja, Silvija 24, 30, 73, 81, 86, 90,
93, 143, 147, 149
Tanasugarn, Tamarine 148, 152, 153
Tarabini, Patricia 123
Tashkent 30, 109, 148
Tatarkova, Elena 123

Tauziat, Nathalie 25, 28, 29, 31, 70,
81, 86, 90, 94, 105, 118, 119, 120,
122, 123, 129, 136, 137, 144, 147,
148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154
*see also Fusai/Tauziat, Hingis/
Tauziat*
Testud, Sandrine 25, 81, 86, 90, 94,
120, 122, 123, 135, 144, 145, 148,
149, 154
See also Rubin/Testud
Torrens Valero, Cristina 146, 147
Tu, Meilan 153
Tulyaganova, Iroda 30, 148, 152

U

U. S. Open 26, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
107, 116, 126, 136, 151, 154

V

Van Roost, Dominique 26, 63, 81, 86,
90, 94, 122, 134, 147, 148, 149
see also Callens/Van Roost
Vento, Maria Alej. 143
Vis, Caroline 123

W

Wang, Shi-Ting 154
Warsaw 30, 109, 147

Wartusch, Patricia 30, 86, 144
Williams, Serena 26, 28, 31, 32, 64,
76, 77, 81, 86, 90, 91, 94, 96, 98,
105, 106, 113, 118, 120, 123, 143,
144, 145, 146, 149, 150, 151, 154
Williams, Venus 4, 6, 7, 9, 26, 27, 29,
31, 32, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 45, 46, 47,
48, 50, 52, 53, 55, 58, 59, 60, 65, 66,
73, 74, 75, 77, 81, 82, 83, 84, 86, 89,
90, 91, 92, 94, 97, 98, 105, 106, 113,
118, 119, 120, 121, 123, 136, 146,
147, 149, 150, 151, 152
Williams/Williams 125, 136, 139, 149
Wimbledon 26, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104,
107, 116, 136, 149, 154
Woehr, Jasmin 119

Y

Yi, Jing-Qian 148

Z

Zuluaga, Fabiola 86, 147
Zurich 17, 28, 29, 102, 103, 104, 107,
116, 127, 136, 152, 154
Zvereva, Natasha 26, 86, 90, 118, 122,
123, 135, 154
*see also Carlsson/Zvereva,
Kournikova/Zvereva*